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I. INTRODUCTION

To what has already been said in the Introduction to the first part of this memoir I may add that the magnitude of the material was not fully appreciated when that Introduction was written. Dr. Aldinger's large collection consisted essentially of ammonites; and the reader who peruses the present part will see at once that the beauty of the preservation of these ammonites of which I spoke is in striking contrast to the general defectiveness of the Oxfordian and Lower Kimmeridgian invertebrates illustrated in the first part. Compared with the ammonites, the few other mollusca in the collection were so negligible that I did not hesitate to include them in the account, partly because their description by specialists would have meant a long delay. Since February, however, and as the other invertebrates in Mr. Rosenkrantz's collections were gradually being unpacked, there accumulated such a mass of material that in spite of much of it being named by Rosenkrantz, I began to regret having included fossils other than cephalopoda in my account. The pelecypods, of which there are 52 species, as against 53 cephalopods, especially may be held to include a large proportion of doubtful or cautious identifications, not always due to defective preservation. Whether long continued and more intensive study of these by a specialist would have yielded different results, however, is doubtful. Considering that the ammonites are mostly new, it is almost certain that I have not recognised a proportionate number of new species among the other mollusca. But I have at least attempted to give adequate illustrations of those forms that on account of their abundant occurrence in certain beds or their more favourable preservation may be thought to be of some interest. By general consent, however, a Jurassic fauna will stand or fall by its ammonites and I can only hope that my colleagues working on other groups will not think that a slight is implied in devoting the great majority of plates to the illustration of the ammonites.

Since I wrote the first part there has also appeared an important
paper by Messrs. Parat and Drach on the geography and geology of Milne Land. Their stratigraphical results will be discussed in later chapters (III, IV); here it may suffice to refer to a point of nomenclature. Since Dr. Aldinger's paper, referred to in my first account, has not yet appeared, I am unable to say whether he is accepting the name Chatton Bay, used by Messrs. Parat and Drach for what I had called Charcot Bay. But the names Charcot Bay and Charcot Bay Sandstone taken from Dr. Aldinger's information and map were not published by me till April 1935, while Chatton Bay dates from 1934. It is thus possible that the lowest (unfossiliferous) formation in the Upper Jurassic succession of Milne Land will in future be known as Chatton Bay Sandstone instead of Charcot Bay Sandstone.

To the acknowledgements already made in part I, I would gratefully add special thanks to Dr. Lauge Koch for allowing me a free hand with the illustrations and to Mr. A. Rosenkrantz for giving me the help given in past years by the Royal Society Committee in the way of grants for investigating the type successions of the Kimmeridge Clay and Portland Sands in Dorset. I am incorporating in the present account the results of my detailed collecting, already briefly referred to between 1931 and 1933 in connection with the Perisphinctids of Kachh, India. Additional material has been obtained in the summers of 1933 and 1934 and with the Greenland ammonites here described it has enabled me to get a far better idea than I had in 1931 of the perplexing succession of more or less biplicate Perisphinctids during these lengthy periods. I have also had the advantage of studying a large collection of Kimmesridig and Portlandian ammonites from England and the Boulonnais kindly made over to me by Mr. C. H. Waddington. The comparison of the standard succession with the sequence in Milne Land, thus, it is hoped, will prove of more than local interest. Unfortunately, many problems still remain to be solved.

London, September 1935.

This paper was only recently discussed, chiefly in connexion with Mediterranean faunas, but I am under no illusion as to the possibility of classifying these variable Perisphinctids in a manner acceptable to all workers. No forms of Virgatosphinctinae have so far been described from Arctic regions, apart from what I would include in Virgatitids and Pavlovids, and even the Virgatosphinctid ammonites of the English Kimmeridge Clay remain almost unknown or at least unpublished. There are three ammonites, however, from the band with crushed Perisphinctids, already referred to in part I (p. 66) that on account of their poor preservation offer particular difficulty and thus may justify the present review.

I ought to mention that from the Upper Oxfordian part of the succession, probably from the shelly sandstone, just below the Pecten Sandstone, i.e. Rosenkrantz's section IV, there is now a fragment of a Perisphinctid, perhaps a true Perisphinctes s. s., which was discovered in the matrix of a very large Pecten, doubtfuly identified by Rosenkrantz with the much later P. precinctus here described (p. 104). No normal Perisphinctids have yet been found in the Rasenia-Amoebites beds of East Greenland, but only the aberrant Pictoniinae. These are characteristic of the uppermost Oxfordian and Lower Kimmeridgian of northern and north-western Europe, as Ataxioceridae and Planinitae are the dominant elements in corresponding beds in more southern latitudes. The fifty metres of shales that succeed the Rasenia-Amoebites beds are

2) This paper, entitled: Geologische Beobachtungen im oberen Jura des Scoresby-undes (Ostgrönland) has now appeared (as No. 1 of present volume), but could only be referred to in footnotes; likewise another paper, by J. W. Arkell: The Portland Beds of the Dorset Mainland (Proc. Geol. Ass. vol. XLVI, pt. 3, pp. 301—347, pls. XIX—XXVI), published after the present memoir was in page proof, could not be adequately criticised. Since my interpretation of the English Portlandian ammonites differs considerably from that of Dr. Arkell, it is hoped that the footnotes will be found helpful.

II. SPECIFIC DESCRIPTIONS

Phylum Mollusca.

1. Class Cephalopoda.

a. Order AMMONOIDEA.

Super-family Stephanoceratidea.

Family PERISPHINCTIDAE.

Sub-family Virgatosphinctinae.

This sub-family was only recently discussed, chiefly in connexion with Mediterranean faunas, but I am under no illusion as to the possibility of classifying these variable Perisphinctids in a manner acceptable to all workers. No forms of Virgatosphinctinae have so far been described from Arctic regions, apart from what I would include in Virgatitids and Pavlovids, and even the Virgatosphinctid ammonites of the English Kimmeridge Clay remain almost unknown or at least unpublished. There are three ammonites, however, from the band with crushed Perisphinctids, already referred to in part I (p. 66) that on account of their poor preservation offer particular difficulty and thus may justify the present review.

I ought to mention that from the Upper Oxfordian part of the succession, probably from the shelly sandstone, just below the Pecten Sandstone, i.e. Rosenkrantz's section IV, there is now a fragment of a Perisphinctid, perhaps a true Perisphinctes s. s., which was discovered in the matrix of a very large Pecten, doubtfully identified by Rosenkrantz with the much later P. precinctus here described (p. 104). No normal Perisphinctids have yet been found in the Rasenia-Amoebites beds of East Greenland, but only the aberrant Pictoniinae. These are characteristic of the uppermost Oxfordian and Lower Kimmeridgian of northern and north-western Europe, as Ataxioceridae and Planinitae are the dominant elements in corresponding beds in more southern latitudes. The fifty metres of shales that succeed the Rasenia-Amoebites beds are

entirely unfossiliferous, according to Dr. H. Aldinger, but it is perhaps from there that Parat and Drach recorded *Perisphinctes bleicheri*, a species of the *Gravesia* beds. In any case the *Aulacostephanus* beds are incompletely explored, yet even in Dorset, in about 140 feet of clays teeming with *Aulacostephanus* I have only seen a single fragment of a *Perisphinctes*. They occur sparingly, however, in the *Aulacostephanus* beds of Yorkshire (*Subdichotomoceras lamplughii* and *S. speetensii*) and the Ringsteadia beds of Pomerania, and more abundantly in Russia (Volga and Southern Urals) associated with many examples of *Aulacostephanus*, but they are mostly stragglers of the Perisphinctid groups, notably Ataxioceratids, that swarmed in the Lower Kimmeridgian seas of more southern latitudes.

Conversely, in *Gravesia* times, there began, with the disappearance of *Aulacostephanus*, at least in the English Kimmeridgian Clay, an expansion of normal Perisphinctids that lasted for an unusually long period, and that is perhaps unequaled in so far as numbers of individuals are concerned. There is no other locality in the world where so complete a succession, with ammonites throughout, up to the Portlandian, is available.

The difficulty of identifying the Greenland and Spitsbergen Kimmeridgian ammonites so far recorded, in fact, is due largely to the uncertainty that still exists with regard to the exact dating of the very fragmentary European deposits of Middle and Upper Kimmeridgian age hitherto described. In attempting to name such Kimmeridgian Perisphinctids, it is thus only the (hitherto almost undescribed) English faunas that one can turn to, just as the first record of the ammonites of the next higher Cape Leslie Formation (by Parat and Drach) consisted almost entirely of names of English species.

The three Greenland forms figured in Plate 1 are known to be of post-*Rasenlia* age; and they come from shales underlying sandstones.

---

1) For interpretation of *Aulacostephanus* see p. 143.

2) According to Arkell (Geol. Mag., vol. lxxii, 1933, p. 255) the "study of the Ataxioceratidae at present lags far behind that of the Perisphinctidae and this partly accounts for the much smaller number of genera recognised in the Ataxioceratidae". This pronouncement echoes views which I cannot commend. *Ataxioceras*, like any other ammonite genus, may have to be 'interpreted' by a single species, the type, but it includes far more than that. It takes a long time, however, to become acquainted with the many forms of a group like the *polypleci*. To me, species like *Perisphinctes cf. inconstans* (?Fontannes) Simonsen, *P. leiochymen* Waagen, or the similar Kachh form I figured, are species of *Ataxioceras*, in spite of their Argovian age. There are many forms intermediate between *Ataxioceras* and related genera like *Planites*, *Discosphinctes*, *Lithacoceras*, and others. It would be easy to give these new generic or sub-generic names, but this would only make the determination of an average collection of Perisphinctids from any part of the world extremely artificial, if not impossible.
Genus *SUBDICHTOMOCERAS*, Spath, 1925.

Sub-genus *Sphinctoceras*, Neaverson, 1925.

*Subdichotomoceras?* (*Sphinctoceras?*) sp. ind.

Plate 1, fig. 1.

There are two single ribs, with a constriction between them, on the larger whorl-portion shown in the photograph, but rather distantly spaced, bifurcating ribs over the greater part of this as well as the smaller impression below which may be assumed to have belonged to the same individual. Another constriction is indicated by the single rib and abrupt depression at the left-hand end of the lower impression. This type of ribbing — the only feature to be relied on in the present case — is found in various Perisphinctid groups, besides *Subdichotomoceras*, but the provisional reference to this genus is prompted by the post-*Rasenia* age of the fossil. *Sphinctoceras crassum*, Neaverson1) the holotype of which is before me (B. M., no. C 286900), is particularly close to the Greenland form which differs from corresponding whorl-portions of *S. crassum* merely in having the ribs slightly more distantly spaced.

But *Sphinctoceras* is based on the inner volutions of a large form, the outer whorls of which probably could not be satisfactorily distinguished from the body-chamber fragment figured by Neaverson2) as *Virgatosphinctoides nodiferus*. The coarse ornamentation of these body-chambers is very irregular and not the same in two individuals, and in appraising the suture-line of one of these "Sphinctoceras" fragments from Wheatley (Plate 10, fig. 6) it must not be forgotten that this is merely the suture-line of one individual while some half-dozen other examples before me show suture-lines that differ widely in the width of the saddles and similar details. Moreover, Neaverson's3) second species of *Sphinctoceras* (*S. distans*) was included by Buckman4) in the genus *Allospirigeratites* which has the "inner whorls always finely ribbed" and is thus in my opinion inseparable from *Virgatosphinctoides*. These three "genera", however, are not only closely connected by transitions, but they come from the same beds, the *nodiferus* zone of Neaverson having no reality, so far as I can see.

*Sphinctoceras*, thus, is retained only as a sub-genus of the persisting *Subdichotomoceras*, just as the finely ornamented *Virgatosphinctoides* may be accepted as a sub-genus of *Subplanites*. *Sphinctoceras* leads to the still larger forms of *Paraspirigeratites* of the next higher zone and thus to the

---


2) Ibid., pl. iv, figs. 1 a, b.

3) Ibid., p. 23, pl. iv, fig. 3.

4) Type Ammonites, vol. VI, 1936, pl. DCXXXVIII, A, B, C, D.

---

Pavloviodae which are also dominant in more northern areas, as mentioned below (p. 26).

A supposed earlier Kimmeridgian species that shows some resemblance to the form here discussed is *Perisphinctes bleicheri* (de Loriol)5), said to be from the beds with *Gravesia portlandiae*6). I previously referred this species to *Subdichotomoceras*, but I am not satisfied that it can be recognised from the figure any better now than in Waagen's time; and although *P. bleicheri* has been recorded from England7) the multitude of Perisphinctids that I collected in the *Gravesia* beds of Kimmeridge includes nothing that even distantly resembles de Loriol's figure. Since Siemiradzki8) also considered *P. bleicheri* closely allied to two much later ammonites figured by de Loriol that are now known to belong to *Paeolovia* and *Crendonites*, respectively, it is not a satisfactory species with which to compare the Greenland impression, except in so far as it rather confirms the higher horizon here suggested for the ammonites from the band with crushed Perisphinctids.

Horizon:— Middle or Upper Kimmeridgian? Band with crushed Perisphinctids, about 36 m below *pectinatus* horizon and 44 m above *Hoplocardioceras* bed.

Locality:— Astarte Valley (C), marked "at 130 m loose, but probably from only a few metres higher". No. 169 a.

Genus *SUBPLANITES*, Spath, 1925.

*Subplanites?* sp. ind.

Plate 1, fig. 3.

The specimen here figured is poorly preserved and crushed; and the only feature of diagnostic value is the presence of a deep constriction preceded by a triplicate rib and succeeded by an apparently single rib. Two more contractions, less distinct merely on account of preservation, can be seen; the intervening ribs are simply biplicate. The anterior, lower branch of the triplicate rib branches off at about the inner third of the whorl-height; but as the periphery is too badly crushed, it is impossible to state whether the outer branches owe their apparent length of a third of the whorl-height merely to this crushing. The secon-

---


daries are as straight as the primaries before the constriction; afterwards the anterior branch seems to continue the direction of the primary stem and the posterior secondary appears to be coming off behind. The ribbing of the inner whorls is quite unrecognisable.

Perispinichids with triplicate ribs preceding a constriction occur at all horizons in the Kimmeridgian. There is some resemblance between the Greenland form and the ammonite from the Russian Aulacostephanus beds figured by Pavlov as *Perispinichus virguloides*, Waagen; but the Indian original of this species, recently refigured, is entirely different. At least equally similar to the Greenland example, however, are forms from much higher horizons, up to the *wheatleyensis* zone, and a comparison of Plate 1, fig. 3 with Plate 7, fig. 5 (*Pectinatites* [*Keratinites*] *groenlandicus* will show that the latter has much the same type of ribbing.

When Uhlig spoke of the peculiar branching of the triplicate ribs as the simplest form of virgatotomy, characterising his genus *Virgatospinichus*, he was, of course, unaware of the numbers of successive *Perispinichus* faunas in the Upper Jurassic that include forms with virgatotomous ribbing. Moreover, there was only one name in existence (*Lithacoceras*, Hyatt, 1900) that clashed with his *Virgatospinichus*; and Uhlig merely rejected it. Since Cossmann restricted the genus *Virgatospinichus* to the group of *V. broili*, Uhlig, it is clear that the Greenland form cannot be included in either *Virgatospinichus* or *Lithacoceras*, both of which are characterised by developing bundled secondaries and rather distantly spaced, swollen primary ribs at larger diameters. There remain the group of *Pachyspinichus major*, Spath (1925) which has a simple type of virgatotomy, with constrictions, and the genus *Subplanites*, in which outer and inner whorls may have almost only virgatotomous ribbing. There is no regularity about the appearance of this virgatotomy. The earlier *S. ruppellianus* (Quenstedt) is more advanced than the later *S. reisi* (Schneid) and it would be unsafe to conclude that the simple Greenland form must be earlier than e. g. *S. vicinus* (Schneid)

3) *The Jurassic and Cretaceous Ammonites and Belemnites of the Attok District*. Pal. Indica, N. S., vol. X, no. 4, 1934, p. 12, pl. i, fig. 6; pl. ii, fig. 3.
5) Apart from some forms included by Siemiradzki (1899) in *Biplicates*.
8) Ibid., pl. vii, fig. 1.
9) Ibid., pl. iii, fig. 2.

which leads directly to the degenerate *Virgatospinichoides*, with numerous single ribs. It is possible to match the Greenland form with Kachh fragments of *Pachyspinichus* and especially *Subplanites* far more easily than with contemporaneous forms described from more northern regions; but, as already mentioned, the resemblance to species from the *pectinatus* zone is sufficiently great to prevent a definite identification with the early Kimmeridgian forms rather than the later.

Horizon:— Middle or Upper Kimmeridgian? Band with crushed Perispinichids, about 38 m below *pectinatus* horizon and 44 m above *Hoplocardioceras* bed.

Locality:— Astarte Valley (C), marked “at 130 m, loose, but probably from only a few metres higher”. No. 169b.

Sub-genus *Virgatospinichoides*, Neaverson, 1925.

*Subplanites*? (*Virgatospinichoides?*) sp. ind.

Plate 1, fig. 2.

This is the least favourably preserved of the three examples from locality 169, showing merely the crushed remains of three whorls, the inner of which is finely and closely ribbed. The point of bifurcation of at least some of the ribs is seen to be outside the umbilical suture, as it is also on the next larger whorl. On the upper part of this whorl (in fig. 2) where it is not covered by the outer whorl, the secondaries are regularly bifid; they are slightly projected branches of the primary stem, but neither of them is in direct line with the latter. On the largest whorl portion the ribbing is still the same, though scarcely recognisable in the photograph, but there may have been an occasional single rib. There are no constrictions or other features of diagnostic value.

This example is perhaps more closely comparable to forms from the Lower Kimmeridgian (*pseudomutubilis* or *Aulacostephanus*) zones of the two associated Perispinichids, but again it can equally well be attached to species from the *wheatleyensis* zone. Among many examples of the less finely ribbed species of *Virgatospinichoides* (= "*Allovirgatites*”) before me from the English Kimmeridge Clay as well as from the La Rochette nodule bed of the Boulonnais, there are several that, if crushed, may not be distinguishable from the impression here figured. In the absence of the characteristic constrictions and single ribs, however, it is impossible to carry the comparison any further.

The rather rapid change from the fine and close ribbing of the inner whorls to the distant costation of the next larger whorl is similar to that illustrated by Neaverson in his "*Allovirgatites* robustus and "A." versicoostatus, the originals of which are before me and which, in my opinion,

are merely more coarsely ribbed forms of the same author's *Virgatosphinctoides*. The inadequately figured *Perisphinctes bleicheri* (de Lorio), already referred to (p. 15) may also be deemed to be comparable, but there are yet other Perisphinctids with similar biplicate ribbing.

**Horizon:**—Middle or Upper Kimmeridgian? Band with crushed Perisphinctis, about 36 m below *pectinatus* horizon and 44 m above Haplocardioceras bed.

**Locality:**—Astarte Valley (C), marked “at 130 m loose, but probably only a few metres higher”. No. 169 c.

**Sub-family Pseudovirgatitinae.**

When I discussed this family in 1930), I included in it a number of genera, characterised by generally close and fine, but always irregular costaition, especially at later stages. The final body-chamber, however, may also be smooth, so that *Pseudovirgatites* may be looked upon at the costation, especially at later stages. The final body-chamber, however, may also be smooth, so that *Pseudovirgatites* may be looked upon as with any other genus, characterised by generally close and fine, but always irregular *Lithacoceras* somewhat simulating the true *Virgatites*. A morphological diagnosis, however, cannot yet be attempted; the difficulties may be realised on perusal of Schneid's) discussion of the genus *Pseudovirgatites*. The exact range of some elements also is still doubtful; and if *Pseudovirgatites* itself should be less closely allied to *Pectinatites* than I think, a different grouping may become necessary. *Anovirgatites* and *Pseudovirgatites*, however, occur together in Bavaria and in Somaliland, associated in the former region with numerous species of *Sublithacoceras* of the *sensu* group, in the latter country with *Pseudinvoluticeras*. These five genera, then, form a natural group, but *Parapallasiceras* is as yet doubtful. It includes what Schneid3) diagnosed as his “small group of *Berriasella ciliata*”, but the appearance of a very distinct ventral groove in the Upper Kimmeridgian *Pectinatites aulacophorus*, Buckman, indicates that the resemblance between *Parapallasiceras* and the true Tithonian *Aulacosphinctes* may be purely accidental. *Parapallasiceras* also connects with *Subplanites* of the *danubiensis* group and its simple suture-line might be taken to indicate affinity with *Pavlovinae* as much as with any other Perisphinctid group. The equally uncertain *Pectini* *formites*, Buckman, which, with Neaverson4), I had considered to be a synonym of *Pectinatites*, I now believe to represent merely the inner whorls of some group of *Subplanites*, fore-runners of *Virgatosphinctoides*, as well as of some Pseudovirgatitales, but still very close to *Lithacoceras*.

3) Ibid., pp. 62—64.
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of the beds immediately below. As in other groups of Perisphinctids, there are so many transitional forms between the extremes and the persisting, simpler types that it is impossible to classify them satisfactorily. It may also seem inconsistent to unite *Pectinatites*, *Keratinites* and *Wheatleyites*, the extremes of which are distinct enough, into one genus (*Pectinatites*), even if as sub-genera, yet to separate the Pseudovirgatitinae from the Virgatosphinctinae, when, for example, *Subplanites* develops almost as irregular ribbing on the outer whorl as *Pseudovirgatites* itself (see fig. 2 on p. 173). The classification into sub-families, thus, is prompted merely by the ever increasing number of genera. A return to the single genus *Perisphinctes* (with thousands of species) would not be so convenient to the systematist as the stratigrapher may think; yet when some species-groups like the three mentioned are closely interconnected by passage-forms and are associated in the same bed, generic separation seems unnecessary. It is different with forms that are either incompletely known, or not dated with certainty. If *Perisphinctes quenstedti*, Michalski1) is as close to “Virgatites” *scothiicus* as its author held, then it must be entirely distinct from *Pseudovirgatites seorsus* (Oppel), contrary to the opinion of Schneid2). Also, the identification of the English “Virgatites” *scothiicus*3) must be erroneous; for the two forms are believed to occur at different levels. Even if Pseudovirgatitinae and *Vagritinae*, however, are approximately contemporaneous, their separation into two distinct sub-families may still be justified on account of the distinctiveness of the true *Virgatites* and *Zaraikites* (= “Provirgatites”).

Genus *PECTINATITES*, S. S. Buckman, 1922.

*Pectinatites aff. eastlecottensis* (Salfeld).

(Plate 2, fig. 1).


The inner whorls of the only example available are crushed and show merely very fine and close ribbing. On the flattened last half of the...
outer whorl the characteristic bundling of the ribs into thickened primary stems and irregularly branching, secondary costae, begins, but it is uncertain whether any part of the body-chamber was included in this outer whorl. There are several indistinct constrictions, but there is no trace of the suture-line.

Owing to the fact that Salfeld’s original figures were reduced, the resemblance to the Greenland specimen here illustrated may not seem to be particularly striking; but I have the holotype before me, together with numerous examples of closely related forms from the same bed, and there is, indeed, good agreement. The allied “Wheatleyites” figured by Buckman¹, namely *W. tricostulatus* and *W. rarescens* are also too much reduced (and too poorly preserved) to show this resemblance, while “Wheatleyites” pringlei, Pruvost², recorded by Parat and Drach³ from East Greenland, is a form of *Subplanites* (Virgatosphinctoides). The comparison by Ilovaisky⁴, of *P. eastlecottensis* to certain *Paelonia* (?) must also be due to some misidentification.

*P. pectinatus* (Phillips) as figured by Buckman⁵ is also very close to the present form, but has less finely ribbed inner whorls.

**Horizon:** Indurated shales, about 90—100 m below Glaucolithic Series. Upper Kimmeridgian, *pectinatus* zone.

**Locality:** North-western side of Hartz Mtn. (Loc. M.) at 395 m loose (No. 242).

*Pectinatites* sp. ind.

(Plate 2, figs. 3 a—c; Plate 3, figs. 1 a—c).

The two fragments under discussion may not have belonged to the same species, because the ribbing of the larger (Plate 2, fig. 3) is more delicate than that of the smaller specimen. A squeeze of the ribbing in the dorsal area, however, shows that the faintness of the ribbing on the outer whorl of the larger example may be due merely to the poor preservation; there is also accidental deformation and crushing in a ventro-dorsal direction at the larger end. The smaller specimen shows long, single or bifurcating costae on the inner whorls and similar, but peripherally more projected, costae near the end. There is no trace of the suture-line to indicate whether this portion of the outer whorl was body-chamber. The larger specimen, also may or may not have been part of *P. nasutus*.

¹) Type Ammonites, vol. iv, 1923, pl. 965 and vol. v, 1925, pl. 561 a (and 661 b?)
⁵) Type Ammonites, vol. iv, 1922, pl. 364 A, B.

---

the septate whorls. The section is apparently more compressed in the smaller specimen, but this probably is the result of deformation in the soft sandstone.

The ribbing is too coarse and too distantly spaced in the two Greenland examples for comparison with the true *P. pectinatus* (Phillips), above discussed, but they can be matched by examples of “Keratinites”, Buckman, e.g. *K. cornutifer, K. naso*, and *K. nasutus*. An example of the last in the Buckman Collection (B. M., no. 4326) labelled “Wheatleyites” by Buckman himself, is very similar, but there are many other examples from the Shotover Grit Sands that are equally close.

**Horizon:** Sandy Clays with concretions, below horizon β. Upper Kimmeridgian, upper *pectinatus* zone. In Dorset, *Keratinites* of the *nasutus* type occur at 15 feet below the Upper White Stone band (Blake’s bed 10), but *Pectinatites* like the smaller example here figured are very abundant 25 feet higher.

**Locality:** North-western side of Hartz Mtn. (Loc. N), at 395 m. (No. 248 a, b).

*Pectinatites (?)* sp. nov.

(Plate 3, fig. 4).

The body-chamber fragment here figured also retains the last air-chamber and the suture-line is well enough preserved for comparison with that of, for example, *P. eastlecottensis* (Salfeld). Unfortunately it is similar, again, in such an apparently widely different form as *Dorsoplanites flavus*, sp. nov., figured in Plate 34, fig. 1, and thus is not really helpful; and the ornamentation has to be relied on when attempting to elucidate the affinities of this ammonite. In the dorsal area, the impression of the ribbing of the penultimate whorl is seen to be comparatively coarse (about 38 ribs altogether) and the ribs were sharp, not blunt and causing a pseudo-constriction (before the rib).

The Greenland form is attached to *Pectinatites*, because it resembles the outer whorl of an example of *P. eastlecottensis* before me (B. M. no. 88569), with the young and finely ribbed (= *Pectinatites*) stage ending at a comparatively small diameter (about 100 mm, as against 130—140 mm in Salfeld’s type). *P. eastlecottensis* is a very variable species, attaining a diameter much larger than the holotype (which is entirely septate) and it is connected by many transitions with *P. aula-
cophorus (Buckman)\textsuperscript{1}, which has a smaller umbilicus on the inner whorls, but which, at larger diameters, is very similar to \textit{P. eastlecottensis}, as is the fully grown \textit{P. pectinatus} (Phillips)\textsuperscript{2}. Keratinites proboscidus, Buckman\textsuperscript{3} probably represents a rostrate, dwarf-form of the cophorus group; and judging by an example in the British Museum, previously referred to\textsuperscript{4}, it is possible that the repeated collars are merely the vestiges of partly resorbed, former mouth-borders, as in the similar \textit{Pseudovirgatites}.

Since the inner whorl of the Greenland form was coarsely ribbed, it is probable that the latter is more closely related to the forms included by Buckman in "Keratinites" than to "Wheatleyites" of the \textit{eastlecottensis} type. These "Keratinites", again, are merely cornute, small examples of much larger species, differing from \textit{Pectinatites} merely in the more distant spacing of the ribs. Like \textit{Paravirgatites} (including "\textit{Shoalterites}") from the same beds, with still coarser ribbing, the forms of the \textit{eastlecottensis} group, however, develop an irregularly and very coarsely ornamented outer whorl (compare \textit{Pectinatites scalariformis} and \textit{Wheatleyites rare-scens}, Buckman\textsuperscript{3}) so that they are less like the fragment here figured than the example of \textit{P. eastlecottensis}, above referred to. There is no English species, however, with which the present form could be identified.

**Horizon:** Out of a nodule bed (probably below \(\beta\)) in the upper part of the sandy clays and marls. Upper Kimmeridgian, \textit{pectinatus} zone.

**Locality:** North-western side of Hartz Mtn. (loc. N) at 395 m, loose (No. 215).

\textit{Pectinatites aff. tricostulatus} (S.S. Buckman).


The septate fragment represented in Plate 3, fig. 5 is too small to be definitely identified with Buckman’s species, but an example before me (B. M., no. 4710, Buckman Coll., from Wheatley) shows very good agreement, at a corresponding diameter. The suture-line resembles that of \textit{P. eastlecottensis}, above discussed, especially in the very slender, second lateral saddle, but the first auxiliary saddle is broader in the present form and followed by only one more saddle on the smooth and high umbilical wall.

Equally large examples of \textit{Virgatospinoceroides} ("\textit{Allovirgatites}") of the \textit{woodwardi} group differ not only in their far less regular and sharper ribbing, but also in their simpler suture-line. To \textit{Virgatospinoceroides} also belongs "\textit{Wheatleyites} pringlei", Pruvost\textsuperscript{1}; and if this author claims\textsuperscript{7} to have found the present species together with "\(W\). eastlecottensis", I suspect that he has been misled by the reduced figures; for among many ammonites and fragments in the C. H. Waddington Collection from the La Rochette nodule bed, there is nothing that indicates a higher horizon than the \textit{wheatleyensis} zone.

**Horizon:** Out of a nodule bed (probably below \(\beta\)) in the (upper part?) of the sandy clays and marls. Upper Kimmeridgian, \textit{pectinatus} zone.

**Locality:** North-western side of Hartz Mtn. (loc. N) at 395 m, loose. (No. 215).

\textit{Sub-genus Keratinites}, S. S. Buckman, 1926.

\textit{Pectinatites (Keratinites) aff. devillei} (P. de Loriol).


The small Greenland ammonite may not be identical with \textit{P. de Loriol}'s species, but it is provisionally attached to this form. It is broken off at the last septum and represents only the inner whorls of a larger form. The (unfigured) body-chamber portion shows more distantly spaced but strongly inclined ribs. But although the specimen cannot be definitely identified, yet it may be described separately on account of its stratigraphical interest. The ammonite differs from \textit{P. (K.) pectinatus} chiefly in having shorter secondaries. Judging by many Tour Croi examples before me, the forward inclination is not always so pronounced as in de Loriol's figure, and there may occasionally occur single or triplicate ribs, as in the closely allied \textit{P. (K.) placentii}, Michalski, in Sauvage\textsuperscript{4}).

On the whole, however, the species can easily be recognised from de Loriol's figure. There is apparently more rigid ribbing in the present

\textsuperscript{1} Type Ammonites, vol. IV, 1923, pl. 381 (inner whorls).

\textsuperscript{2} See Buckman, ibid., vol. iv, 1922, pl. 364 A, B; pl. vi, 1926, pl. 364.

\textsuperscript{3} Ibid., vol. vi, 1926, p. 651.


\textsuperscript{5} Type Ammonites, vol. vi, 1927, pl. 706 and vol. v, 1925, pl. 561 B.
form, combined with a flatter whorl-side; and the greatest thickness is
at the nodate point of bifurcation of the ribs, whereas in the phosphatic
casts from the Boulonnais, the whorl-section is more rounded and most
inflated at about the middle of the side.

The suture-line is rather simple and similar to that of the form de-
scribed below as P. (K.) cf. boidini. It differs from the suture-lines of the
Boulonnais examples of P. (K.) devillei, before me, only in unimportant
details, but, in both, the trifid first lateral lobe is much narrower than the
external saddle, and almost as wide as the ventral lobe, as stated by Sauvage

Horizon:—Out of a nodule bed (labelled \( \beta \)) in (the upper part of
the sandy clays and marls. Upper Kimmeridgian, pectinatus zone.

Locality:—Crab Valley, uppermost part (loc. D), at 200 m.
(No. 171).

Pectinatites (Keratinites) cf. boidini (P. de Loriol).
(Plate 13, figs. 2 a, b).

fig. 1 (and pl. vi, fig. 37).

1924. 

vol. xxxix, p. 342.

This form stands in the same relationship to the true P. (K.)
boidini, as the species last described does to P. (K.) devillei, i.e. the
ribbing is straighter, with the point of branching of the ribs nearer the
periphery, and the sides are flatter. The umbilical wall is perpendicular
and there is a distinct sinus forward in the peripheral ribbing. The
suture-line is simple and, compared with that of Boulonnais examples
of P. (K.) boidini, seems to show fairly good agreement, but the second
lateral lobe is trifid, not bifid, as stated by Sauvage.

In the Shotover examples of Keratinites of the boidini group figured in Plate 6, figs. 4 a, b
and Plate 15, figs. 2 a, b the peripheral ribbing is less projected than in the
Greenland specimen.

The inner whorls of Keratinites naso, Buckman) seem to be closely
comparable to the species here described and the provisional identification
of Buckman’s form with Amm. devillei, de Loriol, suggests the generic
attribution of the present form as well as that of last described, to Kerat-
inites. Since other Keratinites, however, like K. proboscidale, Buckman\(\textsuperscript{a})

\(\textsuperscript{c})\) Type Ammonites, vol. VI, 1926, pl. 652; vol. vii, 1928, pl. 652 A.
\(\textsuperscript{d})\) Ibid., vol. VI, 1926, pl. 651.

are merely rostrate forms of Pectinatites, it seems preferable to accept
Keratinites only as a sub-genus within Pectinatites.

Horizon:— Out of a nodule bed (probably below \( \beta \)) in (the upper part of
the sandy clays and marls. Upper Kimmeridgian, pectinatus zone. Labelled “\( \beta \), probably repeated by fault”, but about 65 m below
Pallasiceras nodules (\( \beta \)).

Locality:—North-east of Mt. Hennig (loc. E), at 235 m (corrected).
No. 220.

Pectinatites (Keratinites?) groenlandicus, sp. nov.
(Plate 6, fig. 1; Plate 7, fig. 5; Plate 8, fig. 4).

Diagnosis:—Inner whorls (figured separately in Plate 7, fig. 5)
rather high-whorled (subplatygyral), rather compressed (subleptogyral),
rather widely umbilicate. Whorl-section oval, with greatest thickness
near umbilical slope and evenly arched venter. Umbilical wall steep,
but well rounded into sides. Ribs bi- and tri-furcating, oblique forward,
and with occasional constrictions, bordered by single or branching ribs.
The ribbing does not change much on the outer whorl, except that the
secondaries are longer; but the whorl-section becomes more inflated and
the umbilicus opens out. At the end the whorl-sides are strongly bulging
outwards, with overhanging umbilical wall; the suture-line is visible
only in disconnected portions.

Measurements:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inner whorls</th>
<th>Adult</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>130 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>37 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>34 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>35 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:—The outer whorl of the present species resembles that of
certain equally large “Wheatleyites”, e.g. “W”. reductus, Buckman\(\textsuperscript{a})

\(\textsuperscript{a})\) Type Ammonites, vol. IV, 1923, pl. 384 (reduced by half).
\(\textsuperscript{b})\) Ibid., vol. VI, 1926, pl. 652 A only.

\(\textsuperscript{b})\) Type Ammonites, vol. IV, 1923, pl. 384 (reduced by half).
\(\textsuperscript{c})\) Ibid., vol. VI, 1926, pl. 652 A only.
of the Portland Sands, is also superficial, since no Portlandian ammonite has inner whorls comparable to those figured in Plate 7, fig. 5.

Horizon:—Out of a nodule bed (probably below \( \beta \)) in the upper part of the sandy clays and marls. Upper Kimmeridgian, \textit{pectinatus} zone.

Locality:—North-western side of Hartz Mtn. (loc. N) at 395 m. loose. (No. 217).

**Sub-family Pavlovinae, Spath 1931.**

This is not quite identical with Ilovaïsky's genus \textit{Pavlovia}, just as the Virgatosphinctinids include more than Uhlig's equally comprehensive genus \textit{Virgatosphinctes}. Ilovaïsky overlooked the existence of the genus \textit{Dorosplanites}, Semenov, which dates from 1898, and such names as \textit{Paravirgatites} and \textit{Lydistratites}, Buckman, 1922, \textit{Pallasiceras}, Spath, 1923, and \textit{Holcosphinctes}, \textit{Aposphinctoceras} and \textit{Episphinctoceras}, Neaverson, 1925, were given in ignorance of the existence of \textit{Pavlovia} (1924).

Y et since \textit{Pavlovia} must be interpreted by \textit{P. iatriensis}, var. \textit{primaria}, Ilovaïsky, there we have already five or six local groups of ammonites, with a large number of species, some of them undoubtedly very similar, but so, indeed, are most Perisphinctids. What seems to me significant is that in addition to these developments with their local peculiarities, the persisting \textit{colubrinus} stock of Perisphinctids gave rise to \textit{Pavlovia} forms even in the open oceans of the time. These spread from the Himalayas (\textit{Ammonites biperex}, Blanford, undescribed \( ^2 \)) to the Andes (\textit{Aulacosphinctes windhauseni}, Weaver \( ^3 \)) but among the rich ammonite faunas of the southern areas these \textit{Pavlovia} forms are an inconspicuous element. Conversely, in the impoverished northern and boreal faunas, \textit{Pavlovia} is often the only ammonite genus present but with enormous numbers of individuals, and therefore has always attracted attention.

With regard to systematics, I would now provisionally include in \textit{Pavlovia}, as a sub-genus, \textit{Pallasiceras}, because some Russian examples before me\( ^4 \), including \textit{P. nana} (\( = \textit{P. iatriensis}, \textit{var. nana}, \textit{D}, \textit{Ilovaïsky}\))\( ^5 \) are indistinguishable from English examples (C. H. Waddington Coll.) from the \textit{rotunda} zone (group of \textit{P. concinna}, Neaverson sp.). To my mind

\( ^1 \) First published in Russian in 1917. There appears to be no copy of this paper in English.

\( ^2 \) \textit{Perisphinctes} (\textit{Aulacosphinctes} \textit{kosmati}), Uhlig (Fauna of the Spiti Shales. Mem. Geol. Surv. India, Pal. Indica, Ser. XV, vol. IV, fasc. 2, 1910, p. 360, pl. xxxvii, figs. 3 a—d) is one of the few comparable forms so far described.


\( ^4 \) B. M., nos. C 19601, C. 29620 &c.

\( ^5 \) Ilovaïsky: "Les Ammonites du Jurassique supérieur du pays de Liapine". Ouvrages de la section géologique de la Société Imp. Amis Sci. Nat. Moscou, I, 1—2, 1917, pl. xii, figs. 3 a, b. My identifications were made when Prof. Saffeld kindly lent me his copy of this paper for a short time, some years ago.

---

**Episphinctoceras**, Neaverson\( ^1 \) based on \textit{E. inflatum}, Neaverson, owes its great whorl-thickness largely to swelling of the iron pyrites in the body-chamber; and since it was stated to have coarsely ornamented inner whorls (not seen in the holotype before me, B. M. no. C 26909) it may be nearer to \textit{Aposphinctoceras}, Neaverson, than to the same author's \textit{Holcosphinctes}, with crowded and delicate ribs on the early volutions. But separation of all these on the basis of the costation of the inner whorls does not seem to me possible; most of the young are intermediate between the two extremes and the outer whorls are so similar in all that even specific separation is often impossible (compare \textit{Aposphinctoceras variabile} and \textit{Holcosphinctes flexicosatus}, Neaverson\( ^2 \)). Buckman's\( ^3 \) separation of \textit{H. pallasioides} from his \textit{Lydistratites lyditicus}, also, was based merely on a presumed difference in their stratigraphical position. \textit{Holcosphinctes} and probably \textit{Aposphinctoceras} as well as \textit{Episphinctoceras}, Neaverson, thus fall partly within \textit{Lydistratites}, Buckman\( ^4 \), similarly considered for the present to be a sub-genus of \textit{Pavlovia}. It is somewhat intermediate between \textit{Pallasiceras} and the blunter ribbed \textit{Behemoth}, and other Portlandian genera, as defined below, but retains the sharp and low bifurcation of most of the ribs. It is later in date than \textit{Pallasiceras}, but does not include those Chapman's Pool forms that Buckman subsequently assigned to \textit{Lydistratites}, nor the small examples referred below to \textit{Progobalbanites}, now.

Not only do the three genera of Neaverson occur in the same beds as \textit{Lydistratites} and \textit{Pallasiceras}, but if we recognise them as distinct it will be necessary to create still more genera or sub-genera for other ammonites that occur in the Hartwell and Credon Clays but were not studied by Neaverson or Buckman. These include forms with closely ribbed inner whorls (Plate 5, figs. 6a, b), perhaps transitional between \textit{Pallasiceras} and \textit{Lydistratites}, and others with triplicate ribs (Plate 9, figs. 6a, b), i.e. still greater resemblance to typical \textit{Pallasiceras}. Taking the Hartwell assemblage as a whole, it is distinct from the fauna of the \textit{rotunda} nodule-bed and shows resemblance to that of the Portland Sands. And neither \textit{Pallasiceras} nor \textit{Lydistratites} closely resembles the \textit{var. primaria} of \textit{Pavlovia iatriensis} to which group the genus \textit{Pavlovia}
should be restricted, with its bifurcating and single ribs, combined with a depressed whorl-section.

There seems to be no doubt that the fifty varieties of P. iatriensis, Ilovaysky, include representatives of even more groups than those mentioned, and, personally, I can see no real resemblance between, for example, a Russian ammonite I identified with Pavlovia strajeveskyi, var. exericsus, Ilovaysky (B. M., no. C 2376a), but which suggests a transition to P. paulci (Michalski), and the young Katroliceras pottingeri from Kachh, India1. Pavlovia panderi (Eichwald) Michalski or P. boleini (de Lorio), both cited by Ilovaysky, are to me so widely different from the typical P. iatriensis, var. primaria that they can well be attached even to different sub-families. P. panderi, however, is connected by perfect transitions with Dorosplanites dorosplanus on the one hand and with Perisphinctes stschurowskii, Nikitin (and Michalski) on the other; the latter (genus Kochina, nov. see p. 81) and its allies from East Greenland differ from most of the other Pavlovids here described in having smooth outer whors.

The Greenland material supports the common origin of Dorosplanites and Pavlovia (Pallasiceras), although there is nothing exactly like the Russian forms except, perhaps, in suture-line (compare e.g. Plate 33, fig. 1a and Plate 34, fig. 6). It was not until the description of the species was nearly finished that I discovered Pavlovia jubilans to be distinct from the inner whors of the many Dorosplanites with which I had at first confused it; and the transitional forms between Pallasiceras and Dorosplanites (e.g. Plate 26, fig. 3) clearly show that they must be closely allied. But it seems preferable not to include Dorosplanites, as a sub-genus, in Pavlovia, for the latter is too unwieldy already and it gives rise to groups of Portandian Perisphinctids quite different from the descendants of Dorosplanites.

Ammonites pallasianus, d'Orbigny, belongs to another, distinctive group. The example of Lydistratites (B. M., no. 88622, Plate 22, fig. 4) cited by Miss Healey2) as a link between her var. nov. of Olocostephanus pallasianus (d'Orbigny) and the Russian species, is indeed so much like one of Vischniakoff's3) specimens of that form that specific identity might almost be claimed. Yet Michalski4) included the Russian ammonite in his Olocostephanus acuticostatus, the genotype of Acuticostites, Semenow 1895 (= "Paravirgatites", Ilovaysky, 1924 = "Holcostephanoides", Spath, 1924), but its close ally Amm. pallasianus, d'Orbigny, was left by Ilovaysky in the genus Virgatites s. s. There are yet other species of "Virgatites" showing resemblance to Pavlovinae; but since Aulacosphinctoides also often resembles Dorosplanites, and since some South American species that have been described as "Virgatites" could equally well be included in Virgatosphinctes5), there is little significance in this existence of passage-forms between the different sub-families of Perisphinctidae.

With Ilovaysky, I would look upon the original of Michalski's pl. iv, fig. 4 as representing the true Amm. pallasianus, but this is connected by transitions with all the forms figured by Vischniakoff5) as Amm. pallasianus so that it is inseparable from the genus Acuticostites. It is as distinct from Virgatites as it is from the group of Pavlovia pseudaperta nov., here separated as a new sub-genus, Epipallasiceras, nov. This may be defined as including Pavlovids similar to Pallasiceras, but with the fine costation of the inner whors retained to a much later stage and changing very slowly instead of abruptly; also having the sides flattened instead of bulging as in Lydistratites and the comparatively short secondary ribs generally arranged in pairs, with wider intervals. Suture-line simple, as in other Pavlovids.

As by way of Perisphinctes tchernyschovi, Michalski6) Acuticostites connects up with Pavlovia (Pallasiceras) inflata, while Pavlovia (Epipallasiceras) pseudaperta is transitional to Lydistratites and Crendonites on the one hand and to Virgatites (Zarajskites) apertus on the other, it is clear that Pavlovinae and Virgatitinae are closely allied. It seems possible, in fact, to assign not only Epipallasiceras, but even Acuticostites and, perhaps, also Epivirgatites to the sub-family Pavlovinae. The still imperfectly understood Virgatitinae would then include only the typical genus Virgatites, Pavlow (= Euvirgatites, Lewinski) and Zarajskites, Semenow (= Provirgatites, Lewinski), which although said to be different in age, could well be united into one genus. For with Pavlov and Ilovaysky7), I attach little value to the so-called "olecostephanid" young stage observable in certain Virgatites, and in my opinion the young Virgatites figured in Michalski's pl. IV, fig. 2 has nothing to do with the original of fig. 4.

\[ \text{Paravirgatites, Buckman, 1922}6) \] (non Paravirgatites, Ilovaysky, 1924)

1) See Spath, loc. cit. (Pal. Indica, N. S., vol. IX, no. 2), pt. IV, 1931, pl. XCV, fig. 6. The East African form cited by Ilovaysky as Pavlovia strajeveskyi(? ) var. nov. was there refigured in Plate CII, fig. 5.
3) Description des Planulati (Perisphinctes) jurassiques de Moscou. I, 1882, pl. i, fig. 5.
which includes *Shotoverites*, Buckman, 1925\(^1\)), is distinguished from its successor *Pallasioceras* by acquiring very coarse ornamentation on the body-chamber, thus still showing resemblance to the earlier and equally megalomorph genus *Sphinctoceras*. But while *Parawirgatites infrequens*, Buckman\(^2\), is unrecognisable and the small example of *P. parawirgatus*\(^3\) is doubtful, *P. desideratus*, Buckman\(^4\) is either a *Pallasioceras* or a *Lydistratites*. Since *Pallasioceras* and *Lydistratites* also occasionally reach gigantic dimensions, there is no reason why *Parawirgatites* should not be included as a sub-genus in *Pavlonia*; for the four groups here discussed will often be impossible to distinguish, unless the material is not only well preserved, but complete to the mouth-borders.

In the Portland Sands, *Pavlonia* of the type of *P. (Lydistratites?) worthensis*, nov. (Plate 18, fig. 6) and other ammonites resembling *P. (L.?) bippliciformis* (Nikitin)\(^5\) are dominant, associated with *Crendonites pregorei* (Plate 22, fig. 2), also some ammonites described as *Virgatites*\(^6\). I have myself collected these forms which are always crushed and I have referred to some of them as *Provirgatites* (or rather *Zarainskites) scythicus*\(^7\), but I now believe that the identification with *Virgatites* was erroneous, as in the case of Lamplugh, Kitchin and Pringle's V. cf. *zarainskien*s\(^8\) (non Vischniakoff-Michalka). The examples figured in Plate 20, fig. 2, and Plate 24, fig. 2 will show that the resemblance to the true Russian *Virgatites scythicus* (e.g., B. M., no. 74212) is superficial, the inner whorls being entirely different, while the projection of the secondary ribs and their virgatoid bundling are due partly to the compression. These ammonites, in fact, are probably merely crushed "*Lydistratites"* of the *biforum* and *cunctator* group, but since this name cannot be used (for the reasons given on p. 27), I am now proposing *Proalgabites*, gen. nov., type to be *P. albani*, Arkell sp. (1935, pl. XXVI, fig. 2), which I take to comprise *Virgatites scythicus*, Buckman\(^9\) *non* Michalski, and possibly *Olecostephanus apertus*, Sauvage, non Vischniakoff\(^10\). The last, however, like the same author's *Virgatites triplicatus* (non Pavlow)\(^11\) and *Virgatites scythicus*, Sauvage (non Vischniakoff\(^12\)) is said by Pruvost\(^13\) to have come from a higher level. *Proalgabites* is very close to the inner whors of *Crendonites* and also foreshadows certain *Kerberites*, so that it is included in the present family, especially as it is also connected by transitions with *Lydistratites*, such as *P. (L.) vulgaris*, nov. (Plate 17, fig. 5) and allies, i.e. the group that perhaps includes *Perisphinctes nikitini*, Sauvage (non Michalski)\(^14\).

The same beds in the Portland Sands yield the first representatives of *Crendonites*\(^15\) which genus, however, attains its maximum development only at higher levels. The inner whors of *Crendonites* are extremely variable; I am figuring a few to show how the ribbing also resembles that of *Virgatitidas* at an early stage, while the evolve outer whors, with their characteristic biplicate and single ribs and smooth collars are much like certain earlier Pavlovinae. I am using the name *Crendonites*, Buckman, 1923, because *C. leptolobatus*, Buckman, with its simple suture-line and final collar is an undoubted ally of the true *C. gorei*, whilst *Glaucolithites*\(^16\) by its suture-line, is closer to some (more finely ribbed) forms of the genus *Behemoth*, discussed below. *Gyromegagites*, Buckman\(^17\) is probably only a large *Crendonites*, but the small *Perisphinctes culbinoides*, Burchhardt\(^18\), probably does not belong to this genus, contrary to my previous view\(^19\).

The second group of (often gigantic) ammonites of the Portland Sands for which I would adopt the name *Behemoth*, Buckman, 1922,

---


2) *Loc. cit.*, p. 461, pl. ix, fig. 6. Probably a young *Kerberites*, but connected with *Proalgabites* by passage-forms.

3) *Ibid.*, p. 462, pl. ix, fig. 5 (as represented by examples in Mr. Waddington’s colln.).


5) *Loc. cit. (1912)*, p. 456, pl. IX, fig. 1.

6) E. g. *C. pregorei*, sp. nov. (Plate 22, fig. 2), with short and approximate secondaries, as compared with the contemporary *Lydistratites* (Plate 18, fig. 6; compare also *Virgatites palasionus* (non d’Orbigny) Buckman, Type Ammonites, vol. VI, 1926, pl. DCXCI).


8) *Type Ammonites*, vol. VI, 1925, pl. 630 A, B only. What Buckman called the "*Lydistratites"* stage is the unstable, virgatoid early stage above referred to.


simply because it is the earliest, may also be referred to Pavlovia, but it includes forms with more complicated suture-lines and therefore considerable resemblance to the contemporary persistent stocks (such as *Aulacosphinctoides*) of the Mediterranean Province. The genotype of *Behemoth* (*B. megasthenes*, Buckman) is almost unrecognisable, but *B. lapideus*, Buckman (also 1922), which is merely a green "Leucopetites", Buckman*, enables us to discover what group of ammonites its author may have had in mind when creating the genus *Behemoth*. The inner whorls of *Behemoth* (figured as "Leucopetites" *leucos*, Buckman*) represent a typical Pavlovian, but one at least of my own examples of "L." *caementarius*, Buckman*) has the subvagridot, inner whorls of *Kerberites*, discussed below. *Behemoth*, thus must not be taken to be sharply separated from the later *Kerberites* and *Titanites*, just as *Crenodonites* is connected with the latter by passage-forms, as mentioned below. *Hydrostratites*, Buckman*, based on the poorly preserved outer whorls of a single specimen, appears to be synonymous with "Glaucolithides" and therefore *Behemoth*, but *Aquistratites aquatus*, Buckman*, from the same beds cannot yet be definitely placed with any known group, and may be the precursor of a constricted group of Portland Stone ammonites, discussed below. But Buckman cannot have known what were the real affinities of the ammonites to which he gave these generic names, and such differentiation as "lack of constrictions" is not very helpful. As in the case of the still more poorly preserved *Simotionites*, Buckman*, picked off a heap of stones, the bestowal of a new name was unjustified. Of course it is not impossible that in time fresh finds may show that there existed a group of ammonites to which the generic name *Simotionites* might be applied, but meanwhile nobody can possibly derive benefit from the figuration of such a desirable object as "Simotionites simus", especially since there is not the slightest evidence for connecting with it the doubtful *Kerberites* inner whorls figured by Buckman on another plate*.

There remains another large group of ammonites to discuss, namely

---

1) Type Ammonites, vol. IV, 1922, pl. 305 A, A*, B. The difficulty of recognising this is reflected in Arkell (op. cit., 1935, p. 306) who applied *Behemoth* to what I should include in *Titanites* and *Kerberites*.

2) *Ibid.*., pl. 342 A, B.

3) *Ibid.*., pl. 507 A, B.


5) *Ibid.*., vol. VI, 1926, p. 677. Buckman stressed the stratigraphical significance of his species, quite rightly, so far as we can see at present. But Mr. C. H. Waddington informs me that Buckman mixed up the data supplied to him.


8) *Ibid.*., vol. IV, 1923, pl. 403 A.

9) *Ibid.*., pl. 402 B.

---
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the group of *Perisphinctes giganteus*, recorded from Cape Leslie by both Rosenkrantz and Parat and Drach. I previously thought that the large number of often unjustifiable genera created by Buckman for the apparently very diverse members of this group could be reduced to two genera, namely *Titanites* and *Troponites* (or *Kerberites*). The latter dates from 1922 and is based on a large specimen (*T. trophon*, Buckman), the earlier whorls of which are not exposed. Smaller examples (e.g. 2958) from the Creamy Limestones of Barrel Hill, Long Crendon, in the Buckman Collection (B. M.) are what I consider to be normal *Titanites*, being intermediate between "Gigantites" *zeta* and a Haddenham specimen (labelled "Galbanites", but not agreeing with any figured species of this genus) in the Buckman Collection (no. 3901). "Troponites" *pseudoogigas*, figured in 1923), is only an inflated form of the same group (of *Titanites*), so that *Kerberites*, 1924, will have to be used instead of *Troponites* for the second group, above mentioned.

But since I discussed these two groups, I have been attempting to collect inner whorls and small examples of these Portlandian types; for not only was the original division based more on a stratigraphical than a palaeontological basis, but the constant handling of such ammonites had not shown this twofold division to be particularly practicable.

A large form like *Troponites trophon*, Buckman*) may look rather different from a smaller ammonite like "Kerberites" *okusensis* (Salford MS) Buckman*), in a figure that is necessarily (but all the same misleading) reduced to a quarter of the natural size. But I have now collected from the highest *Titanites* beds of both Dancing Ledge and Sheepshights Quarry (Isle of Purbeck) small examples of *Titanites* that cannot be satisfactorily distinguished from the inner whorls of "Kerberites" *okusensis* so common in the Cockley Bed of Swindon, Wiltshire. Clearly this type of "Kerberites" is not separable generically from *Titanites* nor is there any time significance attached to the two groups.

It may be remembered that the thickness of the Portland Stone Beds that have yielded all these giants is only just over 100 ft or 30 m (i.e. a thickness of beds during which the *Palaeoceras* faunas of England and Greenland persisted apparently unchanged). I am not attempting to prove that there may not be large gaps in the sequence, but while *Ammonites bononiensis*, de Loric*, may perhaps be specifically distinct
from such similar types as "Galbanites" galbanus, Buckman\(^1\) and "Galbanites" cretarius, Buckman\(^2\), their outer whorls are so similar that a single genus for all might seem the only practical possibility, at least for the present.

The palaeontologist will find it impossible to match these large ammonites satisfactorily, apart from the limits imposed by defective preservation or absence of earlier stages. There are good collections of these Portlandian ammonites in the British Museum, including many from the Buckman Collection; and the figured specimens are in the Museum of the Geological Survey, but scarcely two of these giants are alike, even in measurements which, in any case, are valueless. The inner whorls, however, may vary still more considerably. Some are of what may be called the *triplicatus* type, illustrated by *Kerberites kerberus*, Buckman\(^3\) and its allies (see Plate 18, figs. 2a, b) and *K. portlandensis*, Cox (Plate 7, fig. 6 = *Amm. triplicatus*, Blake non Sowerby\(^4\)). I am now figuring what must be Blake's\(^5\) original (Plate 16, fig. 2), but its preservation (and especially the beautiful iridescence of the shell) is entirely different from that of the ammonites of Cox's basal shell-bed of the Portland Stone. There is no reason to believe these small *Kerberites* to be fully grown ammonites. The inner whorls of forms like that figured in Plate 18, fig. 2 (*K. kerberus*) are indistinguishable from Blake's *Amm. triplicatus* and the early volutions of *K. trikranaus*, Buckman\(^6\)), and the ammonites figured in Plate 20, figs. 4a, b; Plate 21, figs. 4a, b; and Plate 27, figs. 4a, b, show that *K. swindonensis* (Pavlov\()\) and the extreme "Galbanites" fasciger (Buckman\(^7\)) and perhaps "Simotochites" sinuos (Buckman, *partim*\(^8\)), all belong to the same group.

Now, however difficult it may be to identify imperfect specimens or the similar outer whorls of the *bononiensis* type, if it could be shown that there is a more or less well defined group (*Kerberites*), characterised by these triplicate inner whorls, the problem would be greatly simplified. For while passage-forms to *Titanites*, like *Pleuromegalites* forticosta

\(^1\) *Type Ammonites*, vol. IV, 1922, pl. 355 A, B.
\(^2\) Ibid., vol. VI, 1925, pl. 621.
\(^3\) *Type Ammonites*, vol. V, 1924, pl. 520 only (non 520 A).
\(^6\) *Type Ammonites*, vol. V, 1924, pl. 535.
\(^8\) *Type Ammonites*, vol. V, 1923, pl. 451.
\(^9\) Ibid., vol. IV, 1923, pl. 402 B only (non A which ought never to have been figured).
considered to be transitions between Credonites and Titanites, e.g. "Galbanites" mikrolobus, Buckman\(^1\) which has loose coiling. In reality this is connected with "Gigantites" zeta, already referred to, and similar Titanites of the same beds, and as in the case of the equally defective types of Briareites, Buckman\(^2\) and Polymegalites, Buckman\(^3\), the inner whorls may be assumed to be of the Titanites type. In the case of Hippocreatites, Buckman\(^4\), also, the presence of cadiconic inner whorls (of Teloceras aspect) shows that it is probably merely a large Titanites of the pseudogigas group.

It ought to be added that the name Titanites, Buckman\(^5\) itself is based on a large form of unknown affinities and it is used here merely because it is the oldest (1921). Gigantites, Buckman\(^6\) as first figured (1921) is almost certainly a synonym of Titanites, but it may be doubted whether his species, \(T.\) giganteus, is the same as J. Sowerby's Ammonites giganteus\(^7\). For the septate whorls of Buckman's "G." giganteus are unknown and interpreted by "G." pachymeres\(^8\), Buckman's Gigantites again turns out to be one of the Buckinghamshire Titanites assemblage of which he figured so many, while neglecting other stocks that occur in the Portland Stone elsewhere. One such stock, possibly including the true Ammonites giganteus, J. Sowerby, ranges from the Waterstone of Long Credon, up into the Titanites beds of Portland, and while it is constricted, like Aquisastrites aquator, Buckman\(^9\) its most typical forms\(^10\) are less evolute and altogether more like the southern Polymegalites and allied Perisphinctids than the other Portland Stone genera Titanites, Kerberites, and Credonites.

This treatment of the ammonites of the Portland Stone may seem inconsistent with that of their fore-runners in the Kimeridgian and it would be easy to establish a distinct family for all the genera above discussed and on the basis of the tri- or multiplicate inner whorls, separate them from the Pavlovinae. This, however, in my opinion, would not work in practice, and it seems to me that Buckman himself was constantly altering the range or definition of his genera, when contradictory material was obtained. There are new and distinct ammonites from the Portland beds before me, some over a foot in diameter, showing all the growth-stages, and quite different from any that Buckman figured; but even if it were possible to figure them now, I should hesitate to name them in view of the uncertain status of so many of Buckman's genera. When the ammonites of, for example, the Spiti Shales or the Upper Jurassic of Mexico, are more accurately correlated than I have been able to do in a recent table\(^11\), it may be possible to appraise the real affinities of the Portlandian Perisphinctids; meanwhile I prefer to consider them as merely a local and rather homogeneous assemblage of Pavlovid derivatives not strikingly different from their fore-runners in the Upper Kimeridgian Clay and the Portland Sands, and therefore well grouped in only a few genera. They are referred to Pavlovinae in spite of the fact that Ilovaisky would not have included Pavlow's Perisphinctites triplicatus or \(P.\) swinodonensis in the genus Pavlovia; for, as in the Virgatitids, while the inner whorls may foreshadow the succeeding Craspeditids, they are no guide to the undoubted Pavlodiv ancestry of the group.

The family Pavlovinae then includes the following genera:

Genus *Pavlovia*, Ilovaisky.

Sub-genus *Paravirgatites*, Buckman.

— *Pallasiceras*, Spath.

— *Lydiistratites*, Buckman.

— *Epipallasiceras*, nov.

— *Behemoth*, Buckman.

— *Credonites*, Buckman.

— *Kerberites*, Buckman.

— *Titanites*, Buckman.

— *Progalbanites*, nov.

— *Dorsoplanites*, Semenov.

— *Kochina*, nov.

— *Acuticostites*, Semenov.

Those marked with an asterisk (*) are represented from East Greenland.

Genus *PAVLOVIA*, Ilovaisky, 1917.

*Pavlovia allowirgatoideus*, sp. nov.

(Plate 7, figs. 4a, b; Plate 14, figs. 3a—c).

Diagnosis:— Rather narrow whorls (substenogyral), slightly wider than high (subleptogyrall), with rather open umbilicus (sublatumbilicate). Whorl-section nearly circular, with steep umbilical wall but rounded edge. Ribbing at first fine and close, mostly bifurcating, but with oc-

\(^{1}\) Ibid., vol. V, 1923, pl. 439.

\(^{2}\) Ibid., vol. III, 1921, pl. 257.

\(^{3}\) Ibid., vol. VI, 1925, pl. 591.

\(^{4}\) Ibid., vol. V, 1924, pl. 495.

\(^{5}\) Ibid., vol. III, pl. 231.

\(^{6}\) Ibid., vol. III, pl. 256. A slightly more involute variety was figured (vol. IV, 1922, pl. 343) as *Trophonites imperator*, Buckman.


\(^{8}\) Type Ammonites, vol. VI, 1925, pl. 592.

\(^{9}\) Ibid., vol. V, 1924, pl. 534.

\(^{10}\) Some of these, in the Buckman Collection, are labelled *Glaucolitites*.

casional single ribs which increase in number with size. One trifid rib on body-chamber and four constrictions to the outer whorl, two bordered by single ribs each way. Suture-line simple, with low and broad saddles (see Plate 14, fig. 3c).

**Measurements:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype</th>
<th>Paratype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>57 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of outer whorl</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of outer whorl</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>44 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:— This species shows a striking resemblance to those more coarsely-ribbed varieties of his Virgatosphinctoides that Neaverson described as Allovirgates, e.g. the holotype of A. versicoatus, now before me (B. M. no. C 26899). There seems to be almost specific identity, even in the simple suture-line, but if the smaller example (Plate 7, fig. 4) is correctly assigned to the present species, this is distinguished not only by its longer and projected secondary ribs in the adult, but by a difference in early stage. This smaller example, in fact, differs from an immature *Pallasiceras* figured in Plate 8, fig. 5 or from *P. (P.) gracilis*, Neaverson merely in delaying the change in ribbing and developing single ribs already at a small diameter, though these are generally confined to one side at that stage. An undescribed English form, almost identical with the present species and apparently from the rotunda nodule bed (B. M. no. C 6638), has still longer secondaries, but a smaller umbilicus; it differs in any essential from the inner whorls of *P. pseudaperta* (Plate 9, fig. 2) may also be similar.

**Horizon:**— Glaucanitic Series, apparently lowest part; Upper Kimmeridgian.

**Locality:**— Hartz Mtn., N.W. Ridge, Loc. N. No. 213.

---

**Pavlovia jubilans, sp. nov.**

(Plate 35, figs. 4a, b; Plate 39, figs. 1a, b).

**Diagnosis:**— Rather narrow whorls (substenogyral), rather thin (subleptogyral), with fairly open umbilicus (sublumatubilicate). Whorl-section circular, with rounded umbilical wall and greatest thickness at middle of side. Ribbing rather fine and close, bifurcate and single, with occasional irregularities, caused by indistinct constrictions. Suture-line simple.

**Measurements:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype</th>
<th>Paratype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>76 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of outer whorl</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of outer whorl</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>49 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:— The holotype has over half a whorl of body-chamber; the paratype nearly three-quarters, but both may be incomplete. The latter shows approximation of the ribbing towards the end and is therefore the more complete; the holotype, however, has the earliest whorls preserved, at least as an impression in the rock, and they are seen to be very finely ribbed, the ribs being inclined forward.

This form seems to be very close to *P. iatriensis*, var. *primaria*, Ilovaisky which is taken as the type of *Pavlovia* in the restricted sense. It differs in having a less depressed whorl-section than the Russian form, i.e. in having more cylindrical whorls, but otherwise the proportions are not very different, if both text and figure be compared.

*P. allovirgatoides*, nov., is less loosely coiled at the same diameter, less slender and less flexicostate, the ribs being also less recurved. *Autocophinctoides perminutus* (Uhlig) is more evolute and has more regular ribbing.

**Horizon:**— Sandy Clays, 10 m below Glaucanitic Series, Upper Kimmeridgian.

**Locality:**— Perna Ridge, Sandstensfjæld (Nos. 234, 235).

---

**Sub-genus PARAVIRGATITES**, Buckman, 1922.

*Pavlovia (Paravirgatites?)* sp. ind.

(Plate 4, figs. 5a, b).

The large specimen figured in Plate 4, fig. 5 is poorly preserved and partly crushed, so that the diagrammatic whorl-section (fig. 5b), taken at about the middle of the outer volution, may be incorrectly re-
stored. There is a high and perpendicular umbilical wall, evenly rounding off into the sides; and the general roundness of the section is beyond question, but the gentle flattening of the sides shown in the outline drawing is not observed on the last half whorl where the ribs are most prominent at the middle of the lateral areas. The whole of what is left of the outer whorl belonged to the body-chamber, since its beginning marks the end of the septate stage. The last suture-line is unrecognisable and the earlier whorls are too poorly preserved to show suture-lines.

The ribs are very sharp and mostly bifurcating, at about three-fifths of the length from the umbilical suture, so that the point of bifurcation is visible on the inner whorls. There is one triplicate rib near the end of the shell and incompletely quadruplicate ribs, followed by a constriction and a single rib, occur at about a third and again at two-thirds of the length of the body-chamber.

This form is attached to Paravirgatites chiefly on account of its association with Keratinites aff. devillei and K. cf. bodini; but it may be equally close to Pallasisceras. The genotype of Paravirgatites, namely P. paravirgatus, Buckman\(^1\) (another individual variation of which was subsequently figured as "Shotoverites" pringlei, Buckman\(^2\)) is characterised by the slowness with which the ribbing becomes less and less closely spaced, as compared with the genus Pallasisceras (see Plate 10, fig. 2a and "Paravirgatites" desideratus, Buckman\(^3\)). Unfortunately, in the absence of the inner whorls, it is not possible to give a definite identification, but it could be suggested that the body-chamber was only temporary, i.e. that the animal was not fully grown, so that separation of the ribs at a later stage could still have taken place. On the other hand, while the typical forms of Paravirgatites are confined to the pectinatus zone, those very large examples, unfortunately mostly fragmentary, that characterise the nodules in Blake's bed 7, immediately above, do not modify their ornamentation so distinctly on the outer whorls and are therefore much more like large examples of Pallasisceras, except in the retained sharpness of the ribbing.

If I am right in associating the present form with these large Paravirgatites, then it is less close to Pavlovia (Pallasisceras) rotunda, J. Sowerby sp.\(^4\), having in any case sharper and more projected secondary ribs and rather different coiling at the same diameter. The ribs of the inner whorls, so far as they can be seen in the larger Greenland example, are also more closely spaced than in similarly-sized specimens of P. (P.)

---

\(^1\) Type Ammonites, vol. IV, 1922, pl. 308 (non 308b).
\(^2\) Ibid., vol. V, 1925, pl. 562.
\(^3\) Ibid., vol. IV, 1923, pl. 392.
\(^4\) See Neaverson, op. cit. (Ammonites from the Upper Kimmeridge Clay), 1925, p. 18, pl. r, fig. 6.

---
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rotunda, but the doubtful example figured in Plate 8, figs. 2 a, b could not be distinguished from equally imperfect specimens of Pallasisceras and is recorded here only because it is from the same bed as the larger example. The Greenland form described below as P. (P.) rugosa, nov. (Plate 12, fig. 1) differs from the present species in the coiling of the inner whorls, its irregular ribbing, and its whorl-section, the greatest thickness being lower down on the whorl-side in the form here discussed.

Horizon:— Sandy Clays, 65 m below \(\beta\) (but labelled "perhaps \(\beta\) repeated by faulting"), Upper Kimmeridgian, pectinatus zone.


Sub-genus PALLASICERAS, Spath, 1923.

Pavlovia (Pallasisceras) communis, sp. nov.

(Plate 4, figs. 1a, b; 3, 6a, b; Plate 5, figs. 4a, b; 7a, b).


Diagnosis:— Rather high whorls (subplatygyral), rather inflated (subpachygyral), rather widely umbilicate (sublatumbilicate). Whorl-section circular, sometimes slightly flattened laterally in the young; periphery evenly arched; umbilical wall vertical only near the suture, but gently rounding into sides. Ribs first irregular, with long secondaries or occasional single or intercalated costae; later the ribs are nearly all bifurcating and unusually sharp and prominent. Occasional constrictions, not very conspicuous. Mouth-border apparently plain (Plate 4, fig. 1a); length of body-chamber just over half a whorl. Suture-line (Plate 4, fig. 3) simple, with trifid first lateral lobe, about as deep and wide as external lobe, but second lateral lobe very short; two lateral saddles, about same width but second only half as high. Simple auxiliary lobe or lobes near umbilical suture.

Measurements:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype</th>
<th>No. 183m</th>
<th>Plate 5, fig. 7</th>
<th>Plate 4, fig. 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of outer whorl</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37 %/b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of outer whorl</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40 %/b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39 %/b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:— This species is represented by a considerable number of well-preserved specimens and fragments, and it is therefore interpreted rather widely. There are various slight differences in whorl-section, in proportions and in coiling, as well as individual variations in the ribbing, especially when occasional single or triplicate ribs interfere with the regularity of the biciplic costation. For obvious reasons, however, it is impossible to illustrate all these variations. The form is close
to an English ammonite figured by Buckman\textsuperscript{1} as \textit{Paravirgatites desideratus}, but, in my opinion, generically different from the holotype of \textit{Paravirgatites}. That ammonite (in the Survey Collection, no. 45934) is undoubtedly a \textit{Pavlovia (Pallasiceras)} of the rotunda group, but the peripheral view is very misleading and could scarcely be recognised by those who examine the actual specimen.

The other forms of \textit{Pallasiceras} of the rotundum group, figured by Neaverson\textsuperscript{2}, are also closely comparable, but not identical. I am figuring in Plate 10, fig. 2 an example of \textit{P. (P.) concinna} (Neaverson), from Chapman's Pool, and I have a large number of similar young before me (C. H. Waddington and my own collections); but they are all still finely ribbed to a larger diameter and rather different in general appearance (compare Plate 4, fig. 6 and Plate 5, fig. 5). Fragments of medium-sized whorls may be similar, especially if they do not show the deep constrictions, bordered by exaggerated single costae, that characterise the English species. The final whorls are again different, for in the present species the ribs move farther and farther apart, the extreme being reached in the variety figured in Plate 5, fig. 4. The maximum size of the present form, moreover, is only about 75 mm, whereas the English \textit{Pallasiceras} of the rotundum group reach a large size, a specimen from Potterne, Wilts, in the British Museum (No. 88597) being over 500 mm in diameter.

One example (182c), unfortunately poorly preserved, represents a variety with triplicate ribs on the last whorl, but not in the septate stage. The resulting resemblance to \textit{Dorsoplanites dorsiplanus} (Vischnukoff)\textsuperscript{3} is superficial, but if the inner whorls happened to be missing, the affinity of this example with the present species might not have been noticed.

Horizon:—About 36 m below base of Glauconitic Series; nodule bed \(\beta\); Upper Kimmeridgian.

Localities:—Ridge south of Crab Valley; Loc. E, Nos. 182, 183.

\textit{Pavlovia (Pallasiceras) regularis}, sp. nov.

(Plate 3, figs. 3a, b; Plate 4, figs. 2a—c).

Diagnosis:—Like \textit{P. (P.) communis}, but more loosely coiled, with wider umbilicus and lower whorls, and costation very gradually becoming less closely spaced, not suddenly. Ribs on body-chamber also more numerous than in \textit{P. (P.) communis}. Suture-line similarly simple (see Plate 4, fig. 2c). Body-chamber almost a whole whorl in length; aperture apparently plain, as in \textit{P. (P.) communis}.

Measurements:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype</th>
<th>No. 238b</th>
<th>Plate 3, fig. 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>41 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:—The small example last listed is probably a transition to one of the other species here described from the same bed, since it has the finely-ribbed inner whorls of \textit{P. (P.) communis}. Its resemblance to the present form is confined to the rather close spacing of the ribs on the last whorl. The loose coiling of \textit{P. (P.) regularis} suggests comparison with the still more evolute \textit{P. (P.) kimmeridiensis}, Seebach sp. which was wrongly referred by Buckman\textsuperscript{4} to the genus \textit{Holcosphinctes}. The largest example of Seebach's species in the British Museum (No. C 4868 from Wootton Bassett, Wilts, of 55 mm diameter) shows that the original drawing (in Damon)\textsuperscript{5} is rather inaccurate; and while the inner whorls (Plate 5, fig. 2) are somewhat like those of the much more involute original of Plate 3, fig. 3, the point of bifurcation of the ribs is also represented as being too low on the whorl-side. In the present species, with more coarsely-ribbed inner whorls, the primary costae are more projecting (laterally) than in either \textit{P. (P.) kimmeridiensis} or \textit{P. (P.) rotunda}. The proportions of \textit{P. (P.) kimmeridiensis} (55—27—33—50) are also different from those of the species here described.

The example figured in Plate 10, fig. 3 is perhaps too small to be definitely included in the present species rather than in one of the allied forms, but it is interesting on account of the Anomia (or Placunopsis?) attached to its earlier whorls (now partly pyritised). The portion of the outer whorl visible in fig. 3a is all body-chamber, and the Anomia (Placunopsis?) covered by it is attached only to the figured side, as the regular spiral of the umbilical suture on the opposite side is only slightly disturbed at the beginning and again beyond the middle of the body-chamber. The Anomia (Placunopsis?) again grew to a fair size before becoming sealed up by the growth of the ammonite shell; and its lateral attachment may be taken to indicate that the ammonite led a crawling mode of life, with the shell lying on its side, rather than a free-swimming existence, in an upright position, as has been suggested for oxynote shells. In the case of the \textit{Chamoussetia}, I recorded\textsuperscript{6}, however, the Placunopsis valves also were attached to one side of the venter, although

\textsuperscript{1}Type Ammonites, vol. IV, 1923, pl. 382. The stratigraphical significance of this (derived?) specimen is nil.

\textsuperscript{2}Op. cit. (Ammonites of the Upper Kimmeridge Clay), 1925, pl. 1, figs. 7—10.

\textsuperscript{3}See Michalski, loc. cit. (Mém. Com. géol., vol. VIII), 1890, pl. xi, fig. 2a.

\textsuperscript{4}Geology of Weymouth, Suppl. 1860, pl. ix, fig. 9.

probably causing less disturbance of the equilibrium on account of the much larger size of the ammonite. It will be noticed that although normal coiling in the Pavlovia here figured is not resumed until near the end of the body-chamber, the ornamentation has scarcely been affected by the irregularity.

The holotype of the present species is almost identical with a Russian ammonite in the Murchison Collection (B. M., no. 33497) which was recorded in 1924 as belonging to an unnamed species of Pallasiceras. This form is preserved in a striking bluish-black sandstone with pure white belemnite fragments, so that its horizon and, perhaps, exact locality should be determinable by our Russian colleagues.

Horizon:—Sandy Clays, 36 m and 66 m below Glaucnitic Series nodule bed β; Upper Kimmeridgian.

Localities:—Ridge south of Crab Valley; Loc. E, Nos. 182, 183 also 127 and 225 (Pinna Valley), Loc. A, and doubtfully from Rosenkrantz’s Section II, at 62 m.

Pavlovia (Pallasiceras) perinflata, sp. nov.
(Plate 5, figs. 2a, b; 3a, b; Plate 11, figs. 3a, b).

Diagnosis:—Like P. (P.) communis, but with far more rapid increase in whorl-thickness and less distant spacing of the ribs, after a similar, finely-ribbed early stage. Constrictions and occasional irregularities in the ribbing present. Suture-line very simple, as in other Pallasiceras.

Measurements:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Holotype (Plate 5, fig. 2)</th>
<th>Plate 11, fig. 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>95 (at) 33 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:—The small example figured in Plate 11, fig. 3 is one of a number that include transitions to P. (P.) communis and other species here described, and their definite identification is not always possible; but the larger, typical, examples of the present form are all fragmentary. They are all body-chambers, however, showing that the present species did not attain the gigantic size of P. (Pallasiceras) gibbosus and P. (P.) trigonalis (Buckman) which have a somewhat similar, depressed whorl-section. The inner whorls of these two species, however, are closer to P. (P.) rotunda and to the small Pallasiceras wrongly attributed by Buckman to Lydistratites lyditicus (the holotype of which species in my opinion belongs to the same group as Buckman’s “Holocosphinctes” pallasioides, Neaverson, figured on another plate). There are, however, inflated examples of P. (P.) rotunda (L. F. S., 847—848) that can be distinguished from corresponding fragments of P. (P.) perinflata merely by the (laterally) less projecting primary ribs. As in the species last described, the inner whorls are different, being indistinguishable from those of P. (P.) communis. Neaverson’s “Aposphinctoceras” atesburiense, which I would also include in Pallasiceras, is less inflated and has less prominent ribs.

The inner whorls resemble a small, pyritised, form of Pallasiceras in the British Museum (No. C 89), labelled “Per. pavlovi”, which was examined by Pavlov himself in 1898. Unfortunately it is unlocalised and I know of such pyritised specimens only from Wiltshire (Wootton Bassett), where their exact horizon is unknown. But while this example is closer to the present species than to P. (P.) communis (Neaverson), it differs from the holotype of P. pavlovii (Michalski) in its finely-ribbed and more quickly coiled inner whorls. Since, however, Michalski pointed out that these early evolutions were much like a young Dorosplanites dorsoplanus, he figured, and since young English forms of Pallasiceras are similar, though less regularly bifurcate, the difference may be less great than appears from the drawing, especially since Illovaisky pointed out that Michalski represented only one extreme individual of this variable species. On the other hand, the lower point of bifurcation of the ribs and the obvious resemblance to certain forms of Acuticostites make it probable that P. pavlovi is quite distinct.

Horizon:—Sandy, Clays, about 36 m below base of Glaucnitic Series; nodule bed β; Upper Kimmeridgian.

Localities:—Ridge south of Crab Valley, Loc. E, Nos. 182, 183.

Pavlovia (Pallasiceras) subaperta, sp. nov.
(Plate 3, figs. 2a—c; Plate 6, figs. 2a—b; Plate 8, fig. 7; Plate 11, figs. 4a, b; Plate 26, figs. 4a, b).

Diagnosis:—Rather narrow whorls (substenogyral), with whorl-section wider than high (subpachygyral) and rather open umbilicus (sublambulicate). Sides gently flattened, venter evenly arched. Um-

2) Type Ammonitos, vol. VI, 1926, pl. 639 A—D; pl. 674 A, B (as Lydistratites).
bilocical slope rounded, moderately high and tending to be undercut. Ribs at first as in young P. (P.) regularis (see inner whorls of Plate 3, fig. 3a), later the pairs of secondary ribs tend to be close together and the interval between each pair of secondaries is wider (see Plate 3, fig. 26), but there are irregularities, especially on body-chamber fragments. Suture-line simple, as in P. (P.) regularis.

**Measurements:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype</th>
<th>Plate 6, fig. 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>55 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whorl-height</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>35 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whorl-thickness</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>35 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>41 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:** The specimen on which this species is based seems rather a heterogeneous assemblage, and it is almost certain that the originals of Plate 3, figs. 2a–c and of Plate 6, fig. 2 or Plate 8, fig. 1 belong to different varieties, perhaps not the same species as the holotype, but the latter is now selected merely because it is the most complete, except the transition to P. (Epipallasiceras) costata, sp. nov., figured in Plate 26, figs. 4a, b. Unfortunately the periphery of the larger part of its half-whorl of body-chamber is worn, so that the characteristic approximation of the peripheral pairs of ribs is not seen, except in the case of the last few costae which, however, are more distantly spaced than those in the dorsal area of the body-chamber fragment figured in Plate 3, fig. 2, or the still more densely-ribbed fragment represented in Plate 6, fig. 3 (and Plate 20, fig. 5). The outer whorl of the last, described below, has irregular costation, including some triplicate ribs, but they are finer than those of the other body-chamber fragment (Plate 3, fig. 2) and more projected forwards. A third portion of a body-chamber again has only about nine long and short ribs, but they are all different; although it does not much resemble the other two body-chamber fragments, it is more likely to belong to the same form as the holotype. The cast of an impression figured in Plate 8, fig. 7, again, while resembling the holotype to a corresponding diameter, becomes irregularly costate near the end.

The fragmentary specimen figured in Plate 6, fig. 2 has inner whorls indistinguishable from the small example of P. (P.) aff. regularis figured in Plate 3, fig. 3, but on the body-chamber, both closeness of the secondary rib-pairs and alternation of these across the periphery set in. Towards the end of the shell, where the whorl-section also shows rather flattened sides, the appearance therefore is quite different from that of P. (P.) regularis, but it will be seen that the pairs of secondaries are not as approximate as in the doubtful body-chamber fragments above discussed.

---

3) Loc. cit. (Papers from Geol. Dept., Liverpool University), 1925, p. 20, pl. 1, figs. 8–9.
to certain varieties of *Epiurvirgatites nikitini* (Michalski)\(^1\), but the sharpness of the ribbing of the inner whorl and the junction of the peripheral costae at the ventral edge prevent closer comparison. In view of its association with *P. subaperta* and the ammonite figured in Plate 3, fig. 2, it is probable that the present form is another member of the group of ammonites that, as shadow *P. pseudaperta* of the higher Cenomanian beds.

**Horizon:**— Sandy Clays, *subaperta* nodule bed (above \(\beta\)), Upper Kimmeridgian.

**Locality:**— Rosenkrantz’s section II, at 62 m.

*Pavlovia (Pallasiceras) variabilis*, sp. nov.

(Plate 10, figs. 1a, b; Plate 21, figs. 1a, b).

**Diagnosis:**— Rather narrow whorls (subostegyral), slightly higher than wide (subpletegyral), with rather open umbilicus (sublatumalbumicate). Whorl-section oval, with evenly arched venter, gently rounded sides and perpendicular umbilical wall, but no edge. Ribbing of earlier whorls apparently as in Plate 11, fig. 2; later, ribs are blunter, especially the secondaries, and on the last half of the body-chamber the more or less regular bifurcation tends to be lost entirely. Body-chamber almost a whole whorl in length; aperture plain. Suture-line not seen.

**Measurements:**

- Diameter: 200 mm
- Height of last whorl: 33 \(\%\)
- Thickness of last whorl: 31(?)\(\%\)
- Umbilicus: 45 \(\%\)

**Remarks:**— The holotype is slightly deformed by pressure so that the whorl-thickness at the end is somewhat doubtful. The form, altogether, may be considered to be insufficiently known to be given a distinct name; but it cannot be included in any of the other Greenland species here described and at the same time it is distinct from the forms of the *rotunda* group. Since Neaverson\(^2\) and Buckman\(^3\) figured only small examples of *P. (P.) rotunda*, I may say that fragments of large individuals up to about 500 mm diameter are very common in the nodule bed at Chapman’s Pool, Dorset. At a size corresponding to that of the holotype of *P. (P.) variabilis*, the dimensions (190—29—31—52)\(^4\).

---

\(^1\) Loc. cit. (Mem. Com. g. St. Pétersb., vol. VIII, no. 2), 1890, p. 292, pl. xi, figs. 5–8.


\(^3\) Type Ammonites, vol. VI, 1926, pl. 590a—c.

\(^4\) Taken from a specimen (P. 44) in Mr. C. H. Waddington’s collection.

---
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are different in *P. (P.) rotunda*, and the sharp ribbing persists to a much larger diameter, while the ribs remain more closely spaced.

*P. (Pallasiceras) rugosa*, sp. nov. and *P. (Paravirgatites?)* sp. ind., here described, are also distinguished from the present form by their sharp ribbing, while *P. (P.) similis*, sp. nov. and *P. (P.) alterneplicata*, sp. nov. have their ribs more closely spaced. The resemblance between *P. (P.) variabilis* and forms of *Lydistratites (= “Holcosphinctes”)* or still later genera is superficial.

If the small example figured in Plate 21, figs. 1a, b is correctly interpreted as belonging to the species here described, or at least to a close ally, the whorl-section, at smaller diameters, is rather depressed. The ornamentation, at first, is that of the typical *P. (P.) rotunda* (Sowerby), with a deep constriction, preceded by a triplicate rib and followed by a single one. The second half of the body-chamber has irregular ribbing and the mouth-border appears to be complete. The inner whorls, up to the last septal edge, unfortunately, are crushed.

**Horizon:**— Upper Kimmeridgian. The specimens were labelled \(\beta\) (No. 173) and “probably \(\beta\)” (No. 127), but the bluish sandstone matrix is that of Rosenkrantz’s 35 m horizon at section II, 27 m below the *subaperta* nodules.

**Locality:**— Hartz Mountain (South side, section A, No. 127), and Crab Valley (No. 173, the holotype).

*Pavlovia (Pallasiceras) inflata*, sp. nov.

(Plate 14, figs. 1a—c).

**Diagnosis:**— Whorls rather low (subostegyral), rather inflated (subpachygyral), umbilicus rather open (sublatumalbumicate). Whorl-section depressed, with broadly arched venter and evenly convex sides. Umbilical wall vertical and rather high but without edge. Ribs biciplicate or single at first, with occasional deep constrictions; later more irregular and unsymmetrical on the two sides. Suture-line simple (fig. 1e).

**Measurements:**

- Diameter: 105 mm
- Height of last whorl: 31 \(\%\)
- Thickness of last whorl: 42 \(\%\)
- Umbilicus: 47 \(\%\)

**Remarks:**— The preservation of the holotype suggests that it may have been broken off at the last septum, but a fragment of a large *Pallasiceras* from the same bed is still septate at a diameter of about 200 mm (see Plate 34, fig. 4). The impression of the ribbing of the previous whorl in the dorsal area, however, shows this fragment to have belonged
to a more closely costate species, probably a form intermediate between
P. (P.) inflata and P. (P.) kochi, but with blunter costation.

The present species shows some resemblance to certain coarse but
rather distant ribbing and shows occasional triplication of the costae; the
depressed variety of P. (P.) rotunda (J. Sowerby) figured by Buckman
as a 'plesiotype' of Lydistrotites lyditicus, however, is somewhat inter-
glacial between the two Greenland species, judging by an example
of 112 mm diameter in Mr. C. H. Waddington's collection (No. B. 367).

In those inner whorls of large Portlandian ammonites that show
resemblance to the present form (e.g. 'Crendonina' subrotundata, Buck-
man) the costation is far less sharp and the inner whorls have finer
virgatoid, ribbing.

The small example figured in Plate 8, figs. 5a,b, is too immature
to be definitely identified, but probably represents the inner whorls of
the present species or of a close ally. It seems to develop too distant
spaced ribs to be referred to P. (P.) kochi and it is more inflated and more
closely ornamented than the young P. alivirgatoides, nov., but it
well shows the comparatively rapid change in the costation, so typical
of Pallasiceras.

If a corroded and crushed specimen (Rosenkrantz Coll., 4107)
belongs to the present species, as seems probable, then at larger diameters
there is a change to more typical Pallasiceras ribbing, with constrictions,
bordered by triplicate and single costae.

Horizon:—Glaucocitic Series, apparently lowest part; Upper
Kimmeridgian.

Localities:—Hartz Mtn., N. W. Ridge, Loc. N, No. 213. Doubt-
fully from Rosenkrantz's section I (at 100 m) and section II (70 m
loose).

Pavlovia (Pallasiceras) kochi, sp. nov.
(Plate 15, figs. 1a,b).

Diagnosis:—Rather narrow whorls (substenogyral), considerably
wider than high (subpachygyral), with fairly wide umbilicus (sublatum-
bilicate). Whorl-section transversely oval, with broad and evenly rounded

1) In Lamplugh, Kitchin and Pringle: The Concealed Mesozoic Rocks in Kent.
3) Type Ammonites, vol. VI, 1925, pl. 607.
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venter, gently flattened sides and high umbilical slope, with rounded
border but overhanging the umbilical suture. Ribbing uniformly close
and straight, mostly bifurcating beyond the middle of the side, but inside
the umbilical suture, with occasional constrictions, bordered by ac-
centuated, single or trifurcating ribs. Suture-line with external saddle
rather broadly-stemmed and first lateral lobe as deep as external lobe;
similar to that of P. (P.) rotunda (J. Sowerby).

Measurements:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>220 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whorl-height</td>
<td>31 %/o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whorl-thickness</td>
<td>40 %/o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>48 %/o</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:—The holotype is still septate at the end and it can
be seen that there was at least another whorl, so that the complete
ammonite must have been of gigantic dimensions. The great whorl-
thickness which is the most characteristic feature distinguishing the
present species from the closely allied P. (P.) rotunda (J. Sowerby)
and its varieties, is based on the proportions at the middle of fig.
1b; nearer the end the side not figured is broken away and coated
with Ostrea of the bononiae type. P. (P.) gibbosa (Buckman) has
a different whorl-section, the sides being more projecting and, conversely,
the venter less broad and flat. Both these species also have blunter
ribbing, especially on internal casts; so has the large fragment, already
referred to under P. (P.) inflata, from the same bed, the suture-line
of which is figured in Plate 34, fig. 4.

P. (P.) rugosa, nov. has a less depressed whorl-section and is more
distantly costate at the same diameter, while P. (P.) similis, nov. has
still slenderer whorls. In P. (Paravirgatites?) sp. ind. (Plate 4, fig. 5) the
ribbing is sharper and more projected ventrally.

Horizon:—Glaucocitic Series, apparently lowest part; Upper
Kimmeridgian.


Pavlovia (Pallasiceras?) alternreplicata, sp. nov.
(Plate 11, fig. 1; Plate 12, fig. 3; Plate 17, fig. 2).

Diagnosis:—Rather narrow whorls (substenogyral), only slightly
wider than high (subleptogyral), widely umbilicate (latumbilicate).
Ribs rather blunt, especially on cast, alternately single and biplicate,
but irregularly, on account of interference by constrictions, sometimes

1) Type Ammonites, vol. VI, 1926, pl. 639a—c; 1927, pl. 639d (as "Lydistrot-
ites" gibbosus).
preceded by triplicate ribs. Suture-line with slender saddles and first lateral lobe slightly deeper than the external lobe, resembling suture lines of other Pallasiceras (Plate 17, fig. 2).

**Measurements:**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>135 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>30 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>32 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>50 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:** The unusual bluntness of the ribbing seems to be against reference to Pallasiceras, but on the last half-whorl of the holotype, just after the break visible in fig. 1 (Plate 11, on the left) there is a characteristic constriction, preceded by a triplicate rib and followed by two single costae. The inner whorls, judging by the impress left in the dorsal area of the youngest whorl shown, were very finely ribbed as in the immature Pallasiceras figured in Plate 5, fig. 5, but the change to more distant ribbing is gradual, not sudden, as in P. (P.) rotunda and its allies.

**P. allovirgatoides**, nov. is slenderer and less bluntly ribbed, while **P. kochi**, nov. is still more depressed. In spite of a slightly different, i.e. sharper type of ribbing, however, this latter species is probably the closest ally of the present form, so that its reference to Pallasiceras may be justified. The resemblance to Burekhardt's \(^1\) more involute Perisphinctes theodossii, a form compared to Amm. panderi, d’Orbigny, is probably only superficial, and, in any case, the inner whorls are different. The many other alternative Perisphinctids described from more southern areas are still less closely related, and in Crendonites, which often has single costae alternating with bifurcating ribs, especially on the body-chamber, the whorl-shape and coiling are different.

**Horizon:** Glauconitic Series, apparently lowest part; Upper Kimmeridgian.

**Locality:** Hartz Mtn. N. W. Ridge, Loc. N; No. 213.

**Pavlovia (Pallasiceras) rugosa**, sp. nov.

(Plate 11, fig. 2; Plate 12, figs. 1a, b; Plate 17, figs. 3a, b).

**Diagnosis:** Rather narrow-whorled (substenogyral), with thickness greater than whorl-height (subpachygyral) and fairly open umbilicus (sublumbar). Whorl-section rounded, with convex sides, greatest thickness at middle, and broadly-arched venter, more depressed (like Plate 4, fig. 2b) in younger stages. Umbilical wall high but edge rounded.

---

\(^1\) Faunas jurásicas de Symon &c. Bol. no. 33, Inst. Geol. Mexico, 1919, p. 18; 1921, pl. viii, fig. 1.

---
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Ribbing at first rather closely spaced, biplicate, but with occasional triplicate ribs, followed by a constriction and then a single rib, as in typical Pallasiceras. Costation tending to become more irregular on body-chambers. Suture-line with broad external saddle, first lateral lobe less deep than external lobe, and short second lateral lobe (Plate 11, fig. 2).

**Measurements:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype (Plate 11, fig. 2)</th>
<th>Pl. 12, fig. 1</th>
<th>No. R. 147</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>165 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>29 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>35 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>48 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:**—The holotype and the small example figured in Plate 17, fig. 3 may not belong to the same species, but the larger and more fragmentary specimen represented in Plate 12, fig. 1 shows merely a less depressed whorl-section than the type. The measurements and the restored sectional outline, however, are not reliable, owing to deformation in the rock. The differences in ribbing also are probably due merely to the difference in size, the larger body-chamber portion (Plate 12, fig. 1) showing, as usual, irregularities, notably two single ribs, preceded by constrictions. There are also intercalated secondaries instead of distinct trifurcation, as in the holotype (third or fourth rib from end), so that the latter may be considered to be closer to Pallasiceras, while the larger example (Plate 12, fig. 1) approaches “Holocosphinctes” (= Lydistratites). Yet the differences are probably not even specific, for the much earlier forms of Paravirgatites (Plate 4, fig. 5) were also already essentially similar. On the other hand, the example figured in Plate 12, fig. 1 is perhaps a transition to the slightly more closely ribbed P. (P.? rotundiformis), described below, which has a wider umbilicus.

The whorl-section of the small example figured in Plate 17, fig. 3 is more bulging at the lower half of the sides than the inner whorls of the holotype and the ribbing is less close. What is of greater importance, however, is the low position of the point of bifurcation of the ribs; as this is visible in the umbilicus, it suggests comparison of this small example with Pavlovia pavlovi (Michalski). According to Ilovaisky \(^2\), Michalski figured only one rare and extreme variety of this variable species and since he considered a far commoner form to be rather close to a young Dorsoplanites dorsoplanus figured by Michalski, it is probable that he had in mind forms which in 1924 \(^3\) (in ignorance of Ilovaisky’s

\(^1\) Loc. cit. (Mém. Com. géol. St. Pétersb., vol. viii, no. 2), 1890, pl. xi, figs. 6a, b.


work) I included in Pallasiceras (e.g., B. M., no. 33497). If these are the true Pavonia, then the small example here figured is a far more typical representative of the genus than the holotype of P. (P.) rugosa or especially the other species referred to Pallasiceras, with their finely-ribbed centres.

The largest example listed above has over three-quarters of the outer whorl belonging to the body-chamber and the whorl-section is then greatly inflated, with the umbilical wall overhanging the previous whorl. There are two oblique constrictions on the body-chamber and the ribbing, less sharp than on the previous whorl or in the holotype, is merely biplicate or occasionally single, and inclined forward, as in many Portlandian ammonites (compare "Leucopetrites" caementarius, Buckman)" as well as in typical Pallasiceras. Fragments of other large examples, listed below, are only doubtfully included in the present species.

Horizon:—Glauconic Series, about 14 m above base; Upper Kimmeridgian.

Localities:—Pinna Valley, Hartz Mtn., Loc. A, No. 223; Rosenkrantz's section I, between 115 and 130 m.

Pavonia (Pallasiceras) similis, sp. nov.
(Plate 12, figs. 4a, b).

Diagnosis:—Like last (P. rugosa) but more evolute and at first slightly, and later distinctly, less distantly costate, the number of ribs (at about 90—100 mm diameter) being in the proportion of 44:33 per half whorl. Less depressed whorl-section, more nearly circular, with steep umbilical wall but rounded edge. Suture-line of same general plan, but first lateral lobe as deep as the external lobe, not shorter, as in P. (P.)rugosa.

Measurements:—
Diameter ........................................ 112 mm
Height of last whorl ................................ 30 %
Thickness of last whorl .............................. 32 %
Umbilicus .......................................... 47 %

Remarks:— The holotype is entirely septate and while it is sufficiently distinct from the other forms here described for specific separation, it is possible that on the body-chamber the differences from P. rugosa would have been still further accentuated, the resemblance being in reality confined to the earlier whorls, so far as can be seen.

1) Type Ammonites, vol. VI, 1926, pl. DCLXXVII.

While the inner whorls only are similar to those of P. (P.) inflata, the approximation of the ribbing on the outer whorl (not even body-chamber) is quite unknown in the English forms of the rotunda group. It is thus possible that P. (P.) similis is transitional to the genus Epipallasiceras. The doubtful immature example figured in Plate 21, figs. 1a, b and provisionally attached to P. (P.) variabilis, sp. nov. would not be readily separable from similar inner whorls of the present form, but it comes from a lower horizon. The other forms here described all differ in their ribbing and most of them also in proportions and whorl-shape.

Horizon:—Glauconic Series, upper part? Upper Kimmeridgian (or Portlandian?).


Pavonia (Pallasiceras) rotundiformis, sp. nov.
(Plate 19, figs. 3a, b).

Diagnosis:—Like P. (P.) rotunda (J. Sowerby)1) but with a more rounded, less depressed, whorl-section, with the primary ribs less projecting sideways, and with longer secondaries. Ribbing sharp and rather irregular. Suture-line simple, similar to that of P. (P.) rotunda (Plate 18, fig. 4).

Measurements:—
Diameter ........................................ 120 mm
Height of last whorl ................................ 32 %
Thickness of last whorl .............................. 32 %
Umbilicus .......................................... 48 %

Remarks:—The constriction shown at the bottom of fig. 3a is preceded by a single rib and succeeded by a triplicate one, while the constriction at the last third of the outer whorl is followed by a single rib, so that no importance is attached to these irregularities, especially as the hundreds of examples of P. (P.) rotunda before me also show considerable variation. It is probable, however, that the present form is somewhat transitional to Lydistratites which generally has longer secondaries and which is less regularly costate than the typical Pallasiceras. Over three-quarters of the outer whorl of the (crushed) holotype belong to the body-chamber and in a comparable specimen of P. (P.) rotunda (Waddington Coll. no. 248, of dimensions:—107—29—34—49) with half a whorl of body-chamber, the ribbing of the adult is almost

1) For definition see Neaverson, op. cit. (Ammonites of the Upper Kimmeridge Clay), 1925, p. 18, pl. 1, fig. 6. Both Neaverson and Buckman have had the loan of the specimen [no. 346] on which the genus Pallasiceras was based (Spath: Blake Collection of Ammonites from Kachh, loc. cit., 1924, p. 16) and which is identical with Neaverson's example, now also in the British Museum.
identical, though perhaps not the whorl-shape. The ribs of the earlier whorls, however, are more distantly spaced; even on the innermost whorls of the ribbing is preserved to show that there was nothing like the denticostate stage of the typical *Pallasiceras* (see Plate 5, fig. 5).

The other forms of *Pallasiceras* here described, with the exception of one transitional example (Plate 12, fig. 1), are sufficiently distinct, even in proportions, to be easily separated from *P. roundiformis*, but some of the species of *Crendonites* discussed below and especially the somewhat transitional forms described as *C. subregularis*, nov. and *C. angulatus*, nov. are perhaps more closely comparable. They differ in the ribbing, with comparatively short secondaries, and the regularly biciplicate septate stage preceding the very long body-chamber, but in the case of fragments differentiation may not be easy.

There are two large fragments of *Perisphinctid* (loc. 179 a,b) which may be outer whorls of the present species, but which are difficult to compare on account of their size. The larger example represents the body-chamber of an individual of about 270 mm diameter; and the more or less regular biciplication (with comparatively long secondaries, but only one single rib, preceded by a constriction, also one or two intercalated ribs) is not noticeably different from that of many large English examples of *Pallasiceras* and *Lydistratites* before me.

**Horizon:**—Glaucolithic Series, upper part; Portlandian?

**Localities:**—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section 1, at 115–130 m. Doubtfully also from below Mt. Hennig (loc. E, no. 179).

**Sub-genus EPIPALLASICERAS**, nov.

*Pavonia* (*Epipallasiceras*) *pseudaperta*, sp. nov.

Plate 8, fig. 1; Plate 9, figs. 3, 4; Plate 11, fig. 5; Plate 16, figs. 1, 4; Plate 20, fig. 1; Plate 39, fig. 2).

**Diagnosis:**—Rather narrow whorls in adult (substenogyrical), with thickness about the same as or slightly more than the whorl-height (subleptogyral), but subtletygryal and subbachygyral in earlier stages. Whorl-section with flattened sides and evenly arched venter, high umbilical slope but rounded edge. Ribbing at first fine and close, projected peripherally and somewhat irregular; later more evenly biciplicate with the two secondaries close together and the intervening spaces between the pairs of secondaries distinctly wider (except when the ribs alternate across the ventral area). Deeply triplicate or bidichotomous ribs again on larger whorls. Suture-line simple, with external lobe as deep as the first lateral and rather broad saddles (Plate 8, fig. 1 a; Plate 9, fig. 3 a).

**Measurements:**—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Holotype</th>
<th>PL. 8, fig. 1</th>
<th>PL. 9, fig. 3</th>
<th>PL. 9, fig. 4</th>
<th>PL. 11, fig. 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter ..............</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl ...</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>38 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>30(?)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus .............</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:**—The holotype retains a part of the body-chamber (over a third of the outer whorl) and most of the numerous other specimens of this commonest of all the ammonites of the Glaucolithic Beds are even smaller. The malformation represented in Plate 16, figs. 4 a–c, also septate to quite near the end, is interesting because the animal had its shell injured at a very early stage, and kept on growing asymmetrically. The siphonal lobe is displaced slightly to the left, while the secondary ribbing is moved down from the periphery to the (morphologically) right-hand side (as seen in fig. 4 b); since the suture line also is scarcely affected, the injury to the shell-secreting anterior portion of the mantle cannot have been very serious and the difference in the ornamentation of the two sides (so of generic importance) may have been negligible, the septal surfaces and position of the siphuncle automatically restoring equilibrium.

The example figured in Plate 8, fig. 1 with a more rounded and more inflated whorl-section, loses the characteristic ribbing towards the end and then shows considerable resemblance to *P. allivirgatoides* (Plate 14, fig. 3). Conversely the inner whorls attached to the latter species (Plate 16, fig. 3) are not distinguishable from those of undoubted examples of the present form, e.g. the original of Plate 9, fig. 4; but the inner whorls of another specimen figured in Plate 11, fig. 5, with short and paired secondaries already at a small diameter, suggest affinity with some of the other species here described. It shows more distinctly than the other examples what has been called the "*Lydistratites* stage", but at that size it is scarcely distinguishable from the form figured in Plate 39, figs. 2 a, b, which is merely an involute variety of the present species, with slightly more virgatoid ribbing. The proportions of this variety (var. *superba*, nov.) are:—68–40–35–34, and they might have been considered sufficiently distinct for specific separation of this form. But the later whorls of the smaller example represented in Plate 11, fig. 5 are again so much like the typical *P. (E.) pseudaperta* that the var. *superba* is now also left in this species.

The crushed example figured in Plate 20, fig. 1 seems to have longer secondaries and a smaller umbilicus, but these differences may be due merely to the crushing. It appears to stand in the same relationship to *P. (E.) pseudaperta*, as the crushed specimen figured in Plate 18, fig. 3...
Pavlovia (Epipallasiceras) tumida, sp. nov.

(Plate 17, figs. 1a, b).

**Diagnosis:**—Rather narrow whorls (substenogyral); much wider than high (subpachygyral), rather widely umbilicate (sublatumbilicate). Whorl-section with convex sides and broadly arched venter, high and vertical umbilical wall, but rounded edge. Primary ribs in umbilicus rather regular, 32 to the whorl, with occasional constrictions, as in *Pallasiceras*, but inner whorls not so closely ribbed and secondaries arranged in pairs, with wide interspaces. Suture-line not clearly visible.

**Measurements:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype (Plate 7, fig. 1)</th>
<th>Plate 18, fig. 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>73 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>45 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:**—The peripheral view of the body-chamber figured in Plate 18, fig. 1 seems very typical, yet it can only doubtfully be attached to this species. It is probably transitional to some of the other forms of *Pavlovia* here described, for example *P. (Pallasiceras) rugosa* or *P. (Pallasiceras) tumida*, but, before irregularities in the costation appear toward the end of this fragment, the paired secondaries have the characteristic appearance of those of the holotype. The latter might perhaps be considered too close to *P. pseudaperta* to be separated as more than a variety thereof; but I am now describing it as a distinct species on account of its resemblance to *Acucicosites pallassianus* (d'Orbigny). This resemblance is particularly striking in peripheral views (compare Plate 18, fig. 1 and Plate 25, fig. 3), but there is no tendency to produce single ribs in the forms of the present group which rather revert to the *Pallasiceras* type of ribbing on the outer whorl.

It ought to be added that the holotype shows just the beginning of the body-chamber. A portion of this (omitted in the figure on account of imperfect preservation) retains the typical distant costation and depressed whorl-section, but the umbilical wall is there overhanging.

The crushed fragment figured in Plate 10, fig. 7 is only provisionally referred to the species here described, but it is of interest on account of its resemblance to *Crendonites pregorei*, sp. nov. (Plate 22, fig. 2). The pairs of secondaries, however, in the latter species, are not nearly so closely spaced (viewed peripherally) as in *P. (E.) costata*.

**Horizon:**—Glaucnctic Series; Portlandian.

**Localities:**—East side of Hartz Mtn., between Crab Valley and Gray Ravine (Locs. D, A, P, nos. 162, 134, 245); also Cape Leslie (Rosenkrantz's section I, at 165 m).

**Pavlovia (Epipallasiceras) costata,** sp. nov.

(Plate 7, fig. 1; Plate 10, fig. 7; Plate 18, figs. 1a, b; 3).

**Diagnosis:**—Like *P. pseudaperta*, but with ribbing coarser and more distantly spaced. Suture-line simple, as in the last species.

**Measurements:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype (Plate 7, fig. 1)</th>
<th>Plate 18, fig. 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>73 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>45 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:**—The peripheral view of the body-chamber figured in Plate 18, fig. 1 seems very typical, yet it can only doubtfully be attached to this species. It is probably transitional to some of the other forms of *Pavlovia* here described, for example *P. (Pallasiceras) rugosa* or *P. (Pallasiceras) tumida*, but, before irregularities in the costation appear toward the end of this fragment, the paired secondaries have the characteristic appearance of those of the holotype. The latter might perhaps be considered too close to *P. pseudaperta* to be separated as more than a variety thereof; but I am now describing it as a distinct species on account of its resemblance to *Acucicosites pallassianus* (d'Orbigny). This resemblance is particularly striking in peripheral views (compare Plate 18, fig. 1 and Plate 25, fig. 3), but there is no tendency to produce single ribs in the forms of the present group which rather revert to the *Pallasiceras* type of ribbing on the outer whorl.

It ought to be added that the holotype shows just the beginning of the body-chamber. A portion of this (omitted in the figure on account of imperfect preservation) retains the typical distant costation and depressed whorl-section, but the umbilical wall is there overhanging.

The crushed fragment figured in Plate 10, fig. 7 is only provisionally referred to the species here described, but it is of interest on account of its resemblance to *Crendonites pregorei*, sp. nov. (Plate 22, fig. 2). The pairs of secondaries, however, in the latter species, are not nearly so closely spaced (viewed peripherally) as in *P. (E.) costata*.

**Horizon:**—Glaucnctic Series; Portlandian.

**Localities:**—Many localities between C. Leslie and Hartz Mtn., e.g. nos. 142, 162-164, 167, 175-178, 185. Also from Rosenkrantz's section I, at 100-115 m.

**Pavlovia (Epipallasiceras) costata**, sp. nov.

(Plate 7, fig. 1; Plate 10, fig. 7; Plate 18, figs. 1a, b; 3).

**Diagnosis:**—Like *P. pseudaperta*, but with ribbing coarser and more distantly spaced. Suture-line simple, as in the last species.

**Measurements:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype (Plate 7, fig. 1)</th>
<th>Plate 18, fig. 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>73 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>45 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:**—The peripheral view of the body-chamber figured in Plate 18, fig. 1 seems very typical, yet it can only doubtfully be attached to this species. It is probably transitional to some of the other forms of *Pavlovia* here described, for example *P. (Pallasiceras) rugosa* or *P. (Pallasiceras) tumida*, but, before irregularities in the costation appear toward the end of this fragment, the paired secondaries have the characteristic appearance of those of the holotype. The latter might perhaps be considered too close to *P. pseudaperta* to be separated as more than a variety thereof; but I am now describing it as a distinct species on account of its resemblance to *Acucicosites pallassianus* (d'Orbigny). This resemblance is particularly striking in peripheral views (compare Plate 18, fig. 1 and Plate 25, fig. 3), but there is no tendency to produce single ribs in the forms of the present group which rather revert to the *Pallasiceras* type of ribbing on the outer whorl.

It ought to be added that the holotype shows just the beginning of the body-chamber. A portion of this (omitted in the figure on account of imperfect preservation) retains the typical distant costation and depressed whorl-section, but the umbilical wall is there overhanging.

The crushed fragment figured in Plate 10, fig. 7 is only provisionally referred to the species here described, but it is of interest on account of its resemblance to *Crendonites pregorei*, sp. nov. (Plate 22, fig. 2). The pairs of secondaries, however, in the latter species, are not nearly so closely spaced (viewed peripherally) as in *P. (E.) costata*.

**Horizon:**—Glaucnctic Series; Portlandian.

**Localities:**—Many localities between C. Leslie and Hartz Mtn., e.g. nos. 142, 162-164, 167, 175-178, 185. Also from Rosenkrantz's section I, at 100-115 m.

**Pavlovia (Epipallasiceras) tumida**, sp. nov.

(Plate 17, figs. 1a, b).
and less specialised costation on the body-chamber. \textit{P. (E.) praecox} shows a comparable decline in the ribbing of the outer whorl.

A portion of the outer whorl of the holotype of \textit{P. (E.) tumida} was omitted in the illustration, but at 110 mm diameter it was still septate. The whorl-thickness, then, was at least 44\%, as in \textit{P. (Pallasiceras) perinflata}, which, however, has entirely different ribbing.

**Horizon:** Glauconitic Series, upper part; Portlandian.

**Locality:** Cape Leslie (Rosenkrantz's section 1, at 100–115 and 115–130 m).

\textit{Pavlowia (Epipallasiceras) praecox}, sp. nov.

(Plate 25, figs. 1a, b).

**Diagnosis:** Rather narrow whorls (substenogyral), wider than high (subpachygyral), with open umbilicus (latumbilicate). Whorl section evenly rounded, slightly depressed, with high and perpendicular umbilical wall and gently rounded edge. Ribbing at first flexuous, with secondaries clearly paired and wider interspaces; later, return to \textit{Pallasiceras} costation, with constrictions, followed by single ribs, and with occasional tripartite ribs. Suture-line similar to that of \textit{P. (E.) pseudaperta} with first lateral lobe much shorter than external lobe.

**Measurements:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype (Plate 25, fig. 1)</th>
<th>No. 176 m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>127 mm</td>
<td>100 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>28 %</td>
<td>30 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>36 %</td>
<td>39 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>52 %</td>
<td>45 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:** The smaller example, also entirely septate, connects the holotype of this species with \textit{P. (E.) pseudaperta}, but apart from the considerable differences in general shape, and in the whorl-thickness, there is a difference in the point of bifurcation of the ribs, the forking in the present species not being visible inside the umbilical suture. The transitional young example figured in Plate 25, fig. 3, however, differs from the present form merely in its lateral flattening.

A fragmentary example (No. 176b), of about 125 mm diameter (still septate), has more finely-ribbed inner whorls, indistinguishable from those here associated with \textit{P. (E.) pseudaperta} (e.g. Plate 11, fig. 5), but its outer whorl has the peripheral aspect of the holotype of \textit{P. (E.) praecox} (Plate 25, fig. 1b). This example, however, is not only more involute at the same (large) diameter, but it has several single ribs among the bifid costae, so that it also bears some resemblance to (the less sharply ribbed) \textit{P. (?)} \textit{alterneplicata}. This second specimen is probably merely a variety of the present species, especially since it comes from the same bed.

**Horizon:** Glauconitic Series, upper part (top 5 feet); Portlandian.

**Locality:** Ridge south of Crab Valley, loc. E, no. 176.

\textit{Pavlowia (Epipallasiceras?) sp. ind.} (Plate 20, figs. 6a, b).

The fragment here figured is too incomplete even for definite generic identification, but it is of interest on account of its resemblance to southern types, like \textit{Perisphinctes biplicatus}, Uhlig\(^3\). This resemblance, in all probability, is entirely superficial; for the mode of biplication is different, the Himalayan species dividing its ribs into branches that diverge rapidly and sharply, whereas the Greenland fragment shows not only far more projected costation, but thickened primaries, with the thinner secondaries separating very gradually.

This may seem a small point; and Ilovaisky's provisional reference of \textit{P. biplicatus} to his genus \textit{Pavlowia} shows that there is general agreement in ribbing and suture-line. But since I described \textit{Subdichotomoceras biplicatoidea}\(^4\) of Middle Kimmeridgian age, from Somaliland, and collected many biplicate ammonites, from \textit{Perisphinctes biplex} (Sowerby) of the Amphill Clay (Neoxfordian) to \textit{Titanites} of the highest Portlandian, I have seen too many cases of convergence in these Perisphinctids to suggest definite reference to \textit{Pavlowia}.

Imbedded in the same rock was a young example of \textit{P. (Epipallasiceras) pseudaperta}, and although the larger ammonite of the same group figured in Plate 25, fig. 1 seems to have entirely different costation, towards the end, yet it is chiefly the length of the primaries (and the whorl-section) that causes the difference in aspect. Unfortunately the impress of the previous whorl in the dorsal area could not be exposed. The narrowness of the dorsum, shown in the outline whorl-section (fig. 6b) indicates that the fragment may be somewhat crushed even at the larger end (beginning of the body-chamber), as the ventral area of the camerate portion has been accidentally depressed. If the original whorl-shape, thus, was more rounded, much of the resemblance to southern types of Perisphinctids has lost its significance. Although it is possible that the fragment belonged to a form of the group of \textit{P. (Epipallasiceras) pseudaperta}, there is a considerable difference in the ribbing, compared, for example, with the passage-form between this species and \textit{P. (E.) praecox}, referred to on p. 60.


Ammonites and Aptychi, p. 128, pl. xvi, fig. 6.
Horizon:—Glauconic Series, upper part; Portlandian.
Locality:—125 (East side of Hartz Mountain, Pinna Valley); Loc. A, south.

Genus CREDONITES, Buckman, 1923.

Crendonites lesliei, sp. nov.
(Plate 13, fig. 1; Plate 19, fig. 1; Plate 22, fig. 5).

Diagnosis:—Rather narrow and thin whorls (substenogryral subleptogyral), with rather open umbilicus (sublatumbilicate). Whorlsection evenly rounded, sides scarcely flattened. Ribbing fairly regularly biplicate, alternating across venter, with comparatively short secondaries; occasional constrictions, rarely single ribs or trifurcation; fine and close ribbing with long secondaries on earliest whorls. Suture-line simple as in other Crendonites.

Measurements:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Holotype</th>
<th>Plate 22</th>
<th>Plate 19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>Plate 13, fig. 1</td>
<td>Plate 19, fig. 5</td>
<td>Plate 19, fig. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:—The preservation of the various examples here figured is such that their reference to a single species is open to criticism. The impression, for example, from which was taken the plaster-cast figured in Plate 22, fig. 5 seems to show more reclined ribbing than the holotype and the similar but smaller paratype (Plate 19, fig. 1), but this may be due to deformation in the rock. More doubtful is the fragment with a portion of the solid (uncrushed) body-chamber, figured in Plate 22, figs. 1a, b, since it has three single ribs. It may belong to a distinct species; and such smaller, crushed, fragments as those represented in Plate 10, figs. 4–5 might, of course, equally provisionally be attached to some of the other forms of Crendonites here described, except for the irregularities in the ribbing. The same applies to the finely ribbed inner whorls figured in Plate 16, fig. 5.

While C. euglyptus, sp. nov. has more distant costation, C. gorei (Salfeld) and especially the more accelerated C. subgorei, nov. (Plate 9, fig. 5) have coarser ribbing already at a comparatively early stage. The inner whorls of another typical Crendonites, however (Plate 14, fig. 2), compare well with some of the examples included in the present species, e. g. the original of Plate 10, fig. 4. C. leptolobatus, Buckman;


* Type Ammonites, vol. IV, 1923, pl. cid.
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being based on a poorly preserved specimen, is difficult to compare, but in costation seems to agree more with C. subregularis, described below, than with the present species. The fragment figured in Plate 22, fig. 1, however, shows how the ribbing of the body-chamber may closely resemble that of the typical Crendonites of the gorei group. One fragment before me (No. 176) from the Okus Quarry at Swindon, in fact, is almost indistinguishable from the outer whorl of the Greenland holotype.

Horizon:—Sandy Shales above Glauconic Series (horizon a); Portlandian.
Locality:—Cape Leslie (Rosenkrantz’s section I at 165 m and II at 200 m); also south-east of Signal 6 M (No. 202); and 100 m north of Signal 1 M (No. 153).

Crendonites euglyptus sp. nov.
(Plate 9, fig. 1; Plate 13, figs. 3a, b).

Diagnosis:—Like last, but with slightly wider umbilicus (latumbilicate) and more distant costation (36 peripheral ribs, as against 44 to the half whorl in C. lesliei, at the same diameter). Ribs regularly bifurcating and perfectly alternating across the periphery from beginning to end (of body-chamber). Suture-line simple. Body-chamber a whole whorl in length.

Measurements:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Holotype</th>
<th>Plate 13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>Plate 9, fig. 1</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>73 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>25 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:—Owing to the crushing of the holotype, the thickness cannot be determined, but in the smaller paratype which is only slightly deformed by pressure the whorl-thickness is about equal to the height. This paratype example, with a complete whorl of body-chamber, has fewer ribs than the holotype, but this is probably due merely to its smaller size. One of two other fragments, doubtfully referred to this species, shows the inner whorls more clearly than the paratype and they can be seen to be finely-ribbed, like the immature and crushed Crendonites figured in Plate 7, fig. 3. The still smaller inner whorls of probably Crendonites, figured in Plate 2, fig. 2 and Plate 22, fig. 6, are crushed obliquely and are not specifically determinable.

The typical C. gorei (Salfeld), as represented, for example, by a specimen in the Mantell Collection (B. M., no. 10133), less “accelerated” than the form figured in Plate 9, fig. 5, i.e. acquiring distant costation less rapidly, is very similar to the present species, towards the end of the
septate stage; but the ribbing of the body-chamber is less sharp and
more irregular. C. leptolobatus, Buckman, which is connected with
C. gorei by numerous passage-forms of intermediate size (e. g. B. M.,
no. C 35972, Buckman Coll.) is slightly less closely comparable, but
C. subregularis differs from the present species chiefly in its more
inflated whorl-section. It is, however, also less distantly costate, as is
C. anguinus, with far less regular ribbing.

Horizon:— Sandy Shales above Glaucnitic Series (horizon a);
Portlandian.

Localities:— Cape Leslie (Rosenkrantz's section I at 165 m and
II at 200 m).

Crendonites subregularis, sp. nov.
(Plate 13, figs. 4, 5; Plate 18, fig. 5; Plate 29, fig. 3).

Diagnosis:— Like C. euglyptus, but with whorl-thickness consider-
ably greater than height, smaller umbilicus, and slightly less
proximally spaced costation. Suture-line apparently simple. Body-chamber
a whole whorl in length.

Measurements:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter</th>
<th>Holotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plate 13, fig. 5</td>
<td>(Plate 13, fig. 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:— Since the inner whorls of the holotype are not exposed,
it is not certain that the smaller example here listed belongs to the
same species, especially since it has a more depressed whorl-section.
But it is clearly a very closely allied form and it is of interest on account
of its subvirgatoid early volutions. Up to a diameter of about 15 mm,
the inner sides are almost smooth, the fine secondary ribs are strongly
projected at the venter and the whorl-section is greatly compressed,
with a sub-tabulate periphery. In English Crendonites from the
Portlandia, such as the new species of which the suture-line is figured
in Plate 7, fig. 7, the subvirgatoid aspect of the inner whorls may persist
to a much later stage (compare Plate 28, fig. 3).

The specimens figured in Plate 18, figs. 5a, b, and Plate 20, fig. 3,
are badly crushed and can only provisionally be attached to the present
species, while the original of Plate 21, fig. 3, probably owes its long
secondaries also merely to crushing. A still more doubtful fragment with
the last two septal edges has one single rib, like the holotype.

The increased whorl-thickness of the present form as compared
with C. leslei and C. euglyptus causes a certain resemblance to Pavlovia
(Pallasiceras) and, perhaps, less so to P. (Lydiastreites) which latter
however, has still longer secondaries, even in the adult. The resemblance
to Epipallasiceras is perhaps slightly more pronounced, but this also,
on its short body-chambers, reverts to Pallasiceras ribbing.

Horizon:— Sandy Shales above Glaucnitic Series (horizon a);
Portlandian.

Localities:— Cape Leslie (Rosenkrantz's section I at 165 m and
II at 200); Lingula Ridge (No. 202); also 100 m north of Signal 1 M
(Nos. 153, 155) and Crab Valley (loc. D, No. 165).

Crendonites anguinus, sp. nov.
(Plate 21, figs. 2a—c).

Diagnosis:— Rather narrow whorls (substenogyrall), with thickness
greater than height (subpachygyral) and open umbilicus (latumbilicate).
Whorl-section at first almost subquadrate, later more circular, with
bulging instead of flattened sides. Ribbing at first irregular, with smooth
inner sides showing in umbilicus. Later more regular, bipectate, with
the secondaries inclined forward but the primary stem straight and
radial. Decline of costation on outer whorl, with single ribs appearing
and secondaries becoming merely intercalated. Suture-line (fig. 2c and
Plate 6, fig. 5) simple.

Measurements:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter</th>
<th>Holotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurements:—</td>
<td>at 84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:— The holotype retains half a whorl of body-chamber
and the general resemblance to the more megalomorph C. Gorei (Salfeld)
would no doubt be enhanced by the presence of the missing half, com-
plete to the aperture, which may be presumed to have been a smooth
collar, as in all Crendonites. In C. gorei, however, the inner whorls (com-
pare Plate 14, fig. 2) are more compressed or at least not wider than
high and although it is not certain that the immature Crendonites figured
in Plate 17, fig. 2 (which, however, is compressed, like the young C. gorei)
belongs to the present species, it indicates that in the Greenland forms
of Crendonites the virgatiguloid ribbing of the early volutions is less pro-
nounced than in the examples from the Portland Stone.

The fragment figured in Plate 22, fig. 1, and already referred to
under C. leslei may be transitional between that species and C. anguinus,
but differs from both, not only in its circular whorl-section, but in
having single ribs, when the bifurcation is still sharp and perfect.
C. euglyptus and C. subregularis have more distant costation.
Horizon:—Sandy Shales above Glauconitic Series (horizon a); Portlandian.

Locality:—Cape Leslie (Rosenkrantz's section 1, at 165 m).

Genus BEHEMOTH, Buckman, 1922.

Beemoth groenlandicus, sp. nov. (Plate 23, fig. 1; Plate 24, fig. 1).


Diagnosis:—Rather narrow whorls (substenogyral), less wide than high (subpleistogyral), widely umbilicate (latumbilicate). Whorl-section rounded, slightly compressed, with evenly arched venter and high and vertical umbilical wall but rounded edge. Ribbing bicipitate at first, with blunt primary stems, almost radial and projected at umbilical end, and prorsiradiate secondaries, also some faint constrictions; later degeneration affects both primaries and secondaries and curvature increases. Suture-line not exposed. Length of body-chamber unknown.

Measurements:

- Diameter ................................... (at) 378 mm
- Height of last whorl ........................... 28 %
- Thickness of last whorl ........................ 24 %
- Umbilicus ................................... 52 %

Remarks:—The actual diameter of the holotype must have been over 600 mm and on account of the difficulty of recognizing species from reduced figures, I am representing part of the inner whorls in natural size (Plate 24, fig. 1). The absence of the earlier volutions, unfortunately, prevents exact comparison with allied species, but the form is certainly different from any English Portlandian species before me except that on the outer whorl. The degeneration of the ribbing on this outer whorl, of course, suggests affinity of the Greenland form with Titans titans, Buckman³) and its allies “Gigantites” and “Briareites”, Buckman, but the earlier whorls are unlike those of Portland Stone species and can be matched more satisfactorily with those of forms from the Portland Sands and especially their equivalents in the Glauconitic Beds of Long Crendon and neighbourhood. There is an example in the Buckman Collection (No. 3822) identified as a “Glaucolithites” which appears to be of the same group but has perhaps slightly longer secondaries, while another example (No. 3875) referred by Buckman to the same genus, has closer and more flexuous costation at an earlier stage than the Greenland species. There is a suggestion of increasingly coarser, blunter

³) Type Ammonites, vol. III, 1921, pl. ccxxxi. A. B.

and more distant costation in the present form, the younger the whorl, and it is possible that the inner volutions were comparable to those bluntly ribbed ammonites that Buckman distributed among his genera Behemoth, Glaucolithites, Leucopetrites and Hydrostratites. There is nothing like these, however, among the many small Greenland ammonites from the Glauconitic Series, so that it would be unsafe to deduce the horizon from this general resemblance.

A large fragment of an ammonite in the Waddington Collection, similar to the outer whorl of the present species, but of a smaller individual, is from the Messive Bed, but what is preserved of the previous whorl shows much closer ribbing than that of the form here described. Conversely the ammonites from still lower levels are yet more different, so that Behemoth, as defined above (p. 32) seems to be the only genus available for the present species.

The Behemoth recorded by Cox (Plate 23, fig. 1) from the basal Shell Bed of the Portland Stone again resembles the ammonite here described at a comparable size. It has the characteristic blunt costation of Behemoth on its inner whorls, but is, then, quite different from the young Amm. non bononiensis, P. de Lorio.

Horizon:—Glauconitic Series; upper part. Portlandian.

Locality:—Rosenkrantz’s section 1, at 130 m. A doubtful small fragment is from loc. 181 (Ridge south of Crab Valley), E.

Genus TITANITES, Buckman, 1921.

Titanites? sp. ind.

There is a portion of presumably the body-chamber of a very large ammonite (associated with the Craspeditid referred to on p. 87), which shows at a whorl-height of at least 120 mm five primary ribs in a horizontal distance of 70 mm (measured on the vertical umbilical wall). One of the three median ribs bifurcates, but indistinctly; the secondary rib (the forward branch) comes off the continuous primary at a rather low level. The other two primary ribs are single and the secondaries are merely intercalated, one of them being shorter than the other which itself is not so long as the sub-bifurcating rib, already mentioned. The remaining two (end) ribs cannot be followed and the periphery is absent.

This type of ribbing is found in large Titanites from Portland and in various Gigantites and Galbanites from Long Crendon and Haddenham in the Buckman Collection (British Museum), but specific identification is, of course, out of question. I may add that the fragment and its counterpart form the two halves of a split nodule of a sandy ironstone and that it is not a portion of a large ammonite, out of a normal sediment.

pronounced ribbing on the flattened outer whorl could be taken to be a transition to such forms of Pavlovia as *P. (Pallasiceras) subaperta* (Plate 11, fig. 4). The resemblance, however, may be accidental and due to the fragmentary condition of many of the ammonites from the same bed. In any case the secondary ribs are much longer and less sharp in the forms referred to *D. antiquus* and there is never any approximation of the pairs of secondaries.

Horizon:— Glauconitic Series (base, 5—10 m up and again 46 m up, at another locality) and below (*subaperta* nodule bed).

Localities:— Pinna Valley (loc. A, no. 223); N. E. ridge of Hartz Mtn. (loc. P, no. 245); north-west ridge (loc. N, no. 213); also Cape Leslie (Rosenkrantz’s section 11, at 62 m).

**Dorsoplanites transitorius,** sp. nov.

(Plate 14, figs. 4a, b; Plate 33, figs. 9a, b).

Diagnosis:— Like *D. antiquus*, but with circular whorl-section and much coarser ribbing on the outer whorl. Suture-line very simple; body-chamber over three-quarters of last whorl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements:</th>
<th>Holotype (Plate 33, fig. 9)</th>
<th>Plate 14, fig. 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>31 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35 %/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35 %/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43 %/0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:— This form might have been considered to represent merely a variety of *D. antiquus*, but it is separated because it is an important link between the present genus and the ancestral Pavlovids, e.g. *Pallasiceras*. The outer whorl of the holotype is essentially like that of *Dorsoplanites* sp. nov.? ind. figured in Plate 26, fig. 3, but the inner whorls show both finer ribbing and looser coiling. The ribs, moreover, are deeply and fairly regularly biplicate and although there may be an occasional single or triplicate rib, the lack of the accompanying deep constrictions, so conspicuous in *Pavlovia* (*Pallasiceras*), e.g. *P. (P.) kimmeridiensis*, Seebach sp. (B. M., no. C 4868), with somewhat similar external aspect, allows of ready distinction of the present form.

**D. subpanderi** which is similar in aspect but more megalomorph, has flattened sides and more projected ribbing. Its inner whorls are also less finely ribbed and less evolute than those of the present species, so that at an equal size the two forms are quite distinct. In *D. dorsoplanoides*, the ribbing is blunt and not sharp like that of the outer whorl of *D. transitorius*.
Horizon:—Glaucconitic Series, lowest part (?) and 5—10 m above base; Upper Kimmeridgian (and Portlandian?).

Localities:—Pinna Valley, loc. A (No. 223); N. W. Ridge of Hartz Mtn., loc. N (No. 213).

Dorsoplanites aldingeri, sp. nov.
(Plate 5, figs. 1a, b; Plate 34, figs. 2a, b).


Diagnosis:—Whorls depressed, rather inflated (subpachygyral) with fairly open umbilicus (sublatumbilicate). Whorl-section subrefiiform, with bulging sides, broadly arched venter and high and steep umbilical slope. Ribbing first more or less regularly biplicate, later intercalated secondaries or triclicate ribs appear; branching occurs at middle of side or below. Suture-line simple, with trifid first lateral lobe, shorter than external lobe and very small bifid second lateral lobe, higher than in fig. 3 (Plate 4). Body-chamber apparently almost a whole whorl in length.

Measurements:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype</th>
<th>Plate 34, fig. 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>59 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32 %/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40 %/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>42 %/0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:—The smaller and slenderer example figured in Plate 34, fig. 2, is close to the ammonite represented in Plate 37, fig. 6, and discussed under D. maximus (p. 71). It differs from the holotype of the present species in its more finely ribbed inner whors and a far less depressed whorl-section so that it might equally well have been referred to D. maximus. It agrees with the present form, however, in having a slight sinus forward in the peripheral ribbing. This sinus is distinct already on the inner whors shown in fig. 1a (Plate 5) where a piece was intentionally omitted in the photograph. It is probable that the immature example figured in Plate 38, figs. 2a, b represents the young stage of such a transitional form.

The holotype was labelled by Rosenkrantz “Pavlovia aff. dorsoplan (Vischniakoff) Michalski”: and there is, indeed, considerable resemblance to two Russian Dorsoplanites before me (B. M., no. C 2776 and 74213). The first agrees with Michalski’s1) figure, but is still septate at a diameter of about 90 mm and therefore does not show the raised ribs at the end of the second whorl which is more closely ribbed inner whors than the first, or

Dorsoplanites maximus, sp. nov.
(Plate 26, fig. 1; Plate 28, fig. 1; Plate 32, fig. 3; Plate 37, figs. 6a, b).

Diagnosis:—Rather narrow whors (substenogyral), rather inflated (subpachygyral), with fairly open umbilicus (sublatumbilicate). Whorl-section evenly rounded, slightly depressed, with gentle umbilical slope and no edge. Ribs more or less regularly biplicate at first, later triplicate or dischizotorllous; the ribbing becomes more irregular and at the same time indistinct, especially on the internal side. Primary ribs gently curving forward, on umbilical slope as well as towards periphery. Constrictions present on inner whors. Suture-line (Plate 32, fig. 3) with deep external lobe, but variable.

Measurements:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype</th>
<th>No. 176 m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>(at) 155</td>
<td>96 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31 %/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>35 %/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43 %/0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:—The holotype is entirely septate but lacks only another air-chamber and the body-chamber, part of which is preserved but was omitted in the figure. The crescentic primary ribs or bulges continue apparently with little change. The specimen figured in Plate 33, fig. 1, 1) Op. cit. (Description des Planulati &c.), 1882, pl. ii, fig. 3 (the lectotype being pl. I bis, fig. 5).
is directly transitional to \textit{D. gracilis}, described below, and a number of still smaller examples, resembling that figured in Plate 33, fig. 2, are probably similar passage-forms between the two species; some are included here only on account of the absence of compression. But they are also comparable to the inner whorls of the example figured in Plate 37, fig. 6, which differs from the two typical examples above listed in retaining more pronounced ribbing, especially secondary, and in having almost no peripheral projection, so that it probably represents a distinct variety.

\textit{D. crassus} (Plate 29, fig. 5) differs from the example figured in Plate 37, fig. 6 chiefly in its much coarser ribbing; and in \textit{D. jamesoni} there are numerous single ribs, but no thickened primary stems. \textit{D. flavus} (Plate 34, fig. 1) is perhaps the closest ally of the present species, but its primary ribs are much sharper and are becoming effaced earlier, while the secondary costae are indistinct at a considerably smaller diameter. The suture-lines, however, are very similar in all the forms of \textit{Dorsoplanites} (compare Plate 34, fig. 1c and Plate 37, fig 6a), although the width of the lateral saddles varies considerably in the same species.

One example (No. 2468) retains portions of the test and it can be seen that the ribbing is considerably sharper than on the internal cast, although the primary stems are blunter than in \textit{D. flavus}. But some of the ribs are very nearly dischizotomous while others are biplicate or triplicate. This style of ribbing is somewhat reminiscent of that of the Andine \textit{Virgatites} figured by Burckhardti, but the resemblance is probably entirely superficial.

\textbf{Horizon:—} Glauconitic Series, 12—14 m up (where thickness is only about 17 m); Portlandian.

\textbf{Locality:—} Ridge south of Crab Valley; loc. E (Nos. 176, 221).

\textit{Dorsoplanites gracilis, sp. nov.}

(Plate 27, figs. 1a, b; Plate 28, figs. 3a, b; Plate 29, figs. 2a, b; Plate 30, figs. 2a, b; Plate 32, figs. 2a, b, 5a, b; Plate 33, figs. 3—6; Plate 35, fig. 3).

\textbf{Diagnosis:—} Like \textit{D. maximus}, but compressed instead of depressed, and with more elegant ribbing. Constrictions distinct and more projected than the costation. Suture-line generally with broader lateral saddles and less oblique auxiliaries than shown in Plate 32, fig. 3.

\textbf{Measurements:—}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Holotype</th>
<th>Transition to var. \textit{tenuicostata}</th>
<th>var. \textit{evoluta}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>(Pl. 29, fig. 2)</td>
<td>(Pl. 33, fig. 1)</td>
<td>(Plate 30, fig. 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\footnote{1) \textit{Beitrage zur Kenntniss der Jura- und Kreideformation der Cordillere. Palaeontogr., vol. i, 1903, e. g. pl. vii, fig. 1.}}

\textbf{Remarks:—} The holotype is entirely septate, but a portion of the outer whorl is omitted in the figure. There was nearly another half-whorl of camerella before the beginning of the body-chamber, where the ribbing is very feeble. With the body-chamber complete, the diameter must originally have been over 120 mm and the flattening of the outer whorl is not so conspicuous as in some of the numerous other specimens of this common species. In one example of the var. \textit{evoluta} (No. 124) at a diameter of about 95 mm, the body-chamber occupies three-quarters of the outer whorl, but is broken at the apertural end. In this var. \textit{evoluta}, the costation tends to become slightly coarser towards the end, even in the septate stage (Plate 30, fig. 2), which causes a distant resemblance to \textit{D. subpanderi}. In the var. \textit{tenuicostata}, the very fine and close secondary ribs, strongly projected in side-view, persist to the end, but the accidental crushing of the final portion (in the original of Plate 27, fig. 1) wrongly suggests loss of ornamentation.

The young examples now referred to the present species and its varieties may include immature individuals of allied species, like \textit{D. maximus} and \textit{D. crassus}, whereas some of the larger specimens are transitional to yet other species. Thus the original of Plate 32, figs. 5a, b has a slightly smaller umbilicus than the type and a broader periphery; but in the example figured in Plate 28, figs. 3a, b the roundness of the aperture is partly due to the cracking of the rock. The thickness is not greater than the whorl-height, even in this individual, but its costation is slightly less densely spaced than that of the type. A larger but compressed specimen of the same variety (No. 176p) suggests a transition to \textit{D. jamesoni} (Plate 29, fig. 3) or, at least, the biplicate stage of that species, with more rigid secondaries.

The specimen figured in Plate 26, fig. 5, although from a high horizon, does not seem distinguishable from the inner whorls of the var. \textit{evoluta}. What remains of its (crushed) outer whorl is all body-chamber; and the aperture is apparently complete.

Another variety (var. \textit{flexuosa}, nov.) is represented in Plate 35, fig. 3.

It is characterised by more flexuous ribbing than in the typical forms, and I thought at one time that this was a later mutation; for in the Rosenkrantz collection, this flexuous variety was represented only from the upper part of the Glauconitic Series. Since the type of \textit{D. gracilis}, itself, however, was labelled as from higher still (not in error, judging by the matrix), I am doubtful whether any time significance attaches to the flexuosity of the ribbing.

The Russian form represented in Plate 21, figs. 5a, b, at first sight, appears to be identical (except, perhaps, in proportions) with some examples included in the present species, e. g. the original of Plate 32, figs. 5a, b. They seem to have the same type of ribbing, the same constrictions and a very similar suture-line, and the differences appear to be
trifling. They consist of a more pronounced peripheral sinus and greater irregularity of the ribbing at the constrictions in the Russian form which may be closer to the compressed variety of Perisphinctes panderi, figured by Michalski\(^1\)), although this has longer and somtimes triplicate secondaries. Another Russian example before me (3093) differs from the transitional specimen figured in Plate 33, fig. 2 (with three triplicate ribs, merely in its rounder whorl-section, smoother umbilical wall and less thickened primary ribs.

Horizon:— Glaucnitic Series, apparently base (or even below base) to top and Sandy Shales above; Upper Kimmeridgian and Port-

Localities:— Hartz Mtn., loc. A (nos. 124, 138—139, 148—149 152; Crab Valley,loc. D (nos. 162—163), E (no. 176); also Cape Leslie Rosenkrantz's section I (at 100—115 m); section I (at 165 m); section II (at 62 m).

Dorsoplanites crassus, sp. nov.

(Plate 29, figs. 5a, b; Plate 31, fig. 3).


Diagnosis:— Like D. maximus, but with coarser ribbing, which remains biplicate. Suture-line with sub-bifid or trifid second lateral lobe and broad second lateral saddle, but essentially like that of D. maximus. Body-chamber three-quarters to whole of last whorl.

Measurements:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Holotype</th>
<th>(Plate 29, fig. 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:— The crushed example figured in Plate 31, fig. 2 shows only the ornamentation of the last half-whorl, with the mouth border preceded by a smooth collar, but, on the side not figured, the earlier portion shows exactly the same ribbing as the paratype. On the same side the last few suture-lines are also displayed at the beginning of the last whorl which therefore was all body-chamber, whereas in the paratype the length of the body-chamber is only three-quarters of the last volute.

There are transitions between this species and D. subpanderi which is flattened laterally and modifies its costation more conspicuously.

\(^1\) Loc. cit. (Mém. Com. géol. St. Pétersb., vol. VIII, no. 2), 1890, pl. xi, figs. 3a, b.
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also passage-forms to the less coarsely ribbed D. maximus (Plate 37, fig. 6), as already mentioned. In D. dorsoplanoides, on the other hand, the ribbing is much coarser and more distantly spaced and soon becomes modified.

One example, more sharply and irregularly ribbed than the holotype, at the same diameter (up to about 60 mm) shows a remarkable resemblance to earlier Jurassic Perisphinctids of more southern areas, such as many P. colubrinus and P. subcolubrijus that have been figured. But the innermost whorls of this specimen (Plate 36, fig. 7) show a scar and it is possible that the ribbing of the later volutions is slightly malformed as a result of injury in the young. That is to say, the resemblance is accidental and on account of the similarity of the outermost volute of the specimen to an example (No. 245x) here referred to D. subpanderi, it is even possible that the malformation should be included with that species.

D. panderi, as figured by Michalski\(^2\) (non Eichwald nec d'Orbigny) has different inner whorls and longer secondaries on the outer volute, but the apparently greater resemblance between the present species and one of Vischniakoff's\(^8\) figures may be due partly to the poorness of the latter.

Horizon:— Glaucnitic Series, top to base and below; Upper Kimmeridgian and Portlandian.

Localities:— Hartz Mtn. (N. W. Ridge, loc. M., no. 209; N. E. Ridge, loc. P, no. 245); Pinna Valley (loc. A, nos. 224 and 230); Crab Valley (loc. D, no. 166); Cape Leslie (Rosenkrantz's section II at 62 m).

Dorsoplanites flavus, sp. nov.

(Plate 34, figs. 1a—c).

Diagnosis:— Rather narrow whorls (substenogyral), with fairly open umbilicus (subblatumbilicate). Whorl-section almost circular, slightly depressed, with high and steep umbilical wall, but rounded edge.

Ribbing at first very fine and close, later with some oblique constrictions and a few irregularities, visible in the umbilicus; then the secondaries become very feeble (especially on internal cast) and irregular and finally only indistinct primary bulges are left. Venter smooth in adult. Body-chamber nearly a whole whorl in length. Suture-line (fig. 1c) as in other Dorsoplanites, but with rather slender saddles.

Measurements:—

| Diameter | 205 mm |
| Height of last whorl | 32 % |
| Thickness of last whorl | 33? % |
| Umbilicus | 45 % |


\(^8\) Loc. cit. (Planulati de Moscou), 1882, pl. 11, fig. 2.
Remarks:—The inner whorls of the large specimen here described show great resemblance to the holotype of *D. maximus*; and since the latter is entirely septate it could be held that it is merely the absence of the body-chamber that causes a difference in appearance. There are slight differences in the rib curve and in the spacing of the primaries at corresponding stages, also in the suture-line which has broader saddles in *D. maximus*; but these differences are scarcely specific. On the other hand, there is an example (No. 174) which, although it may belong to a distinct species, rather than to *D. flavus*, shows the inner whorls to be sharply ribbed, as in *D. antiquus* (Plate 33, figs. 7–8). At a diameter of about 130 mm the two forms are very similar, and although *D. flavus* is more closely ribbed they are near allies. On the other hand, the large body-chamber of this presumably new form still shows irregular and pronounced costation, strongly oblique forwards. Unfortunately it is too fragmentary to be figured, but it shows that *D. flavus* is not identical with *D. maximus* and represents an earlier type.

*Pallasiceras ultimum*, Neaverson 1), only known in fragments and possibly (with another allied form, described by the same author) the only English representative of the genus *Dorsoplanites*, is apparently much like the species here described but the latter is not a *Pallasiceras*. The dimensions are very similar, which may not be of any significance but the primary ribs of the English form are more distantly spaced and apparently stronger, like those of *D. triplex*, described below (p. 79).

Horizon:—Below Glauconitic Series (horizon β); Upper Kimmeridgian.

Localities:—N. W. Ridge of Hartz Mtn., loc. M (No. 212). The doubtful example above referred to is from the first ravine south of Astarte Valley (loc. C) at about 140 m (No. 174).

*Dorsoplanites subpanderi*, sp. nov. (Plate 27, figs. 5a, b; Plate 31, figs. 1a, b).

Diagnosis:—Rather narrow and thin whorls (substeno-subtelecyral), with fairly open umbilicus (subatlumbilicate). Whorl-section slightly compressed, gently flattened laterally and with distinct but rounded umbilical border. Ribbing of inner whors as in *D. antiquus*, later fairly regularly but bluntly biplicate, and on body-chamber irregular, with single ribs and constrictions. Near plain mouth-border last few ribs may be replaced by striae. Body-chamber nearly a whole whorl. Suture-line simple, as in *D. crassus* or *D. maximus*.


### Measurements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype (Plate 31, fig. 1)</th>
<th>Plate 27, fig. 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>82 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30 (?)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:—The holotype is corroded and the paratype has suffered by crushing, but there are at least a dozen specimens of this species, in addition to passage-forms to *D. triplex* and *D. jamesoni*. The latter species remains more closely ribbed, but in the transitions to *D. triplex* (e. g. No. 245d) the primary ribs move farther apart on the body-chamber. *D. crassus* has a rounded whorl-section and different ribbing, but in the passage-forms to the present species, including perhaps the malformation of which the innermost whors are here figured (Plate 36, fig. 7), a change in ornamentation takes place near the aperture and the whors are slightly flattened (e. g., no. 245g).

The coarsely ribbed form figured in Plate 39, fig. 10 which, much more than the original of Plate 36, fig. 7, suggests connexion also with *Pavlovia*, may be merely an extreme variety of the present species, transitional to *D. transitorius*. The form described below as *D. dorsoplanoides* has much blunter and more distant ribbing.

The paratype shows great resemblance to one of Michalski's[34] figures of *Perisphinctes panderi*, more, to my mind, than the original of Plate 29, fig. 5, which had been compared by Rosenkrantz with the same figure. But there is not specific identity, in spite of the similarity of the suture-line. The Russian forms have different inner whors and judging by the (scanty) material before me, they have a somewhat different aspect from those here described, although this may be a matter of habitat more than difference in age.

Horizon:—Glauconitic Series, especially Pinna Bed, on coast, but also from 10 m and 20 m below (inland). Portlandian (and Upper Kimmeridgian) ?

Localities:—Most localities between Hartz Mtn. and Cape Leslie, e. g. loc. A (nos. 129, 130, 135, 136, 223), E (no. 150), M (no. 209), O (no. 239), P (no. 245), also Rosenkrantz's section I at 100 m and II at 115 mm and nos. 196–199. Sandstensfjaeld (inland) at 3 M (nos. 234 and 235).

*Dorsoplanites dorsoplanoides*, sp. nov. (Plate 26, fig. 2; Plate 27, fig. 2; Plate 39, fig. 7).

Diagnosis:—Like *D. subpanderi*, but with depressed whorl-section and costation becoming blunt, coarse, and distantly spaced at a much
earlier stage. Suture-line (Plate 39, fig. 7) simple, with broad external saddle, small, sub-bifid second lateral lobe and two small auxiliaries.

Measurements (approximate):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype (Plate 29, fig. 3)</th>
<th>Plate 30, fig. 1</th>
<th>No. 128</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>120 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>35 %</td>
<td>35 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>43 %</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:—The unique holotype includes a large part of the body-chamber (from the break at the last suture-line, indicated in the figure, Plate 26, fig. 2), but the second half of this, shown in the peripheral view, is crushed, so that the venter appears too flat. With its distant and blunt biplicate ribs, this species is quite different from the other forms of Dorsoplanites here described, but it shows some resemblance to certain Russian species like D. dorsoplanus (Vischniakoff)1) itself and the closely allied D. panderi, as understood by Michalski2). I am figuring in Plate 37, fig. 4, the suture-line of a Russian ammonite (B. M., no. C 2776) intermediate between the two species just mentioned, i.e. more depressed than D. panderi, but not developing the raised primaries of D. dorsoplanus, at least at a diameter of about 85 mm (still septate). The differences between this suture-line and that of another Russian form of the panderi group (B. M., no. 74213) on the other hand, and that of D. dorsoplanoides on the other, are negligible; conversely the peculiar costation of the present form and its comparatively sudden change from fine to coarse preclude identification with either D. dorsoplanus or the doubtful D. panderi.

A second fragment (no. 245 r) is slightly less extreme than the holotype and therefore somewhat transitional to D. crassus and D. subpanderi. Unfortunately it consists of less than half of an ammonite of about 90 mm diameter and half of the outer whorl is body-chamber. But the suture-line (Plate 39, fig. 7) is well displayed and shows great resemblance to that of the holotype, but the umbilical portion is still more inaccessible.

Horizon:—Glaucolithic Series, near top; Portlandian.

Localities:—Hartz Mtn. (loc. A, no. 137, and P, no. 245 r)

Dorsoplanites jamesoni, sp. nov.

Diagnosis:—Like D. subpanderi, but with costation remaining comparatively close to the end and with a fairly large number of single

1) Loc. cit. (Planulati de Moscou), 1882, pl. i, fig. 5, pl. ii, fig. 3.
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Dorsoplanites tripexus, sp. nov.

(Plate 25, fig. 4; Plate 32, fig. 1; Plate 35, figs. 1, 2).

Diagnosis:—Rather narrow whorls (substenogyral), less wide than high (subleptogyral), with fairly open umbilicus (sublatubumicate). Whorl-section slightly compressed, evenly rounded, with high and steep umbilical wall and rounded edge. Ribs at first biplicate, as in D. subpanderi and allies; later the primary stems move farther apart and triplicate, with occasional intercalated secondaries, appears. Towards the end of the body-chamber there may be coarsening of the ornamentation, as in D. subpanderi. Body-chamber nearly a whole whorl. Suture-line fairly simple, similar to that of D. aff. crassus (Plate 37, fig. 6a).
Measurements:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype (Plate 35, fig. 2)</th>
<th>var. mutabilis Plate 35, fig. 1</th>
<th>Plate 32, fig. 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>192 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>(?)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>(?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:— Although the holotype consists of only half a whorl of body-chamber, corroded on the side not figured and with the remainders of the next inner whorl badly crushed, yet it can be seen at once to belong to a form different from those so far described. There is, in fact, almost more resemblance to Perisphinctids from much earlier Jurassic formations (such as the Bathonian-Callovian genus Chosafia, Siemiradzki em.) than to the other Dorsoplanites here described, but the similarity is only superficial. The largest example figured in Plate 32, fig. 1, agrees fairly well with the specimen represented in Plate 35, fig. 1 and it can be seen that the inner whorls are not distinguishable from those of e.g. D. jamesoni or the var. evoluta of D. gracilis. In the two examples just mentioned, however, the secondaries are less closely spaced than in the holotype, i.e. there are no more than three secondaries to each primary stem; and since the primaries are slightly more distant than in the holotype, the secondaries are also farther apart. It seems advisable to separate this coarsely ornamented variety with a distinct name, var. mutabilis, nov. One body-chamber fragment (No. 199 m) of a form that may be provisionally included in D. subpanderi shows some resemblance to this var. mutabilis in having the primary stems moved some distance apart and in having intercalated secondaries. Another transition (No. 245d) between D. subpanderi and the present species shows occasional triplicate ribs and comparatively close costation even on the body-chamber, but loses all costation near the mouth-border.

The squeeze of a natural mould, covered with serpulae, and figured in Plate 25, fig. 4, represents perhaps another variety, with a smaller umbilicus (41% of the diameter) and a more rounded whorl-section bulging at the rounded unambidextral border, instead of being flattened. It is possible that this variety connects directly with D. aldingeri, from the same bed, for it is accompanied by a still different form which compares well with the example figured in Plate 34, figs. 2a,b,

An example of about 112 mm diameter (No. 16627), unfortunately crushed and also transitional to D. gracilis, has more numerous secondary ribs. It differs from the holotype of the present species in having the primary stems less conspicuous and less distantly spaced. Since the holotype of D. gracilis tends to reduce its costation towards the end, it appears that this transitional example is, indeed, closer to the present species, but it also may represent a new variety.

Horizon:— Glaucocitic Series, and below; Upper Kimmeridgian and Portlandian.

Localities:— Hartz Mtn. (loc. M, no. 209 and loc. P, no. 245). Ridge south of Crab Valley (loc. E, no. 180), and Cape Leslie (Rosenkrantz’s section I, at 130 m?, and II, at 62 m).

Genus KOCHINA, gen. nov.

Genotype:— K. groenlandica, sp. nov. (p. 82).

Diagnosis:— Finely-ribbed developments of Dorsoplanites, with tendency to reduce costation and become entirely smooth. Some lose primary ribs before the secondaries (which are generally projected ventrally), others lose secondaries and retain bulging primaries which may become more and more distantly spaced. Suture-line as in Dorsoplanites, with rather individualised second lateral lobe.

Remarks:— This genus is of interest because it fore-shadows Kachpurites and Subcraspedites, two genera discussed below under Craspeditidae. The graceful, sigmoidal costation of the inner whorls of Kochina tschurowskii and K. groenlandica is reproduced again on the inner whorls of Kachpurites fulgens (Trautschold), according to some Bolobanow specimens (bed No. 6) in the Blake Collection. This species, however, modifies its outer whorl, much like certain Subcraspedites. The suture-line of S. preplicomphalus (Swinnerton), again, shows such perfect agreement with that of Kochina tschurowskii (Plate 37, fig. 2) that derivation of the Craspeditids from Dorsoplanites and Kochina is almost certain.

Whether any of the Riasan species belong to Kochina is doubtful. Even Perisphinctes solonaticus, Bogoslovsky1) which had been compared to Dorsoplanites dorsonplanus on the one hand and to Perisphinctes kokeni, Behrendson (of the prevasensis zone of the Tithonian2)) on the other, is probably closer to the biplicate “variety” of Craspedites subditus (non Trautschold), figured by Pavlov3) (= Subcraspedites lamplughii, nom. nov.) from the Spilsby Sandstone. This form is connected by transitions with the other species of Subcraspedites in the same formation (of approximately Riasan age) and has the typical body-chamber of

---

1) Loc. cit. (Der Rjasan Horizont), 1897, p. 78, pl. iv, fig. 9, pl. v, fig. 1.
3) Loc. cit. (Argiles de Speeton), 1892, p. 116, pl. vii, fig. 5a—c (B.M., no. C.34081).
the forms of the *subpressus* group. It is not probable that *Perisinopterites solowaticus* acquired the smooth body-chamber of the typical *Kochina*.

**Kochina groenlandica** sp. nov.

(Plate 36, figs. 1a, b; Plate 38, figs. 1a–c).

**Diagnosis:**—Rather narrow whorls (substenostychral), compressed laterally (subleptogyral), with fairly open umbilicus. Whorl-sections rounded, with ventral and lateral flattening slight but distinct, all evenly rounded umbilical slope. Involution two-fifths. Ribbing a fine, flexuous and bipartite, more closely spaced than in Plate 37, fig. 5; later as in *K. stschurowskii* (Nikitin), with additional secondaries but more closely spaced than in Plate 37, fig. 6; the example figured in Plate 37, fig. 6 belongs to the same species. This has been aptly compared by Ros.

**Height of last whorl**...

**Diameter**...

**Measurements:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype</th>
<th>Plate 36, fig. 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>(at) 175(150)</td>
<td>(at) 102 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>20(?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:**—The holotype includes a portion of the body-chamber (from the last break) and a larger fragment of this, also with impression of *Lingula*, was omitted in the photograph. The original of Plate 36, fig. 1a is crushed and the sectional outline is restored, but it almost certainly belongs to the same species. This has been aptly compared by Rosentrupert to *K. stschurowskii* (Nikitin) which differs merely in dimensions and in losing its primary ribs after a diameter of 100 mm. In the present form, on the contrary, the primaries remain, while the secondaries disappear. In the Russian example (B. M., no. C 2473) from which was taken the suture-line figured in Plate 37, fig. 2, the whorl-section is far less compressed than on the inner whorls of the present species which therefore shows more resemblance to the ammonite figured by Michalski as *Perisinopterites stschurowskii*. The latter differs chiefly in having lower, more compressed than *K. groenlandica* at the same diameter, but since Nikitin's smallest specimen was badly drawn and since all the forms of this group become inflated with age, this may not be of specific importance.

The example figured in Plate 37, fig. 1 (of dimensions 132–30–26(?)–44) shows less projected secondary ribs than the holotype, and its primaries have not begun to move apart. There is a suture-line near the end, showing the example to be still septate, so that modification of the ribbing could have set in at a later stage; but the example is also more loosely coiled, especially on the inner whorls. Though certain not identical with the present species, this Jameson Land form may be thought to be a close ally. It was labelled "Pectinollites" and there is, indeed, more resemblance to forms of the *easulecattensis* group, discussed on p. 19, than to *Kochina*, but I do not know of any species with such serpentine inner whorls. The fact that it came from a micaceous sandstone full of valves of *Lingula* may also suggest a spurious affinity with the present form; unfortunately it was accompanied only by the doubtful fragments figured in Plate 36, fig. 2, Plate 37, fig. 3, Plate 38, fig. 2, that also cannot be definitely identified either with any Cape Leslie species or with European forms. The ribbing of the smallest example (Plate 38, fig. 2), resembling that of *Craspeditids*, also suggests a high horizon rather than the early *pectinatiss* zone, but I am now leaving them all provisionally in *Pectinollites* on account of the presence in the original of Plate 37, fig. 3, of one thickened rib and the general resemblance to *Amm. scours*, Oppel.

**Horizon:**—*Lingula* bed, about 70 m above base of Hartzfeld Sandstone; Portlandian.

**Locality:**—North of Cape Leslie, Rosenkranz's section II at 240 m and at Signal 7M (no. 196).

**Family CRASPEDITIDAE.**

When establishing this family, in 19241), I included in it the genera *Craspedites*, Pavlov, 18922) (type: *C. subditus*, Trautschold sp.).

*Kachpurites*, Spath, 19243) (type: *K. fulgens*, Trautschold sp. in Nikitin).

*Garnierceras*, Spath, 1924 (lectotype: *C. cataractum*, Trautschold sp.).

*Subcraspedites*, Spath, 19244) (type: *S. plicomphalus*, Sowerby sp.).

To these may now be added:


1) In Zittel: Cephalopoden der Strassenberger Schichten. Pal. Mitteil., II, i, 1879, p. 114, pl. xxv, figs. 1a–c (27).
3) Established (loc. cit., 1892, p. 116) for the "Olenostephani of the subditus group".
5) See also Geol. Mag., vol. LXI, 1924, p. 78.
which is closely allied to Subcraspedites and, like it, includes some species that could be considered to be transitional to the family Polyptychitidae. While Craspedites subdittus and Subcraspedites prenorphalus, Swinnerton, however, recall the ribbing of Dorsoplanites, Paracraspedites shows more resemblance to other Pavlovids and to Virgatitids (Epivirgaites). It has suggested before that the resemblance of the Craspeditids of the Upper Volgian to such Olcostephanids as Umiatae and Proniceras was apparently due largely to the common origin of all these so-called Olcostephanii in Perisphinctid root-stocks. But there are still considerable gaps in our knowledge of the Upper Jurassic stocks and correlation of the Mediterranean and boreal faunas is as yet very uncertain.

In spite of its keel, Garniericeras is close to Kachpurites and the again is intimately related to Craspedites. Subcraspedites of higher beds are so similar to Craspedites in inner whorls, type of suture-line, character with age, of whorl-shape and ornamentation, that its reference to an family but the Craspeditidae is out of the question. But the ammonite fauna of the uppermost Volgian is different from that of the transgressive Riasan Beds; and although many pelceypods in common are known, although Bogoslowsky was certain that the Riasan Beds must have been deposited immediately after the Upper Volgian, I am not so convinced that the whole story has yet been told.

The three Greenland forms of Craspedites described below are not identical with any species of the Upper Volgian and at least one of them is associated with Titanites sp., presumably of the Upper Portlandia which might show them to be probably early forms. But only 8 m above the Titanites horizon were found several examples of the form figured in Plates 36 and 38 as Subcraspedites groenlandicus, sp. nov. 3), and these can only be compared to forms that have been described as Cretaceous. Such are Olcostephanoid forms ("Nikinioceras") sosnorskii, Sokolov 4), Subcraspedites primitivus, Swinnerton 5) and S. sp. ind. aff. subdittus, Pavlov, Trautschold, recorded by myself 6) from the Claxby Ironstone and the

3) Diagnosis:—Subplatygyral (whorl-height = 45—46 %), subpleiotygyral (thickness = 22 %), subangustumbilicate (umbilicus = 21—25 %). Whorl-section like that of S. sosnorskii (Sokolov) but more compressed, and with a wider and more gradual umbilical slope. Blunt, sigmoidal primary ribs with first three or four, or five or more, projected secondaries to each, crossing periphery with a prominent chevron pointing forwards. Suture-line (Plate 34, fig. 5) with four auxiliary lobes, namely primary, secondary, tertiary, and quaternary.
6) On the Ammonites of the Speedon Clay and the Subdivisions of the Neo-

... now figured (Plate 36, figs. 6a, b) as S. claxbiensis, sp. nov. 1) The inner whorls of the first (Sokolov's fig. 2b) are so much like the fragment figured in Plate 38, fig. 3, that specific identity might be claimed if the suture-lines agreed; but the Novaya Zemlya form has a more individualised first auxiliary lobe and a broader external saddle, apart from the differences in cross-section. This suture-line, in fact, suggests reference to Tolititids 2) rather than Subcraspedites, so that the difference is more than specific.

S. biderecclus, Bogoslowsky 3), which is more evolute than S. claxbiensis, nov. is also close to S. groenlandicus, as is an Oka (Spassk) specimen of an apparently undescribed species in the Blake Collection (B. M.), with more distinctly spaced ribs in the umbilicus. But the exact age of most of the forms cited is as yet unknown and since 4) have been following Bogoslowsky 5) in including the stenomphalus beds (with the Spilsby Sandstone) in the Cretaceous, it appears that we have to consider Subcraspedites groenlandicus to be already of post-Jurassic age.

Genus CRASPEDITES, Pavlov, 1892.

Craspedites leptus, sp. nov.

(Plate 37, figs. 6a, b).

Diagnosis:—Rather high-whorled (subplatygyral), rather thin (subpleiotygyral), with fairly small umbilicus (subangustumbilicate). Whorl-section greatly compressed, with narrowly arched venter, rounded umbilical edge and only slightly convex sides. Ribs bifurcating or single, low and blunt, and directed forwards with a pronounced sinus on the periphery. Suture-line unknown.

Measurements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>32 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of last whorl</td>
<td>44 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of last whorl</td>
<td>25 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>25 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Diagnosis:—Like S. groenlandicus, but with fewer and less projected secondary ribs, less difference between the primaries and secondaries and less rib-flexure. Suture-line with a much shorter auxiliary series, ascending towards umbilicus, deep external lobe, broad external saddle, and narrower first lateral lobe.

2) Loc. cit. (Der Riasan Horizont), 1897, p. 141, pl. III, figs. 1—3.
Remarks:— The unique holotype, slightly crushed on the side not figured is preserved in a coarse grit and appears to be largely body-chamber (three-quarters of the outer whorl); and in the absence of the suture-line, it may seem risky to give it a name. Yet, like the form described below as C. ferrugineus, the present form is believed to represent a new and presumably early type of Craspedites which does not seem to have been found elsewhere. There is superficial resemblance to Craspedites of the subditus group but this is due largely to the fact that the numerous figures of these forms, especially those of the older authors, are defective. There are large numbers of the Russian Craspedites in the British Museum (Natural History) and as soon as actual specimens are compared it is seen that the species here described is different. Corresponding stages in the Russian forms show either a smooth or else a nodate umbilical margin; those in which the primary ribs are continuous to the umbilical suture show either marked flexuosity or too many branching and no single ribs. The umbilicus also is generally larger and its border thickened causing a different whorl-section. It would be misleading, therefore, to attach the present form, however, provisionally, to an existing species of Craspedites; on the other hand, it differs little in ribbing from the closest allies of the subditus group, except in the greater number of single ribs and the absence of any tendency to weaken the ribs at the middle of the whorl-side.

Horizon:— Hartzfjaeld Sandstone, 79 m above base; Portlandian (or post-Portlandian?).
Locality:— Hartz Mtn. (Pinna Valley), loc. A, no. 228.

Craspedites sp. ind.
(Plate 39, fig. 6).

The form to be described is so incomplete that I fear the photograph will be of little help; I was even in doubt at first whether the fossil was an ammonite, until an accidental fracture in attempting to develop it revealed a septal surface. The part of the last whorl shown is merely the outer whorl-side, convex and almost smooth, rounding off towards a broadly arched periphery and provided with indistinct, low, projecting outer ribs, as in d'Orbigny's original figure of C. okensis. The ribs of the Greenland form, however, are longer and comparatively closer and as it represents a portion of an ammonite much larger than d'Orbigny's figure, the resemblance is still less close at that stage. What is preserved of the inner whorls, showing considerable overlap by the outer, has even finer costation, so that identification with C. okensis of which there are large examples in the Blake Collection, is out of the question.

In side-view Nikitin's second figure of his Perisphinctes sicchurowskii somewhat suggests the appearance of the form here described, but the peripheral view may be assumed to have been more like that of C. okensis of the same plate (fig. 58). For the same reason C. cf. fragilis (Trautschold) from Novaya Zemlya, figured by Frebold, suggests

...
comparison with the present form, at least so far as the largest example is concerned, but the whorl-section cannot be checked and the ribbing seems to have been almost completely lost.

I do not know of any other forms with which the present ammonite could be compared, but since it was associated with a fragment her provisionally referred to Titanites? (see p. 67) I may mention that there is nothing among the English Portlandian ammonites that has anything like the indistinct ribbing and apparently smooth inner whorl-sides of the form in question.

Horizon:—Hartzfjæld Sandstone, 53 m above base (but loose?) Portlandian (or post-Portlandian?).

Locality:—Ridge between Crab and Astarte Valleys, loc. Q no. 241.

b. Order BELEMNOIDEA.
Family BELEMNITIDAE.
Sub-family Cylindroteuthinae.
Genus CYLINDROTEUTHIS, Bayle, 1878.
Cylindroteuthis? aff. explanata (Phillips).
(Plate 39, figs. 3a, b).
1920. Acroteuthis explanata (Bull) Phillips; Bawlow-Trummer, Fossilium Catalogue (Dieners), 1, pars 11, p. 207.

In addition to the many fragments described below as Pachyteuthis aff. panderiana (d’Orbigny) there is at least one fragmentary example of a belemnite with an alveolar cavity of apparently less than one-third the length of the guard. This is distinctly depressed at the lower end, whereas the ventral side is flattened, but the section is nearly circular at the upper end. There is no appreciable flattening of the sides, yet there are two distinct lateral lines or grooves, disappearing about 30 mm from the apex, where, conversely, the ventral groove begins to be conspicuous.

The alveolus is excentric, the point being closer to the ventral side than to the lateral. The alveolus is only about a third of the length of the guard. There is no appreciable flattening of the sides, yet there are distinct lateral lines or grooves, disappearing about 30 mm from the apex, where, conversely, the ventral groove begins to be conspicuous. The alveolus is excentric, the point being closer to the ventral side than to the lateral. The alveolus is only about a third of the length of the guard.

The species is probably identical with at least some of the examples included in Belemnites explanatus, Phillips, if the ventral flattening of the cross-sections is considered to have been exaggerated by the artist. The medium sized example from Wheatley (Shotover Hill) figured by Phillips in Plate xxxvi, fig. 95 V seems to be the most closely comparable, but if this is not identical with the typical Waterstock specimens, 95, IV and 96, VI, then the present form cannot be identified with

1) Angles de Speeton, 1892, p. 57.
2) Ibid., pl. iii, fig. 2; pl. v, figs. 8—9.
3) Supplement to the Geology of Weymouth, 1888, pl. xiii, fig. 6.
5) Parat and Drach, loc. cit. (Ann. hydrograph.), 1934, p. 11.
7) Parat and Drach, loc. cit. (Ann. hydrograph.), 1934, p. 11.
with that large example of *B. explanatus*, Phillips, above referred to which was considered by Pavlow to be perhaps identical with *B. khibi
gisensis*, d’Orbigny; but this author’s original figures of that speci
are far less like the form here discussed than d’Orbigny’s *B. panderiana*.

Of the two smaller examples here figured, one (Plate 12, fig. 4) is somewhat corroded, but the other (Plate 39, fig. 9) shows a con
spicuous ventral groove; both are flattened laterally but without dist
longitudinal furrows. The second somewhat resembles *Belenmites abe
lutus*, Fischer2), recorded by Parat and Drach3), but is less deep
grooved; both are less depressed than *B. russiensis* (d’Orbigny4), the other common species of the *virgatus* beds or Lower Volgian, and also recorded from Cape Leslie5).

*Belenmites panderianus*, d’Orbigny, figured by Toula6) from Kul
Island has a far more eccentric apex.

**Horizon:**—Glaucolithic Series and above and below (horizon f)
Upper Kimmeridgian and Portlandian.

**Locality:**—Cape Leslie, Rosenkranz’s section I (at 100—115 m
at 130 m and at 165 m); Section II at 62 m and at 200 m; Section IV
(at 199 m [loose]); Crab Valley (localities D and E, nos. 168 and 183);
also at Aucella River, Jameson Land (Block I, Plate 39, figs. 9a,b).

2. Class *Gastropoda*.

A. Sub-class *Streptoneura*.

a. Order *Aspidobranchiata*.

Family **PLEUROTOMARIDAE**.

Genus *PLEUROTOMARIA*, Defrance, 1826.


(Plate 39, fig. 5).


The single cast before me has been deformed (obliquely) in the rock
so that the illustration does not show as much resemblance to P. de
Loriol’s figure as does the specimen. The whorl-shape, however, is

---


3) Oryctographie du Gouvernement de Moscou. 2nd. ed., 1837, pl. xlix, fig. 2.


5) In Murchison, Verneuil and Keyserling, op. cit., 1845, p. 422, pl. xxix, figs. 1—6.

6) Parat and Drach, loc. cit. (Ann. hydrograph.), 1834, p. 11.

Zwede Deutsche Nordpolfahr., vol. II, Geol. 2, 1874, p. 600, pl. i, figs. 2a, b.

---

perhaps not so sub-quadrate as in the French form and the umbilicus is
deep, owing to the distortion; but it is possible that the Greenland
form originally had a more elevated spire than *P. rozeti*. The cast is
compared to this species only because the Kimmeridgian forms described
by d’Orbigny and de Loriol are far less closely comparable. *P. rugata*
(Benett1) which, with *P. rozeti*, was listed by Blake2) and Damon3)
as the only English Portlandian *Pleuromaria*, has a sharply angular,
internal cast.

**Horizon:**—Glaucolithic Series, 33 m below base of Hartzfjäeld
Sandstone; Portlandian.

**Locality:**—Sandstensfjäeld, W. slope (loc. no. 256), at 612 m.

**Family TURBINIDAE.**

Genus *TURBO*, Linnaeus, 1758.

*Turbo sp. ind.*

(Plate 39, fig. 13).

The cast here figured is smooth but shows faint spiral ridges (on the last whorl) of which the uppermost probably represented a row of
tubercles. These appear to have been continued to the suture by strongly
projected striae of growth. Between the next two, prominent at the
widest part of the middle of the whorl, there is a very faint spiral depres
sion, as in many forms of *Turbo*, and the fourth or lowest ridge is
almost imperceptible. The upper edge of the whorl is not sharply angular,
but clearly separates the convex outer from the concave inner slope.
The missing test was thus probably fairly thick; part of a thick callus
closes the umbilicus at the base.

Not having been able to find a form with which the present could be
identified, I may add, (merely for the sake of illustration) that the
upper row of tubercles, placed slightly higher than in d’Orbigny’s8)
(inverted) figure of *T. midas*, consisted of fewer but far stronger nodes.
Conversely the striae, connecting them with the suture above, were
fine and numerous and still more inclined forward. The most prominent
(second) ridge thus was placed at the widest part of the whorl and only
the third is in the position of the second ridge in d’Orbigny’s figure.
Since this also represents an internal cast, the species are possibly not at
all related.

---

1) Catalogue of the Organic Remains of the County of Wilts, Warminster. 1881,
pl. 16, top right-hand figure (*Trochus rugatus*).

P. 225.


Turbo capitaneus, Münster\(^1\)), though from the opalinus zone, may be closer, probably also the Novaya Zemlya form figured by Tullberg\(^2\) under that name. This seems to be less coarsely ornamented than Münster's original and Quenstedt's figure\(^3\), but T. murchisoni, Münster\(^4\), has a coarse upper row of tubercles and may be presumed to yield smooth internal casts comparable to the Greenland form. That second species, however, is also of earlier Jurassic age.

Horizon:—Glaucénite Series (Pinna Band), upper part; Portlan\(\)dian.

Locality:—Hartz Mtn., Pinna Valley, loc. A (no. 161), at 321 m.

**Family DELPHINULIDAE.**

Genus DELPHINULA, Lamarck, 1808.

*Delphinula* (?) sp. ind.

(Plate 40, figs. 3a–b;)

Three examples are in such defective preservation that specific or even generic determination is not easy. They show remains of the test and the ornamentation, but only on parts of the shell and not in corresponding positions, so that it is doubtful how many different forms they may include. The impression of a fourth example in a piece of matrix is possibly different again, yet they all show a certain resemblance to *D. (Nododelphinula) vivax excava* (Buvignier) as figured by de Loriol\(^5\), a species that has now been recorded from the English Portlan\(\)dian\(^6\). The spiral rows of the base may be coarser in the example figured in Plate 40, figs. 3a, b, suggesting a form more like *D. (Calliophalus) muricata* (Buvignier\(^7\)), but the remainder is largely a smooth internal cast. One of two more such casts (figs. 3e–h) may have belonged to a species with a shorter spire. They are less slowly increasing in thickness than *Turbo futonii*, Blake\(^8\), also a *Delphinula* s. l., but with ornamentation (in a specimen in my collection) entirely different from that of the forms here discussed and somewhat resembling that of *Turbo calisto* (d'Orbygni\(\)y\(^9\)).

---

1) In Goldfuss: Petrefacta Germaniae, 2nd ed. 1862, p. 91, pl. 194, fig. 1.
3) Der Jura, 1858, p. 314, pl. xxiv, fig. 21.
4) In Goldfuss, loc. cit. (2nd ed., 1862), p. 93, pl. 194, figs. 10a, b.
5) Loc. cit. (in de Loriol and Pellar), 1867, p. 38, pl. r, figs. 2a, b.
7) Statistique géologique... du Departement de la Meuse, 1852, p. 35, pl. xxxii, figs. 19–21.

---

**Family VANIKORIDAE.**

Genus VANIKORO, Quoy and Gaimard, 1832.

*Vaniporto* sp. nov. (?) (Plate 40, figs. 1a, b;)

The earlier portion of the Greenland form here figured might well have been referred to *V. delphinula* (d'Orbygni\(\)y\(^1\)) if found separate from the enormously expanding final whorl. But the resemblance is perhaps greater to diagrammatic figures like Etallon's\(^1\) than to d'Orbygni's original. In any case the spire is still lower and the traces of spiral ornament left on the internal cast are more distantly spaced, at least on the middle of the whorl, where alone they are preserved. The whorl then expands as much in the apical as in the opposite direction so that the aperture is considerably higher than the top of the spire. There are traces of the test left near the aperture; it is very thick on top and below and shows very coarse striae of growth on the convex upper surface, sloping down to the spire, and on the umbilical side, but there is no trace of spiral ornament. Conversely, the smooth cast, near the aperture, shows a few obscure spiral ridges, or, at least, it is not evenly rounded. Since there are also two transverse constrictions on the cast, corresponding to irregularities in the striae of growth, the uneven aperture may not have any specific significance.

The top-view of the Greenland example is almost exactly that of *V. fitoni* (Cox\(^4\)), allowing for difference in size, but the similar loss of spiral ornament in the two forms is accidental. The Portland species, on the other hand, though showing greater expansion of its last whorl than *V. delphinula* (d'Orbygni) is still closer to that species than to the Greenland form here described.

Horizon:—Glaucénite Series, upper part; Portlan\(\)dian.

Locality:—Pinna Valley, Hartz Mtn., loc. A (no. 147).

---

2) In Thurmann & Etallon: Lethaea bruntrutana, 1862, p. 119, pl. x, fig. 77.
b. Order CTENOBRAINCHIATA.
Family PYRAMIDELLIDAE.

Genus PSEUDOMELANIA, Pictet, 1862.
Pseudomelania cf. delia (d’Orbigny).
(Plate 40, figs. 5a, b).

The fragmentary spire represented in Plate 40, fig. 5, showing the thick test as well as the smooth and rounded internal cast, was labelled by A. Rosenkrantz: *Pseudomelania (sensu stricto) sp. nov.* It almost fits on to another specimen, consisting of two whorls, nearer the apex, also labelled *Pseudomelania* which, however, is from a different locality, unless the labels have been confused. A third example corresponds to the two lower whorls of the figured specimen. There is not enough, in any case, to create a new species and the only difference I can see between the Greenland form and specimens referred to d’Orbigny’s *Chemnitzzia caecilia* (especially the Sequanian example figured by P. de Lorio5) is that the whorls of the former are slightly more convex at the top and bottom. As figured by d’Orbigny himself, however, *C. caecilia* is less like the present form than *Chemnitzzia delia*. Since the smooth and rounded internal cast also shows good agreement, the Greenland specimens are provisionally attached to d’Orbigny’s species. In the rather marked lines of growth, perhaps, they show more resemblance to *P. clyttia* (d’Orbigny).

*P. dezignoi*, Gemmellaro4), which was described as intermediate in its general form between *P. athleta* (d’Orbigny) and *P. clyttia* (d’Orbigny), has slightly more convexity, at least in the larger (lectotype) specimen; conversely the sutures are less marked. *P. fischeriana* (d’Orbigny) of doubtful age, also has the whorls more convex at the middle, but it is based on an internal cast and this is much more rounded in the Greenland form and on account of the very thick test, the whorls are much more loosely coiled.

The only gastropod recorded by Parat and Drach6 from three

---

2) In de Lorio and Pellat, op. cit. (I. Moll. Céph. et Gastr.), 1874, p. 79, pl. viii, fig. 1.
3) Pal. Française, Terr. Jurass., vol. II, 1850—60, pl. 246, fig. 1 (*Chemnitzzia*).
4) Studii paleontologici sulla Fauna del Calcare a Terebratula junior. Pt. II, 1869, p. 8, pl. i, figs. 17—20.
5) In Murchison, Verneuil and Keyserling, op. cit., 1845, p. 448, pl. xxxvii, fig. 6 (*Chemnitzzia fischeriana*).

---

III The Upper Jurassic Invertebrate Faunas of Cape Leslie, Milne Land.

exposures of their bed C is *Cerithium autissiodorensis*, Cotteau1 which has somewhat similar whors, but the aperture of the forms here described is holostomatous.

**Horizon:**—Glaucinitic Series, upper part; Portlandian.

**Localities:**—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz’s section II, at 90 m (loose) and section III, at 190 m (loose?).

*Pseudomelania* sp. ind.
(Plate 40, fig. 4).

A fragmentary specimen, consisting of about three whors, shows much more delicate lines of growth than the form described above, and a greater apical angle, resembling in this respect *P. cepha* (d’Orbigny)2. The two earlier whors seem to be slightly more convex than the volutions preceding the last whorl of d’Orbigny’s form, but the final whorl and the aperture are very similar.

**Horizon:**—Glaucinitic Series, upper part; Portlandian.

**Localities:**—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz’s section III, at 190 m (loose?).

Family NATICIDAE.

Genus NATICA, Adamson in Scopoli, 1777.

Sub-genus Ampullina, Lamarck, 1821

*Natica* (Ampullina) sp. juv. cf. *hemisphaerica* (d’Orbigny).
(Plate 39, fig. 4).


A single small internal cast of a *Natica* was labelled (by A. Rosenkrantz) cf. *N. rupellensis*, var. minor, but it seems to me to show better agreement with the more oblique *N. (Ampullina) hemisphaerica*, d’Orbigny, or to belong to a form intermediate between that species and the same author’s *N. (A.) armata*3. In view of the many other similar species of *Natica* described in geological literature it does not seem advisable to attempt specific identification of this immature and imperfect example.

**Horizon:**—Glaucinitic Series, upper part; Portlandian.

**Localities:**—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz’s section I, at 100 m.

Family TURRITELLIDAE.

Genus TURRITELLA, Lamarck, 1799.

*Turritella* sp. ind.
(Plate 39, figs. 8a, b).

The single, fragmentary specimen here figured consists of a little over three whors of a gently tapering spire, resembling the *Turritella*1)

---

3) Loc. cit. (1850—60), pl. 294, figs. 3—4.
his species to be probably in ornamentation, to a form like Cerithiam is identical ornamentation of the similarly flattened whorls, but the spires of additional specimens, sent later, is less on account of the slight morphological differences noticed above and the absence of the faint folds caused by the irregular striae of growth on d’Orbigny’s (very small) cast, than because of the absence of comparable material and the obvious inapplicability of d’Orbigny’s phrase: “la bouche paraît avoir été ornée d’une dent”. Since the Russian form came from a locality that also yielded Amm. panderi, the age seems to be about the same.

I ought to add that while the smaller specimens before me are also quite smooth, one, about 9 mm high, shows the striae of growth also on the test, crossing the spiral lines, as in A. sartiacensis, d’Orbigny1), but the spiral lines are as distinct in A. groenlandica as in A. insularis.

B. Sub-class Euthyneura.
Order TECTIBRANCHIATA.
Family ACTAEONIDAE.
Genus ACTAEONINA, d’Orbigny, 1850.
Sub-genus Ovactaeonina, Cossmann, 1895.
Actaeonina (Ovactaeonina) groenlandica, sp. nov.
(Plate 40, figs. 2a—e).

Diagnosis:—Shell oval, rather inflated, with general shape of A. peroskiana, d’Orbigny3), but last whorl slightly more bulbus and base of aperture slightly more drawn out, as e.g. in A. cylindrica, d’Orbigny.

Just over three whorls, with the first whorl, including the protoconch, almost flat, open spiral. Last whorl very large, occupying more than five-sixths of the total height (12 mm), diameter 8.5 mm; narrowly rounded shoulders, deeply grooved suture. Shell thin, with fine spiral lines as in C. insularis, Cox4); cast smooth, with traces of irregular growth-lines, crossing whorls obliquely. Aperture elongated, ovate, in A. peroskiana.

Remarks:—Like A. insularis, this species has the appearance of an Actaeon, but its callosity is not plicated. I thought of identifying the Greenland form with d’Orbigny’s species, but if I now adopt the name “groenlandica” I found on some of the labels (A. Rosenkrantz’s) of additional specimens, sent later, it is less on account of the slight morphological differences noticed above and the absence of the faint folds caused by the irregular striae of growth on d’Orbigny’s (very small) cast, than because of the absence of comparable material and the obvious inapplicability of d’Orbigny’s phrase: “la bouche paraît avoir été ornée d’une dent”. Since the Russian form came from a locality that also yielded Amm. panderi, the age seems to be about the same.

I ought to add that while the smaller specimens before me are also quite smooth, one, about 9 mm high, shows the striae of growth also on the test, crossing the spiral lines, as in A. sartracensis, d’Orbigny1), but the spiral lines are as distinct in A. groenlandica as in A. insularis.

Horizon:—Glaucanitic Series and above? (but loose); Portlandian.
Localities:—Pinna Valley (loc. A., no. 140) at 286 m; also Cape Leslie (Rosenkrantz’s section II, at 95 m (loose) and at 240 m; section III at 190 m (loose).

3. Class Pelecypoda.

A. Sub-class Anisomyaria.
Family PTERIDAE (= AVICULIDAE).
Genus OXYTOMA, Meek, 1864.

Oxytoma expansa (Phillips).
(Plate 42, figs. 4—7).


A few impressions of left valves like that which yielded the squeeze figured in Plate 42, fig. 5, show the details of the ribbing, but little of the true shape of the shell. The wide interspaces between the larger ribs are bisected by smaller secondary ribs and each half again has a still finer tertiary rib in the middle. These, however, are not distinct in all the interspaces, and in some they cannot be distinguished from the very fine lines that occur in the remainder of the interspaces. The convexity of the valve and the general shape, however, can be gauged from the (broken) internal cast represented in Plate 42, fig. 6. One impression well shows the delicate reticulate ornamentation of the large posterior wing.

A few of the specimens had been labelled by Rosenkrantz O. aff. octavia (d’Orbigny), but that species, characterised by having one
secondary riblet alternating with each primary rib\(^1\)), looks rather different at least in de Loria's\(^2\) figure, though not perhaps in the description. *O. expansa* is said to range from the Cornbrash to the Corallian, but the agreement of the Greenland specimens with Arkell's figures is so close that the range of this species appears to have extended upward to the Portlandian, as recognised already by Lewinski\(^3\).

**Horizon:**—Glaucocitic Series and above (Lingula Bed); Portlandian.

**Locality:**—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section I, at 100—115 m; section II, at 240 m; section III at 190 m (loose?).

---

**Family MYALINIDAE.**

**Genus BUCHIA, Rouillier, 1845.**

* Buchia mosquensis (v. Buch).

(Plate 42, figs. 1a—g).

---


1904. — — Keyserling; Madsen: On Jurassic Fossils from East Greenland. Medd. om Grønland, vol. XXIX, no. 6, p. 1, pl. vi, figs. 7a—c.


---


3) See Sokolov and Bodylevsky, loc. cit. (Skrites om Svalbard, no. 35), 1931, p. 88.
and (more doubtfully) 190 (loose) and 415 m. Also from Aucella River, Jameson Land, and (more doubtfully?) from II.

**Buchia rugosa** (Fischer).

(Plate 42, figs. 2a, b).

1888. *Aucella pallasi*, Keyserling, var. plicata, Lahusen; Über die Russische cellen, loc. cit., p. 9, pl. 1, figs. 21—23.

1907. — *rugosa* (Fischer) Pavlov: Enchainement des Aucelles, loc. cit., pl. 1, figs. 6—7.

1931. -- Pavlov; Sokolov and Bodylevsky, loc. cit. (Skritze Svalhard, no. 35) p. 36.

1934. -- Fischer; Parat and Drach, loc. cit. (Ann. hydrograph.).

The two examples here figured seem to be connected with typical *B. mosquensis* by transitions, so that it is doubtful whether *B. rugosa* is really a distinct species. The difference in ribbing of these extremes, however, is conspicuous. The larger example shows true radial lineation crossing the strong concentric ribs. It is possible that the smaller valve represented in fig. 1e belongs to the present, rather than to *B. mosquensis*, since it resembles Lahusen's fig. 2.

**Horizon:** Glaucninitic Series and above?; Portlandian.

**Family PINNIDAE.**

*Genus PINNA*, Linnaeus, 1758.

*Pinna constantini*, P. de Loriol.

(Plate 44, fig. 4; Plate 45, figs. 5—6).


I mentioned in the first part (p. 54) that the new material in section II, at 35 m, 62 m, 115 m?, 200 m; section III at 130 m; section III at 190 (loose) and 415 m. Also from Aucella River, Jameson Land, and (more doubtfully?) from II.

**Family ISOGNOMONIDAE.**

*Genus ISOGNOMON*, Solander, 1786.

*Isognomon aff. bouchardi* (Oppel).

(Plate 42, fig. 12; Plate 43, figs. 1a, b).


There are numerous examples of this form labelled by Rosenkrantz “Isognomon milneelandensis, sp. nov.” but in the absence of a description it is impossible to say which of the features he considered to be character-
istic of this new species. The shape varies greatly, and while some 
indistinguishable from examples of the common I. bouchardi in 
Pectinatites beds of Wheatley and Shotover, others are either broad 
like I. flamberti (Dollfus) or else have a conspicuous, wing-like, poste 
extension, while the general shape of some is altogether more oblique t 
in I. bouchardi, as figured by de Lorol); but since it is most unli 
that this assemblage includes more than one species, I take it that 
that varying aspect is due merely to accidents of preservation; just 
a other beds in which Isognomon is plentiful, no two individuals 
indistinguishable from examples of the common 
Pectinatites beds of Wheatley and Shotover, others are either 
exactly alike. The excellent figure in Lewinski represents 
and at 130 m; section 
also are not distinctive.

Horizon:— Glauconitic Series, upper part; Portlandian.
Localities:— Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section I, at 100—
and at 130 m; section II, at 115 m.

Family OSTREIDAE.
Genus OSTREA, Linnaeus, 1758.
Ostrea bononiae, Sauvage.
(Plate 39, figs. 10—12; Plate 49, figs. 6a, b).
1934. — bononiae Sauvage; Parat and Drach, loc. cit. (Ann. hydrogr.
p. 11.
1935. — — — Spathom, supra (part I), p. 54.
The two examples figured in Plate 39, figs. 10 and 11 were attac 
to opposite sides of the same ammonite (Dorsoplanites? cf. subpap 
suggesting deposition in disturbed water, probably on a slope, as indica 
ged by the glauconite. Fig. 10 of the same plate shows how the oyster co 
the umbilicus in many of the ammonites, as noticed already by Pa 
and Drach; but the Lower Kimmeridgian oysters I recorded in the 1st 
part (and which were attached to examples of Raisenia) are essenti 

figs. 3—5.
2) Loc. cit. (Mém. Soc. phys. Genève, vol. XIX), 1867, pl. x, fig. 1; also loc.
fig. 9.

similar, when uncrushed. The original of Plate 49, figs. 6a, b bearing 
the impress of an Epipallasiceras, clearly on one valve and less distinctly 
on the larger, convex, valve, is perhaps the best so far as ornamentation 
is concerned; but there are others which, although attached to ammonites, 
show a smooth convex valve. The largest example, comparable to one 
figured by de Lorol); but still bigger, measures about 100 x 100 mm. 
It was attached to the ammonite figured in Plate 10, fig. 1.

All the oysters before me, whether ribbed or smooth, seem to be 
reducible to this one species, and there is nothing comparable to O. 
expansa, Sowerby, recorded by Parat and Drach, or to any other species. 
Whether O. bononiae is identical with O. undulata, Eichwald, created 
many years before for the similar oysters of the Virgattites beds of Russia, 
I am unable to say, lacking material for comparison; but the older name 
would have to be used if they should turn out to be identical. O. ventil 
abrum, Fischer, is more like examples of the present form.

Horizon:— Glauconitic Series and below, down to nodule horizon 
β(?); Upper Kimmeridgian and Portlandian.
Localities:— Hartz Mtn. (loc. D, nos. 164, 173; loc. E, nos. 176, 
177, 220, and first Kloof south of C, no. 172); Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's 
section I, at 100—115 m; section II, at 62 m and at 115 m; section III, 
at 190 m.

Family PECTINIDAE.
Genus ENTOLIUM, Meek, 1864.
ENTOLIUM NUMMULARIS (Fischer).
(Plate 41, figs. 9, 10a—c; Plate 42, figs. 11a, b).
1845. Pecten nummularis Phillips [sic] d'Orbigny, in Marchison, Verneuil and Key 
seling, loc. cit., p. 475, pl. xvi, figs. 26—23.
1851. — (Entolium) nummularis, d'Orbigny; Sokolov and Bodylevsky, loc. cit. 
(Skrifter om Svalbard, no. 55), p. 51, pl. viii, fig. 1.

There are numerous examples of this species and many had been 
labelled by Rosenkrantz: "Pecten (Entolium) nummularis, var.". The 
only difference I can see is in the broader shape of Fischer's form, notice 
able especially in the Spitsbergen specimen figured by Sokolov and Bodylevsky, which, however, is badly preserved. The shape is orbicular and 
since d'Orbigny, in the text, stated that the shell is as broad as it is high, 
there is probably little in this difference of the figures. The more acute 
umbo of the present form, especially the original of Plate 42, fig. 11a,
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**Genus CAMPTONECTES, Meek, 1864.**

*Camptonectes praecinctus, sp. nov.* (Plate 41, figs. 5–6).

*Camptonectes morini* (P. de Loriol).


There are numerous examples of *Camptonectes* of which some had been labelled by Rosenkrantz and referred partly to *C. morini* and

margin more curved, also smaller apical angle, and small, triangular ligament. Ornamentation as in *C. lamellosus* (J. Sowerby), consisting of concentric growth-lines and lamellae at irregular intervals and crossed by extremely fine, fibrous, radial lines. Test fairly thick, especially in the umbal region.

**Measurements:**

Plate 40, fig. 6. Height 168 mm, Length 165 (?) mm
Plate 41, fig. 1. 100 mm, 100 mm

**Remarks:** The comparison to *C. cinctus* (Sowerby), implied in Rosenkrantz's MS name, was very apt; but *C. lamellosus*, so common in the English Portland Stone, is also very similar and differs chiefly in having the right valve less flattened in the umbal region, so that the greatest thickness is in the dorsal part of the shell, and not in the middle, as in *C. praecinctus*. The concentric ornamentation is also much more pronounced, as it is in well preserved examples of *C. cinctus* from the Neocomian Glazby Ironstone of Lincolnshire.

*Pecten validus*, Lindstroem, from Spitsbergen is difficult to compare, but an impression of the interior of a convex left valve of the present form, with its triangular ligament pit, resembles Lindstroem's drawing. Sokolow and Bodylevsky, who put *P. validus*, with doubt, into the sub-genus *Aequipeet* (with doubt, into the sub-genus *Aequipeet*), figured two more examples and gave the age as approximately Lower Kimmeridgian — Lower Volgian, so that specific identity of the two forms is not impossible and, of course, the older name would have to be adopted.

Remains of a large form of *Pecten* from the *Parallelodon keyserlingi* Sandstone at Rosenkrantz's locality IV were also labelled *P. cf. praecinctus*, and thus missed when I compiled the list on p. 64 of part I. It is possible that they belonged to *P. (Camptonectes) broenlandi*, (Ravn), from a corresponding horizon on Koldewey Island; but the preservation of the remains, in the yellow micaceous sandstone, is altogether unfavourable to specific identification.

**Horizon:** Glaucocitic Series, upper part; Portlandian.

**Locality:** Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section I, at 100 m.
partly to *C. sp. nov.* These, however, are now discussed below under *C. suprasurensis,* while I am including in the present form only the specimens that are not only equilateral but rather convex. Most smooth (Plate 41, fig. 5) and the slightly convex right valve figured in the same plate (fig. 6) showing traces of the ornamentation is, perhaps more doubtful.

**Horizon:**—Glaucanitic Series and above (Lingula bed?); Portlandian.

**Localities:**—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz’s section I, at 100—115 m; section II, at 240 m (?); section III, at 190 m; also Anoplos River, Jameson Land (block I).

*Camptonectes suprasurensis* (Buvignier).

(Plate 41, figs. 2-4; Plate 42, fig. 9; Plate 43, fig. 4.)


The more inequilateral examples of *Camptonectes,* with slight vextity, especially of the right valves, are here referred to *Buvignier’s species,* but most of them are smooth casts and the ornament (which is not noticeably finer than that of *C. morini*) is preserved only a few small examples (Plate 41, fig. 3). Since the matrix of specimens is generally a micaceous sandstone, often rather coarse, it is not surprising that differentiation of the smaller forms of *Camptonectes* has to be based merely on shape and proportions. It is possible that the present species is identical with *Lima incrassata,* Eichwald,*), for the anterodorsal ridge is equally straight and the anterior auricle is invisible from the front, but in fig. 5b of Plate 46 (representing part of the opposite side of the specimen figured in Plate 47, fig. 10) the area between the anterodorsal ridge and the anterior auricle is crushed, and thus deeply concave. The ligament pit in the sub-triangular hinge area has slightly rounded sides. The test is rather thin, but tends to thicken posteriorly (not on the auricle) and on the dorsal side of the antero-dorsal ridge.

The test is thin, but tends to thicken posteriorly (not on the auricle) and on the dorsal side of the antero-dorsal ridge.

**Horizon:**—Glaucanitic Series, upper part; Portlandian.

**Localities:**—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz’s section I, at 100—115 m; also at 130 m.

**Subgenus Pseudolimea,** Arkell, 1932.

*Lima (Pseudolimea)* aff. *blakei,* Cox.

(Plate 45, figs. 7a, b.)


The largest example available (Plate 45, fig. 7a) represents the interior of a left valve, firmly embedded in a micaceous sandstone; the ribbing thus appears far less prominent than it would on the exterior of the shell although this is comparatively thin. The obliquely oval, strongly inequilateral shape, with excavated area inside the antero-dorsal ridge, and also the auricles, are of a typical *Plagiostoma,* not a
Limatula, which some of the smaller casts resemble. The number of ridges is about twenty which suggests comparison to L. blakei rather than to other species, from the more distantly ribbed L. rhomboidea (Contejean) to the closely coteate L. boloniensis, de Loriol). On the internal casts, the interspaces are wider than the ribs; on the test, however, is far less highly corrugated than Contejean's fig. 10.

L. bonanomi, Etallon, with 26-28 ribs, is also very similar, but most of the sandstone casts are too immature for definite identification. It either with described species or the larger example figured in Plate 45, fig. 7a. The Limea sp. from Spitsberg, recorded by Sokolov and Bodylevsky, is probably as little related to the present form as Lundgren's Cape Stewart Limea duplicata (non Sowerby) which of Lower Liassic age and has now been identified by Rosenkrantz. With Limea acuticosta, Goldfuss.

Horizon: Glauconitic Series and Hartzfjeld Sandstone (Ling) bed; Portlandian.

Localities: Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section I, at 100 m a section 11, at 240 m.

Family ANOMIIDAE.
Genus ANOMIA, Linnaeus, 1767.

Anomia? (Placunopsis?) sp. ind.

(Plate 10, fig. 3a).

The small valve of an Anomia? (or Placunopsis?), referred to p. 43 as being attached to the inner whorls of a form of Pavlocolas may not be specifically determinable, but in convexity, smoothness, a shape resembles A. suprajurensis, Buvignier. This form occurs in the pole Sands) and also in the Kimmeridgian. Among the examples figured by de Loriol the original of his fig. 7, though larger, is perhaps the most comparable, but the illustration shows that not only the whorls of the valve exposed for definite identification. In a small oyster (O. bononae, Sauvage

\[3\) In Thurnman and Etallon, loc. cit., 1862, p. 249, pl. xxxi, fig. 11.
\[4\) Loc. cit. (Skrifter om Svalbard, no. 35), 1931, p. 49.
\[7\) Loc. cit. (Statistique Meuse), 1852, p. 26, pl. xx, figs. 25-27.


The slightly distorted example here figured was labelled by Rosenkrantz “P. lycetti” and I am provisionally adopting the identification, but the Corallian P. radiata (Phillips), as figured by Arkell, seems to be at least equally close. While the shape is more circular than that of de Loriol’s first figure, both this and his later illustration show regular radial ornamentation, whereas in the present form it is more wavy and far more irregular. Phillips’s original figure being rather sketchy, it is perhaps not surprising that de Loriol did not compare his species with P. radiata; but Arkell’s recent figures, especially his fig. 4, show exactly the same ornamentation as the Greenland form now discussed. P. lycetti thus is probably merely the Kimmeridgian-Porlandian mutation of the Corallian P. radiata, and may have to be united with it when more satisfactory and more abundant material becomes available.

Anomia columbiana, (Crickmay), from beds containing Macrocephalitidae, does not seem to differ from the form here described, except, perhaps, the paratype (Crickmay’s fig. 6).

Horizon: — Glauconitic Series, upper part; Portlandian.

Locality: — Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz’s section I, at 100 m (with Pinna).

Family MYTILIDAE.
Genus MODIOLUS, Lamarck, 1799.

Modiolus aff. boloniensis (P. de Loriol).

(Plate 46, fig. 7).


1) Loc. cit. (Monogr. British Corallian Lamellibranchia, pt. 1), 1929, p. 49, pl. iii, figs. 4-5.

2) Fossils from Harrison Lake Area, B. C. Nat. Mus. Canada, Bull. 63, Geol. Soc. no. 51, 1930, p. 53, pl. xiv, figs. 4-5.
The shape of the only complete example available is that of the common *M. bipartitus* (J. Sowerby)\(^1\); and since the range of this species is very long\(^2\), and since I have myself collected smooth casts in the Hartwell Clay that do not seem to differ from the Upper Oxfordian examples on the one hand and the Greenland specimen on the other, it could be held that *M. bipartitus* ranges up into at least the Kimmeridgian. The dorsal region of the Greenland form, however, has radiating ridges, as distinct on the umbo as at the posterior end. The present example has been crushed. But de Loriol's species is probably accidental. The ornamentation at the anterior end of this Oxfordian form seems to be quite different from that of *d' Orbigny's* species.

**Horizon:** Glauconitic Series, upper part; Portlandian.

**Localities:** Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section I, at 100 m and at 130 m; section II at 115 m; also 300 m, north of II, at 70 m (loose).

**Modiolus sp. ind.**

(Plate 46, fig. 2).

A larger form than the last, which, even where the test is well preserved, shows no trace of radial ornamentation on the dorsal area, is comparable in shape to a *Modiolus* figured by de Loriol\(^2\) as *Modiola cfr. strajeskyi*, *d' Orbigny* (sic) is probably accidental. The ornamentation at the anterior end of this Oxfordian form seems to be quite different from that of *d' Orbigny's* species.

**Horizon:** Glauconitic Series, upper part; Portlandian.

**Localities:** Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section I, at 100 m and at 130 m; section II at 115 m; also 300 m, north of II, at 70 m (loose).

---


\(^2\) Loc. cit. (Mém. Soc. Linn. Normandie, vol. XVI), 1872, p. 344, pl. xix, fig. 7 only.


\(^4\) Der Obere Jura, 1874, p. 301.


B. Sub-class Isomyaria.

a. Order TAXODONTA.

Family ARCIDAE.

Genus PARALLELODON, Meek & Worthen, 1866.

*Parallelodon* sp. nov.? aff. *keyserlingi* (d'Orbigny)

(Plate 43, figs. 3, Plate 44, fig. 6; Plate 45, fig. 2; Plate 49, fig. 3).

See part 1, p. 58, for synonymy.


I mentioned in the first part (p. 58) that Rosenkrantz had determined as *P. cf. keyserlingi* (d'Orbigny) some examples from much higher beds than those that yielded what I then described as typical forms. The later examples differ chiefly in being slightly broader posteriorly, height being little less than half the length, even in the large example figured in Plate 44, fig. 6. The wider and unpleated shell, however, at the more rounded posterior end give the present from an aspect different from that of the typical *P. keyserlingi*.

The more parallel appearance of the ventral and dorsal margin in the cast figured in Plate 49, fig. 3 is due to defective preservation. The dorsal aspect is still that of *P. keyserlingi*, as figured by Borissjak.

The radial striation may, perhaps, be a little less fine and close.

*Macrodon lutugini*, Borissjak 4) differs from the small example figured in Plate 43, fig. 3 (enlarged) chiefly in having finer striation; and the author's figures of *Macrodon productum* (Rouillier) 5) show this species have more parallel margins than the form here described and a different anterior termination. These are also smaller species than *P. keyserlingi* or the present form, but I am not definitely separating the last because the innumerable examples from Oxfordian sandy concretions I previously recorded are all badly preserved and there is nothing from intermediate beds for a satisfactory comparison or the establishment of the real range of *P. keyserlingi*.

*Arca menandelkensis*, P. de Loriol 6) which had been cited from bed A by Parat and Drach, is also similar; but in the reprint of the paper, kindly sent to me by the authors, *Macrodon keyserlingi*? is added in ink (and in brackets) after that first identification. Apart from obvious but slight differences in the outline, *P. menandelkensis* appears to have slightly coarser radial ornamentation.

Horizon: Glaucocitic Series, upper part; Portlandian.

---

b. Order SCHIZODONTA.

Family TRIGONIDAE.

Genus TRIGONIA, Bruguier, 1789.


(Plate 41, fig. 8; Plate 42, fig. 10).


A number of remains of forms of *Trigonia* (section Clavotrigonia, Lebküchner, 1932), have been collected in the Glaucocitic Series and in the...
Hartzfjaeld Sandstone above, but only one is complete enough to be figured (Plate 41, fig. 8). It shows part of the test which is up to 3.5 mm in thickness or about 6.5 mm with the tubercles. The cast is small. At a length of about 100 mm, the height is 75 mm and the thickness of the double cast is 45 mm; with the test and tubercles (thinner on the inner layers of the valves than at the ventral and posterior ends), the thickness is about 53 mm. There are about twelve rows of tubercles meeting the row of distant, small tubercles which forms the carina. The escutcheon is concave and finely striated.

The example is close to *T. thurmanni* but differs in being more rounded anteriorly, in having a shorter escutcheon and in having comparatively large tubercles at the margin of the area, in which case the mesial row is as distinctly nodate as the line bordering the escutcheon. Considering that there are also probably at least two more transverse rows of large tubercles than in Contjean’s species, it is probable that the Greenland form is distinct, but in the absence of sufficient material it is impossible to name it. It may be merely another less well-preserved fragment of the usual form, *T. altiarsenii*, as understood by many authors, e.g. Lycett), but the original cast (loc. cit.) is quite different from that of the Greenland form. *T. ayersburiensis*, Cox, another closely related form, is again more rounded anteriorly.

The example figured in Plate 41, fig. 7, from the Aucella River, Jameson Land, labelled *T. aff. pellati*, Munier-Chalmas by Rosenkrantz, is probably not identical with the Milne Land form, figured on the same plate. But it compares equally well with *T. thurmanni*, except perhaps in the still greater rounding of the anterior border and in the direction of the transverse rows of tubercles. *T. pellati*, itself, as figured by Munier-Chalmas, has a much more elongated shape and lacks the marginal row of tubercles (absent on the inner layers of the test) but the Haute-Marne example figured by de Loriol closely resembles the form here figured, although it also is more acute posteriorly. *T. altiarsenii*

---

2) Agassiz, Études Critiques sur les Mollusques Fossiles. Livr. 1, 1841, p. 9, figs. 10-12.
At Aucella River where this form is extremely common, it is associated with a smooth species (Plate 47, fig. 7), perhaps A. panderi, Rouill, which in its obliqueness somewhat resembles A. veneris, d'Orbigny, and an elongate form (Plate 47, fig. 6) which combines costation at umbo with striation over the remainder of the test, as in A. michaudiana (d'Orbigny), or in A. striatocostata (Münster) Goldfuss (of quite different shape). The former was labelled by Rosenkrantz A. cf. duboisiana, but is not the form which I am now referring to that species (see below).

There is only one example, with the thick test partly preserved, its slight inflation as well as more ovate, less circular shape distinguishes it from the common A. aff. saemanni of the same horizon in the beds below. The corrugation is also more regular and more prominent, but the preservation is scarcely good enough for definite identification with the Russian species. Lewinski mentioned that A. duboisiana (which he considered took the place of the West European A. saemanni in Russia and Poland) had a greater thickness than de Loriol's species while Skeat and Madsen stated that the two species agreed in respect; in reality, on comparing the original figures, it can be seen at once that A. duboisiana is much less inflated than A. saemanni.

Horizon:— Hartzfjaeld Sandstone (Lingula Bed); Portlandian.
Locality:— Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section II, at 240 m.

Astarte sp. nov.? aff. michaudiana, d'Orbigny.

(Plate 47, fig. 6).

See in Dollfus: La Faune de la Meuse; 1864, p. 18, pl. xv, figs. 7—8.

The example here figured is from Aucella River in Jameson Land, and has already been referred to under A. aff. saemanni (p. 116), but there is a single impression from Cape Leslie that appears to represent the same form. The costation is confined to within 5 or 6 mm from the umbo and consists of about 12—15 sharp ribs, closely packed at first, but later more distantly spaced. The remainder of the test shows merely the fine lines of growth. The shape is elongated, with the length and height in the proportion of 4 to 3. A. michaudiana, d'Orbigny, already referred to, as figured by Dollfus, has a more prominent umbo and is higher than the Greenland form, but its ornamentation is similar. The thickness seems to be about the same in the two species.

Horizon:— Glaucconitic Series, upper part; Portlandian.
Locality:— Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section I, at 100—110 m. Also at Aucella River, Jameson Land (Block I).

Astarte sp. ind.

(Plate 47, figs. 9a, b).

The single left valve figured is almost equilateral, with the broad umbo, however, distinctly turned forward. The height exceeds the length; the thickness is 66% of the length. The concentric ribbing is similar to that of A. saemanni, already discussed, and irregular. There is no crenulation of the ventral margin, but the shell is somewhat corroded. A. mediolaevis, Buvignier which is less high and has a less blunt umbo, looks somewhat similar, but on account of its small size is difficult to compare.

Horizon:— Hartzfjaeld Sandstone, Lingula Bed; Portlandian.
Locality:— Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section II, at 240 m.

Astarte sp. nov.

(Plate 47, figs. 8a, b).

The figured example is slightly corroded and the sharpness of the concentric ribbing has somewhat suffered; but another example of probably the same form, with the two valves widely gaping, shows fine and
regular ornamentation, resembling that of A. socialis, d'Orbigny, figured by de Lorioi1). This minute species, however, is more elongate. In another, isolated, right valve, the ribbing is finer than that of the young A. saemanni only in the umbonal region, but later becomes much like that of this species. This example may be intermediate between A. saemanni and the present, more finely ribbed form. The length is greater than the height; the thickness is 56% of the length. The umbonal and central areas are almost equilateral. A. submultistriata, d'Orbigny, as figured by de Lorioi2), has a somewhat similar appearance, judging from the enlarged illustration, but it also is a much smaller species.

Horizon:—Hartzfjeld Sandstone (Lingula bed); Portlandian.
Locality:—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section II, at 240 m.

**Family ARCTICIDAE.**

Genus *ISOCYPRINA*, Roeder, 1882.

*Isocyprina* sp. nov.? aff. elongata, Cox.

(Plate 48, figs. 6a, b.)


The internal cast of a left valve, here figured, retains enough of the very thick test to show the fine concentric lines of growth. The hinge also partly exposed. The general shape is that of Cox's species which, however, is much smaller and slightly more inflated. The dimensions are:—length 71 mm, height 61 mm; thickness (single valve) 22 mm. In addition to the figured example, there are three doubtful sandstone casts, but although the form is probably distinct, the material scarcely sufficient for the creation of a new species.

Horizon:—Hartzfjeld Sandstone (Lingula bed); Portlandian.
Locality:—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section II, at 240 m.
Also at Aucella River, Jameson Land (Block I), and doubtfully from loc. O (between Astarte and Crab Valleys, no. 240), together with *Arctica craspedites groenlandicus*, which may be lowest Cretaceous.

*Isocyprina* sp. nov.? ind.

A fragmentary example of a second species of *Cyprina* shows a much less convex shape and a less prominent umbone than the form last described. The thick test has very fine lines of growth, most of the specimen is merely a smooth cast and the hinge-area only incompletely exposed. The general outline seems to have been

1) *Loc. cit.* (Lethaea rossica), 1868, p. 659, pl. xxi, fig. 7a.

III. The Upper Jurassic Invertebrate Faunas of Cape Leslie, Milne Land. 119

much like that of the last species. The form described below as *Isocyprina* (?) sp. ind is not only much smaller, but has far more inflation.

Horizon:—Glaucnonitic Series, upper part; Portlandian.
Locality:—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section III, at 190 m (loose?).

*Isocyprina* (?) sp. ind.

Two poorly preserved sandstone casts show prominent umbones and a subtriangular shape which, together with the considerable inflation, is reminiscent of forms like *Cyrena ambigua*, Eichwald1), or *Isocyprina glabra* (Blake and Hudleston2). The former has the umbones slightly blunter; the latter is smaller and less elongated. The dimensions of the better of the two casts are:—length 31 mm, height 27 mm, thickness (of one valve) 11 mm. In the absence of the hinge or other characteristic feature, the real affinities of the present form must remain uncertain. Apart from the great difference in inflation, *Arctica iellaonii*, Contjean3), and the right valve doubtfully identified in it by Skeat and Madsen4), have the shape of the form here described, except that, the anterior end being shorter in the Greenland examples, they are more triangular in side-view, although inequilateral.

Horizon:—Glaucnonitic Series, upper part; Portlandian.
Locality:—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section I, at 100 m; section II, at 115 m.

**Family TANCREDIDAE.**

Genus *CORBICELLA*, Morris and Lycett, 1854.


(Plate 42, figs. 14a, b.)


The small example here figured shows good agreement in general form with the original figure in Damon5). The length is 25 mm and the height 16 mm, while the thickness of the two valves is 10 mm. These dimensions do not quite agree with the measurements given by Cox.

1) *Loc. cit.* (Lethaea rossica), 1868, p. 659, pl. xxi, fig. 10a, b.
3) *Loc. cit.* (Mém. Soc. Emul. Douti), 1859, p. 256, pl. x, figs. 34—36 (as *Mastra aspiciens*).
4) *Loc. cit.* (Dannebode geol. Unders., II, no. 8), 1898, p. 134, pl. iv, fig. 22 only.
but so far as can be judged from the immature specimens available, they are at least as close to the Portlandian species as to the similar French forms of Kimmeridgian age described chiefly by de Loriol. The posterior ridge is more marked on the smooth internal cast than on the thin test, with its concentric striae of growth; and the posterior adductor impression is as drawn in Damon. The entire pallial line, rather distant from the ventral margin, ascends vertically to the posterior adductor, so that it is well away from the posterior end, obliquely truncated, as in Damon's drawing.

While *C. (Eodonax?)* pellati, de Loriol⁹ is shorter and more inflated, *C. lorioli* (Cox)² is more rounded at both ends and has no distinct posterior ridge. One doubtful cast (Plate 50, fig. 10) with the posterior slope clearly ridged may, however, belong to *C. lorioli* or some other species rather than the present form; but its umbones are almost central;

*C. unioides*, de Loriol, discussed below, is closer in general shape to the present form, but its umbones are almost central.

**Horizon:** Glaucostonic Series and Hartzfjaeld Sandstone (Lingula Bed); Portlandian.

**Localities:** Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section I, at 100 m and II at 200 m and 240 m.

**Corbicella cf. unioides,** P. de Loriol.

(Plate 46, fig. 9).


The only specimen available was labelled (like the last species) "Corbicellosis? cf. unioides, de Loriol," and although it is somewhat defective, it may be compared to that form, being closest to Cox's fig. 5. Its length, however, is 26 mm, the height 15 mm, and the thickness 10 mm. These dimensions are not very different from those of *C. aff. portlandica* discussed above, but the shell seems decidedly more elongated and ovate, with the umbones more prominent. But it is not impossible that both are merely variants of a distinct Greenland species that cannot be separated on account of lack of material. The original of de Loriol, fig. 11³) which has been chosen by Cox as the lectotype of *C. unioides* in any case, does not appear to have the posterior slope ridged, and it was not for the position of the umbo being more forward in the Gradus form, it might be compared to *C. tenera*, de Loriol⁴, which, however, is more compressed, or to *C. bayani*, de Loriol⁵) which is less pointed anteriorly.

**Horizon:** Hartzfjaeld Sandstone, Lingula Bed; Portlandian.

**Locality:** Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section II, at 240 m.

**Corbicella (?)** sp. ind.

An example of a doubtful *Corbicella*, larger than those discussed above, is too fragmentary to be figured, but it seems to differ from *C. portlandica* (Morris and Lycett) in having a narrower and more prominent umbo and in being more acute anteriorly. At a length of about 45 mm, the height is nearly 30 mm and the inflation (of the single valve) about 7 mm. The thick shell has lamellar or wrinkly striae of growth and there is a blunt posterior ridge where the growth-lines are sharply bent. The internal cast is entirely smooth. The umbo is slightly prosogyrous and placed distinctly anterior to the centre. The hinge is not exposed. Compared with the much smaller example figured in Plate 42, figs. 14a, b, the present form is distinguished by a greater concavity of the anterodorsal margin, which makes the umbo more prominent. This is also less broad, but otherwise the shape is very similar, as is the posterior ridge.

**Horizon:** Glaucostonic Series, upper part; Portlandian.

**Locality:** Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section I, at 100 m.

**Genus TANCREDIA,** Lycett, 1850.

*Tancredia harti, sp. nov.*

(Plate 48, figs 4, 5a, b; Plate 50, fig. 2).

Tancredia harti, Rosenkrantz MS (on label).

1899. *Tancredia* sp., Madsen: Jurassic Fossils from East Greenland, loc. cit., p. 125, pl. xvi, fig. 19.

**Diagnosis:** Shell comparatively large, inequilateral, high and obliquely truncate posteriorly, narrow and rostrate anteriorly. Posterior slope ridged, with transverse sulcus below, reaching to posterior margin which is widely gaping. Lines of growth near ventral and posterior margins thickening into ribs, forming an acute angle in the posterior corner, where crossed by the sulcus. Umbo low and flat, hinge apparently as in other species of *Tancredia*. Pallial line entire. Test extremely thick.

**Dimensions:** Length 50 mm, height 30 mm; thickness (both valves) 27 mm.

**Remarks:** This species shows some resemblance to *T. curtansata*¹)

Family MACTROMYIDAE.

Genus MACTROMYA, Agassiz, 1842.

MACTROMYA verotii (Buvignier).

(Plate 46, figs. 2-3).


1929. MACTROMYA verotii (Buvignier); Cox, loc. cit. (Proc. Dorset Nat. Hist. 

1935. MACTROMYA verotii (Buvignier), Cox, in Geology and Palaeontology of 

This long-ranged and common species is represented by what appear to be typical examples; but they are preserved in sandstone and show little except outline, general shape, and the concentric ribbing. There is good agreement with the English Portland Stone example figured by Cox, as well as with the Polish form, doubtfully attached by Lewinski3) to the present species, but Buvignier's4) original figures show less coarse ribbing and are, perhaps, comparable only to the smoother casts listed below from the Lingula Bed. But it will be seen that even the two examples from the same bed, here figured, are slightly different in ribbing as well as the bluntness of the umbo.

Horizon:-- Above Glauconitic Series; Portlandian.

Locality:-- Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section II, at 240 m., section III, at 415 m.

3) Loc. cit. (Statistique Meuse), 1852, p. 16, pl. xvii, figs. 1—5.

[Refer to the family MACTROMYIDAE for the next page content.]
Lucina sp. nov.? ind.
(Plate 50, figs. 9a, b).

This form, represented by only two imperfect examples, differs from the species last described merely in its round shape, with a much shorter anterior end and consequently greater height. The measurements are: length 60 mm, height 60 mm, thickness (two valves) 40 mm. The test is fairly thick, especially near the margins, and it is provided with irregular concentric lines of growth, while the smooth cast also shows traces of radial ornamentation. This radial ornamentation is more pronounced in the Jameson Land specimen figured in Plate 48, figs. 7a, b, as Lucina (?) sp. ind., the very thick test of which also has merely fine concentric lines of growth. But apart from the general rounded shape there is little of diagnostic value preserved in this example which moreover, is probably crushed. Its resemblance to a form like Buvignier's much less rounded L. ingens is confined to a similar state of preservation of the casts, and the rounded outline suggests that the apparent difference of this Aucella River example from the more inflated Milne Land form here discussed may be due only to the crushing.

L. lirata (Phillips), referred to under the last species, on account of its more rounded shape, is closer to the present form than to L. sp. nov. aff. inaequalis, but the largest example listed by Arkell has a length of only 53 mm and its inflation is much less than that of the Greenland form here discussed.

Horizon:—Glaucolithic Series, upper part; Portlandian.
Locality:—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section 1, at 100 m.

Family CARDIIDAE.

Genus PROTOCARDIA, Beyrich, 1845.

Protoeardia sp. juv. ind.
(Plate 43, figs. 5a—c; Plate 50, fig. 5).

One of the immature examples here figured (fig. 5a) and a few others like it were labelled by Rosenkrantz Protoeardia cf. morinitica (de Loriol) and they agree well enough with the specimen illustrated by Skeat and Madsen; but they are rather too small to be identified specifically. P. concinna (v. Buch) as figured by d'Orbigny is similar, as already stated by Lewinski, and it is doubtful whether the slight differences in thickness etc. could be appreciated at so small a size and in so indifferent a preservation, i.e. in smooth, internal casts. The largest and best example (Plate 43, figs. 5b, c) shows better agreement with d'Orbigny's figure than with de Loriol's (1) original drawing of P. morinitica. The latter species which has been shown by Lamplugh, Kitteh and Pringle (2) to have an extended range, in the case of crushed examples such as are commonly found in the English Kimmeridge Clay, would, of course, be difficult to distinguish from allied forms of Protoeardia.

Horizon:—Hartzfjeld Sandstone, Lingula Bed, and 94 m above base of Hartzfjeld Sandstone (loose); Portlandian.
Locality:—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section II, at 240 m; Hartz Mtn., east slope, at 530 m (No. 229).

Family ISOCARDIIDAE.

Genus PSEUDOTRAPEZIUM, Fischer, 1887.

Pseudotrapezium groenlandicum, sp. nov.
(Plate 49, figs. 7a—c).

Pseudotrapezium groenlandicum, Rosenkrantz MS (on label).

Diagnosis:—Shell rather large, elongated, the length (58 mm) considerably exceeding the height (44 mm), and rather inflated (thickness of single valve 18 mm); subtrigonal in outline, very inequilateral, angulate posteriorly. Umbones broad and flat, very slightly prosogyrous, situated at about one-third the length from the anterior end. Test fairly robust, especially in umboonal region; ornamented with irregular concentric lines of growth, but more distinct costation at the umbones. Internal cast smooth. Anterior margin sharply rounded; posterior margin truncate and almost straight between the terminations of the two strongly curved posterior ridges. Ventral edge sharp and entire. Hinge with two large cardinal teeth and one elongated posterior lateral tooth; margin entire and straight. Adductors and pallial line as in Pronoella trigonelluris (Schlotheim) (3) allowing for difference in shape.

Remarks:—This species, in dorsal view, is much like P. bathonicum (Morris and Lyceett), except for the broader umbones. The two posterior ridges, especially, are identical, also the proportion of length to inflation; but the lateral view is considerably different, for not only is

1) Loc. cit. (Statistique Meuse), 1852, p. 11, pl. x, figs. 3—5.
2) Loc. cit. (Danmarks geol. Unders., II, no. 8), 1898, p. 132, pl. iii, fig. 5.
3) In Murchison, Verneuil and Keyserling, loc. cit. (Géologie de la Russie, p. 454, pl. xxviii, figs. 11—13.
7) Loc. cit. (Monograph Mollusca Great Oolite), 1853, p. 75, pl. vii, figs. 8a—c.
the height greater in *P. bathonicum*, but the umbo is also much more projecting and the posterior end is far more oblique. The lateral aspect of *Cyprina kharoschoensis*, Roullier), is more like that of the Greenland form, this shell being only slightly more elongated, and more rounded anteriorly, but in the dorsal view its sharp umbones give it a quite different aspect.

There are many examples of this species, in all states of preservation, but most are fragmentary or else crushed or deformed in the rock. The internal casts appearing more elongated on account of the thickness of the test in the umbonal region, show the adductor impressions, of which the anterior has a conspicuous ridge on the inner edge; the pallial line rises vertically to the posterior adductor.

Horizon:—Glaucinitic Series and Hartzfjaeld Sandstone (*Lingula* Bed); Portlandian.

Localities:—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section I, at 100 to 165 m; section II at 200 to 240 m; section III, at 190 m (loose).

**Pseudotrapeziun** (?) sp. nov. ind.

It is probable that there is another species of *Pseudotrapeziun* (or of *Pronoella*) in the same beds with *P. groenlandicum*, but the material available is very unsatisfactory. One example, labelled with the MS name "*Pseudotrapeziun? lesieianum*" in Mr. Rosenkrantz's collection, seems to me the same as his *Pronoella nuculaeformis* (Roemer), described below, but two fragmentary specimens, also labelled *P.? lesieianum*, although having *Pronoella* hinges so far as can be seen, differ in their truncate posterior margin. There is no distinct posterior ridge, at least on the thick test, and the umbones are small and sharp, so that there is little real resemblance to *P. groenlandicum*; but as the shape of the whole shell cannot be reconstructed from the two fragmentary (left and right) valves, further discussion will have to be deferred until more material is available.

Horizon:—Glaucinitic Series, upper part; Portlandian.

Locality:—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section II, at 115 m.

Genus *PRONOELLA*, Fischer, 1887.

*Pronoella* (?) sp. ind. aff. *nuculaeformis* (Roemer).

(Plate 48, figs. 1a, b; Plate 50, fig. 6).


The specimens available are all crushed or fragmentary and none shows the hinge, so that examples of *Pleurotoma tellina* and even

---


---

The generic position of this form is doubtful, since the interior is unknown. All the examples came out of one small nodule and are mostly smooth casts that resemble similar casts of *Protocardiata*, but are less inflated. Where the test is preserved, the fine concentric lines of growth are seen to be continuous and uniform from the gently sloping anterior to the scarcely steeper posterior border, and there is no sign of the posterior radial ornamentation, characteristic of the genus *Protocardiata*. The length of one of the largest examples is 12 mm, the height 11 mm and the thickness about 8 mm. The outline is sub-orbicular; the small umbones rise only slightly above the dorsal margin and are prosogyrous. The general
The acute V-shape of the ribbing is not apparent in the photograph, on account of lighting from the top-left, but it agrees with that of Agassiz's species. There are no cross-bars at any stage, as in most of the Arctic and other comparable forms so far described. The dorsal and ventral aspects also closely resemble those given in Agassiz's pl. 1b, figs. 9—12. The only differences are the larger size of the Greenland form and the greater width of the shallow sulcus which extends from the ridge, running from the umbones to the ventral margin, for some distance across the middle of the shell and includes the apices of the Vs. The gape of the posterior end is extreme; the anterior end is only slightly gaping. A smaller fragmentary example includes merely the central portion of one valve and the impression of a third example also is too doubtful to be definitely identified with the figured specimen which is from a higher horizon.

1) "Mesozoic Fossils". In Geology of the Yellowstone National Park. U.S. Geol. Survey, Monogr. 32, pt. 2, 1899, p. 626, pl. xxxiv, fig. 9 only.
2) Loc. cit. (Mém. Soc. géol. France, Paléont., vols. XXIV—XXV), 1923, p. 81, pl. vii, figs. 2a, b.
Horizon:—Glaucanitic Series and Hartzfjæld Sandstone (Lingula Bed); Portlandian.

Localities:—Hartz Mtn., N.E. spur (loc. P., no. 245); Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section I, at 100 m; also 1 km north of Cape Leslie, no. 201, labelled “probably Lingula Bed”.

Genus ARCOMYA, Agassiz, 1843.
Arcomya(?) sp. ind.
(Plate 50, fig. 7).

The few single valves collected are embedded in rock so that the shape and outline only are available for identification. They may belong to more than one species, but none agrees with any of the forms figured by Agassiz. That author's A. helveticus (Thurmann) is probably the closest ally of the Greenland form, but it has far more prominent umbones than any of the Greenland examples. Some of the specimens were labelled Arcomya and I am adopting the generic name, but whether the Greenland form or forms, like the original A. helveticus, are referable to Machomya(?) rather than Arcomya, I am unable to decide.

A. latissima, Agassiz, as figured by de Loriol(1) has a less straight ventral margin, a less rounded posterior end, and umbones that are rather more prominent than those of the Greenland examples.

Horizon:—Glaucanitic Series and Hartzfjæld Sandstone (Lingula Bed); Portlandian.

Localities:—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section I, at 100 m; section II, at 240 m.

Genus MACHOMYA, P. de Loriol, 1868.
Machomya(?) sp. ind.

A double-valved internal cast, though damaged at both ends, can be seen to have belonged to a shell gaping slightly anteriorly and more so posteriorly. Remains of the thick test near the umbones show it to have had fine, concentric growth lines. The general shape is that of Homomya tibetica, Stoliczka(4), but there is less inflation. The anterior end was probably similarly pointed in both. The adductor impressions and the deep pallial sinus are those of a Panopea(5). The cast shows two grooves (corresponding to internal ridges of the shell) running from the umbones to the anterior adductors. The umbones are at about one-third the total length (of about 60 mm); the height is 25 mm, the thickness (of both valves) 19 mm.

M. dunkeri (d'Orbigny) de Loriol(4), first described as a Panopea, differs from the Greenland form in having a straight instead of a convex ventral margin. The example of Arcomya(?) sp. ind. figured in Plate 50, fig. 7, is not only much higher at about the same length, but has a more elevated umbo and a much less acute anterior margin.

Horizon:—Hartzfjæld Sandstone, Lingula Bed; Portlandian.

Localities:—Cape Leslie at Signal 7 M (no. 195).

Family PHOLADOMYIDAE.

Genus PHOLADOMYA, G. B. Sowerby, 1823.
Pholadomya aff. inaequivalvata, Stanton.
(Plate 44, figs. 2a, b; Plate 45, figs. 3a, b).


There are about a dozen examples, large and small, as figured, complete and fragmentary, and they seem to me to be all referable to the same species. A few were labelled P. tumida, Agassiz non de Loriol, or P. rustica, by Rosenkrantz, who, however, had not seen the best specimen (Plate 44, figs. 2a, b). In shape, this shows perfect agreement with Stanton's smaller species, which, however, may have one or two more radial ribs. Agassiz's original small P. tumida is scarcely comparable, but some of the examples of P. canaliformis, Roemer (of which P. tumida is a synonym) figured by Moesch closely resemble the smaller specimens of the present form, especially those that have suffered by compression in the rock. De Loriol's P. tumida, renamed by Moesch(5), is more elongated and has a less rounded ventral margin. As in P. rustica, Phillips(6), which according to Cox(7) is the same as P. loriolii, the radial ribbing is also stronger and more regular.

P. protei, Defrance, which has been recorded by Parat and Drach(7)

---

1) Loc. cit. (Monographie des Myes), III, 1843, p. 167, pl. x, figs. 7—10.
2) See Brauns, Der Obere Jura, 1874, p. 255.
5) Compare P. antiqua, d'Orbigny, in Murchison, Verneuil and Keyserling, loc. cit. (1845), p. 466, pl. xl, figs. 4—5.
from Cape Leslie, is rather different from the form here discussed; and they also found a species of Pholadomya in their Kimmeridgian bed C, which like their species of Thracia and Inoceramus, was not represented among the material dealt with in part I of this memoir.

Horizon:—Glaucolithic Series and Hartzfjæld Sandstone, Lingula Bed; Portlandian.

Localities:—Hartz Mtn., Crab Valley (loc. D, no. 168); Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section I at 100 m; section II, at 70 m (loose) and at 240 m; section III, at 130 m. Also at Aucella River, Jameson Land (Block I).

Pholadomya sp. ind.

A crushed and fragmentary example, labelled P. cf. tumida, Agassiz, is recorded separately only because it seems to have more reticulate ornamentation than the specimen figured in Plate 44, fig. 2. This ornamentation is comparable to that of numerous earlier species of Pholadomya in which the concentric ribs are as prominent as the radial costae, but the poor state of preservation of the example here discussed makes it possible that it may represent only an individual variation of the form last described, especially since the young specimen figured in Plate 45, fig. 3 came from the same bed.

Horizon:—Hartzfjæld Sandstone, Lingula Bed; Portlandian.

Locality:—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section II, at 240 m.

Genus HOMOMYA, Agassiz, 1842.

Homomya aff. hortulana, Agassiz.
(Plate 47, figs. 11a, b.)


This form is represented by a fine series of more or less well preserved specimens, most of them, unfortunately, slightly distorted; some specimens, with angularity and ridges of the short anterior region, also show great resemblance to H. uralensis (d'Orbigny) 1). In the figured example, which had been labelled by Rosenkrantz H. aff. uralensis, the two blunt ridges of the anterior region and the accompanying shallow sulci are scarcely visible; but in other examples, labelled H. hortulana, they are quite distinct. Some of Agassiz's 2) original figures of H. hortulana show merely a faint ridge running from the umbo to the ventral border, but the anterior margin is evenly rounded and does not show the sinuosity caused by the ridges and sulci, that distinguishes d'Orbigny's form and

1) In Murchison, Verneuil and Keyserling, loc. cit., 1845, p. 468, pl. xl, figs. 13—14 (as Pholadomya).

2) Loc. cit. (Monographie des Myes, III), 1843, p. 155, pl. xv.

Family LATERNULIDAE (= ANATINIDAE).

Genus THRACIA, Blainville, 1824.

Thracia incerta (Deshayes) Thurnmann sp.
(Plate 48, fig. 3; Plate 50, fig. 4).


The specimen figured in Plate 48, fig. 3, bore a label T. triarsiana, but it does not particularly resemble the illustration in d'Orbigny 3), although it is crushed and the umbones therefore are flattened and not prominent. D'Orbigny's species, however, has been considered to be identical with T. incerta by various authors, and if I now adopt the latter name, it is done because there is good agreement between the Greenland form and the figure of T. incerta in Thurnmann and Étallon 4). The low umbo is perhaps the most striking feature, compared with the figure of Lewinski, or the American T. weedi, Stanton 4), with a similar shape, but a comparatively sharp, pointed umbo.

While the original of Plate 48, fig. 3 is crushed and entirely flattened, the small example figured in Plate 50, fig. 4, is one of a number that,
although similarly crushed, are double-valved and retain at least some trace of the original shape. This also agrees with that of T. incerta while T. scythica, Eichwald\(^1\) and T. archiaci, Eichwald non Pieter\(^3\), are less closely comparable. As had been noted already by Krenkel\(^7\) in these variable forms of Thracia the differences in length and height also influence the position of the posterior ridge and thus the width of the area bordered by it. When crushing complicates matters still further, definite identification of each individual is impossible.

**Horizon:**—Sandy Shales (with horizon \(a\)) above Glaucocitic Series; Portlandian.

**Localities:**—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section I, at 165 m and section II, at 200 m.

\emph{Thracia cf. depressa} (J. de C. Sowerby).

(Plate 50, fig. 3).

1923. \emph{Thracia depressa} (Sowerby) Lewinski, loc. cit. (Mém. Soc. géol. France, Pal., vols. XXIV—XXV), p. 84, pl. vii, fig. 7.


The differences between the present species and \(T. \text{ incerta}\) have been discussed by Lewinski and I agree with him that Skeat and Madsen\(^4\) figure represents a form closer to \(T. \text{ depressa}\) than to \(T. \text{ incerta}\). Compared with examples of the latter species from the Hartwell Clay and the Portland Sands of Hounstout Cliff, Dorset, the specimen here figured shows good agreement, but as it is rather defective and the only one found, definite identification is not possible.

**Horizon:**—Hartzfjaeld Sandstone, Lingula Bed; Portlandian.

**Locality:**—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section II, at 240 m.

**Phylum Vermes.**

**Class Annelida.**

**Sub-order Tubicola.**

**Genus DITRUPA**, Berkeley, 1835.

\emph{Ditrupa nodulosa} (Lundgren).


**Genus SERPULA,** Linnaeus, 1758.

\emph{Serpula} sp. ind.

The photograph of the ammonite reproduced in Plate 25, fig. 4, was taken from a squeeze and the actual impression, on one half of a split nodule, shows traces of a number of individuals of \emph{Serpula}, originally attached to the shell of the ammonite. In the impression the tubes are flattened and it is impossible to say whether they were originally rounded and smooth, or angular and ornamented. A similar tangle of worm tubes is represented by \emph{S. flaccida}, Goldfuss\(^5\) which, however, consists of longer individual tubes and is of earlier Jurassic age. \emph{S. carinella}, J. de C. Sowerby\(^6\) is an almost exact reproduction of the mass of tangled tubes but this is from the Blackdown Albion. It is possible that, like the \emph{Serpula} sp. recorded in pt. 1 (p. 62), the present form may be referable to \emph{S. intestinalis}, Phillips\(^7\), although this is rather large, but the smaller \emph{S. runcinata}, J. de C. Sowerby\(^8\), of Corallian age, and quoted by Blake\(^9\) from the Lower Kimmeridge Clay, is also a possibly comparable species, the ornamentation being confined to the upper surface which is not seen in the present impression.

**Horizon:**—Sandy clays below Glaucocitic Series (subaperta nodules); Upper Kimmeridgian.

**Locality:**—Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section II, at 62 m.

\(^{1)}\) Petrefacta Germaniae, 2nd. ed., 1862, p. 218, pl. lxxix, fig. 7.

\(^{2)}\) Min. Conchology, vol. VI, 1829, p. 508, fig. 2.

\(^{3)}\) In Fitton: Strata below the Chalk. Trans. Geol. Soc., 2nd. ser., vol. IV, p. 347, pl. xxiii, fig. 7.

\(^{4)}\) Min. Conchology, vol. VI, 1829, p. 227, pl. 608, fig. 6.

Phylum Brachiopoda.
Class Inarticulata.
Order ATREMATA.
Family LINGULIDAE.
Genus LINGULA, Bruguière, 1789.
LINGULA ZETA, Quenstedt
(Plate 44, figs. 5a—d).

1858. Lingula zeta, Quenstedt: Der Jura, p. 796, pl. 98, fig. 13.

There are many hundreds of specimens in excellent preservation; for, apart from blocks of the Lingula bed which are crowded with valves of this species, the matrix of every fossil here described from the same horizon is characterised by containing some examples of Lingula. One bore the label “Lingula zeta, Quenstedt”, and there is indeed very good agreement, in ornamentation, shape and dimensions, the length being twice the maximum width. The sides are almost parallel, but the varying angularity of the valves here figured at the beak is due to cutting-out of the photographs. Fig. 5a is the best for general shape and fig. 5b for the striae of growth. Figs. 5c and d represent internal casts, showing the muscle scars.

L. ovalis, J. Sowerby1), not very successfully figured in Davidson2), has less parallel sides than the Greenland form, but also occurs associated with Orbiculoidea latissima. According to de Loriol, L. ovalis is less close to L. zeta than is L. suprajurensis, Contejean3), but this was based on a single specimen and the truncation of the anterior end is accidental. The Lingula sp. (L. brodiei) figured by Davidson4), and the Spitsbergen form cited by Sokolov and Bodylevsky5) as resembling it, may well both be the same as the species here discussed. L. brodiei was described as being still more elongate, the width being less than half the length. As it was based on a single, imperfect example, however, and could easily be matched by slender examples in the blocks before me, it may well turn out to be a synonym of L. zeta.

Horizon:— Glaucocnictic Series and Hartzfjaeld Sandstone (Lingula Bed); Portlandian.
Localities:— Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz’s section II, at 240 m; section III at 190 m (loose) and at 415 m; at Signal 7 M (no. 195). Hartz Mtn., Pinna Valley, loc. A, south side, no. 151; N. E. spur, loc. P, no. 245. Also at Ancella River, Jameson Land (Block II).

Order NEOTREMATA.
Family Discinidae.
Genus ORBICULOIDEA, d’Orbigny, 1847.
ORBICULOIDEA AFF. LATISSIMA (J. Sowerby).
(Plate 44, figs. 3a—f).

1876. Discina latissima, J. Sowerby; Davidson, loc. cit. (Suppl., II, no. 1), p. 80, pl. x, figs. 16—19; pl. xi, fig. 30.

The English examples of this rather large species are nearly always crushed, as pointed out by Davidson, so that exact comparison is difficult. In the Greenland examples the well marked apex is just above the centre so that in side-view the upper valves are unsymmetrical cones: but the convexity is less marked than in the earlier O. reflexa (J. de C. Sowerby), recorded1) from Jameson Land. The latter also shows a characteristic curve in the concentric striae of growth at the posterior margin whereas in the present form the fine striation is uniform all round the valves. Remains of the test are seen in the originals of figs. 3a, b and 3c, d, while the example figured in figs. 3 e, f, is a smooth internal cast, showing a distinct depression posterior to the umbo, but no muscle scars. The dimensions of the two larger examples are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>length</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>width</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elevation</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The lateral compression and comparatively high conical shape suggest comparison with Discina elevata, Blake3), rather than the present species. But the latter, as can be seen from the three examples here

1) In Spath, loc. cit. (Medd. om Gronl., vol. LXXXVII, no. 7), 1933, p. 123, pl. xx, fig. 4.
figured, is circular at the small size of *D. elevata* and the compression and highly elevated shape of the two larger examples above listed may be due to deformation in the rock. In some examples, in fact, the crushing is obvious, but deformation of these very thin shells often leaves little trace (e.g. obvious cracks) when they are embedded in a soft, micaceous sandstone.

Horizon:— Glauconitic Series and immediately below (subaperta nodules); Upper Kimmeridgian and Portlandian.

Localities:— Hartz Mtn., Crab Valley, loc. E, no. 184; Pinna Valley, loc. A, south side, nos. 134 and 151; N. E. spur, loc. P, no. 245, Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz's section III, at 415 m. Also at Aucella River, Jameson Land (block I).

Class *Articulata.*

Order *TELOTREMATA.*

Family *RHYNCHONELLIDAE.*

Genus *RHYNCHONELLA,* Fischer, 1809.

*Rhynchonella aff. grossesulcata,* Eichwald.

(Plate 46, figs. 10a—c).

1868. *Rhynchonella grossesulcata,* Eichwald, loc. cit. (Lethaea rossica), p. 331, pl. xvii, figs. 6a—d.

There is only a single example of a *Rhynchonella* and its preservation is rather defective, so that the anterior margin is not exposed. But so far as can be seen the agreement with Eichwald's species is close. There are 8 and 9 pleats not 16 or more, as in *R. lacunosa,* Schlotheim), which has been doubtfully recorded by Parat and Drach from their bed A. Rouillier's var. *biplicata junior* of *R. lacunosa,* on the other hand, is undoubtedly closer, except for the less continuous pleats and a less pronounced sinus in the pedicle valve; and the absence of such a sinus in the doubtful example attached by Davidson to *R. lacunosa* also prevents its comparison with the form here described. Lewinski included Rouillier's variety in the synonymy of his *R. bononiensis* and the original of his "forme peu bombée" (figs. 4a—c) is, indeed, also comparable to the Greenland form; it, however, again lacks the median fold and sinus.

*R. lacunosa* var. *sparsicosta,* Quenstedt, as figured by Jacob and Fallot, has fewer plications, but the same authors R. *malboisi,* Pictet, is probably closer, although not identical. The original of *R. malboisi,* Pictet also differs merely in having one or two more pleats, which are more acute (not on the cast?) and in these pleats developing at an earlier stage.

The dorsal valve of the specimen (a sandstone cast) retains traces of the test near the umbo, where plication is indistinct, and it shows a fibrous structure but there are no recognisable radial striae. This would seem to exclude the present form from *Rhynchonella* s. s. in Buckman's interpretation, but in the absence of scars, or internal features, it is impossible to suggest an alternative genus.

Horizon:— Glauconitic Series, about 8—10 metres below top and 34—36 m below base of Hartzijæld Sandstone; Portlandian.

Locality:— Hartz Mtn., Crab Valley, loc. D (no. 168).

Family *TEREBRATULIDAE.*

Genus *TEREBRATULA,* Müller, 1776.

Sub-genus *Rugithyris,* Buckman, 1917.

*Terebratula* (*Rugithyris*) *rosenkrantzi,* sp. nov.

(Plate 49, figs. 1a—h).

Diagnosis:— *Rugithyris* with circular outline and pedicle valve considerably more convex than brachial valve; the curvature of both valves is even, but there is sometimes a suggestion of flattening of the brachial valve from the umbo to the anterior margin. The lateral commissure is almost straight; the anterior entirely so. The umbo of the pedicle valve is comparatively short, truncated by a circular foramen and in close proximity to the circular brachial valve. The beak-ridges are obscure. Test with comparatively coarse, lamellar striae of growth, intersected by finer radial lineation. Cast almost smooth, with muscle scars indistinctly shown.

Measurements:— Maximum length about 42 mm; maximum width 36 mm; maximum thickness 22 mm.

Remarks:— As holotype may be taken the example represented in fig. 1a, because it is tolerably complete, but the dorsal and ventral aspects are well illustrated by the two paratypes fig. 1b and 1c respect-
mercially, the unfigured sides of which, however, are defective. The original of fig. 1d has suffered by corrosion and shows the appearance after the lamellar outer layers of the test have been removed. The small internal cast represented in figs. 1e and 1f is typical, but the adductor scars are not distinct; the larger cast, 1g, is in a still worse state of preservation, but it still retains distinct traces of radial ornamentation. The original of fig. 1h is crushed obliquely and it is difficult to say whether its peculiar shape is due to the deformation in the rock; but its brachial valve is strongly convex, whereas in the original of fig. 2c it is crushed in, so as to be almost flat. Whereas the latter example, however, is doubtful and probably belongs to the form described below, the original of fig. 1h shows merely how, with increase in size, the shape becomes more elongated. In a less distorted cast, unfortunately with the brachial valve crushed in, the proportions of length to width have changed from 7:6 to 7:5½ at a length of 56 mm.

This species is close to Terebratula buloniensis, Sauvage and Rigaux1), and Parat and Drach2), in fact, recorded a Waldheimia cf. bononiensis from Cape Leslie, which is probably the form here described. As defined by de Lorio3) and especially Davidson4), this species differs merely in having the beak-ridges sharply defined, leaving a flattened space between them and the hinge line. In the present form, the posterior margin of the ventral valve is perfectly rounded off and there is neither a beakridge nor a distinct hinge-area, while the low umbo of the pedicle valve almost (or entirely) covers the deltidium. Terebratula pycnostictus, Zeuschner5), which has a very similar ventral aspect, is distinguished by the sharp beak of its pedicle valve and the small foramen, away from the dorsal umbo; but like the same author’s T. cyclonogonia6), with still more folded lateral commissure, it may belong to the same group as the Greenland form here described.

The present species was given a MS name by Rosenkrantz (on some of the labels) and referred to the genus Rugithyris, but since I am now putting it back in the genus Terebratula s. 1., I have changed the specific name and dedicated the species to its discoverer, in recognition of the excellent work he has done in connection with the invertebrates of East Greenland. The reason for accepting Rugithyris only as a sub-genus of Terebratula is that I do not believe there is any direct connection

between the present species and the Bajocian T. subomalogaster, Buckman, the type of Rugithyris1). That is to say, the Terebratulids stock was more than once affected by an outburst of such a special feature as rugosity, and it is highly artificial to unite a Bajocian and a Portlandian form, regardless of internal structure, because they are both rugose, and to separate them from their normal smooth contemporaries that carried on the main Terebratulid stock.

Horizon:— Glaucnitic Series; Portlandian.

Localities:— Hartz Mtn., E. side, loc. B, no. 157; N.W. spur, loc. M, nos. 210 and 211; N. E. spur, loc. P, no. 246; Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz’s section I, at 100 to 115 m; section II, at 70 m (loose), and at 115 m.

Terebratula (Rugithyris) sp. ind.

(Plate 49, figs. 2a, b).

The Terebratulids from Cape Leslie apparently include an elongated species, in addition to the circular form last discussed; and it is possible that the larger type is represented by the Cape Leslie examples attributed by Parat and Drach2) to Terebratula insignis, Schübler. That species, of course, has been widely quoted and no doubt, often misidentified, but if it can be called egg-shaped and twice as long as wide5), it cannot be close to the form under discussion. In one of the largest examples referred to the present form, the length is 64 mm and the width 42 mm, but the brachial valve is crushed in, as it also is in the cast figured in Plate 49, figs. 2a, b, and in the doubtful example, already referred to under the last species (Plate 49, fig. 2c).

The beak of the pedicle valve is much more elevated than in the last species and it is more separated from the dorsal umbo. There seem to be only fine concentric growth-lines and no radial ornament at all in the examples that retain the test. Conversely the cast figured in Plate 49, figs. 2a, b is more rugose than that of the last form (figs. 1e, f), and even shows traces of the longitudinal lineation, so that the absence of the rugose ornament in other specimens (as in many examples of T. (R.) rosenkrantzi), is merely due to the defective preservation. The form, then, is probably merely a more elongate type of the same group, or perhaps only a variety, but the material at present available is insufficient.

Horizon:— Glaucnitic Series; Portlandian.

Localities:— Hartz Mtn., N.W. spur, loc. M, no. 211; Cape Leslie, Rosenkrantz’s section I, at 100—115 m and at 130 m.

1) Journ. de Conchol. (3rd. ser.) vol. XIX, 1871, pl. CCCXIV; vol. XX, 1872, p. 87, pl. ix, fig. 3.
5) Palaeont. Beiträge zur Kenntniss des weissen Jura-Kalkes von Inwald bei Wadowici. Prag, 1857, p. 13, pl. iii, figs. le, 2e, 3e, 4e.
6) Ibid., p. 11, pl. iii, figs. 1d, 2d, 3d, 4d.
9) Zeuschner: Palaeontologische Beiträge zur Kenntniss des weissen Jura-Kalkes von Inwald bei Wadowici. 1857, p. 11, pl. iii, figs. le, 2e, 3e, 4e.
III. THE LOCALITIES AND THE EVIDENCE OF THE SECTIONS

Dr. Aldinger's stratigraphical account, now in press\(^1\), will deal with the localities at which his fossils were collected, and it will include a detailed map of the part of Milne Land (the eastern portion, chiefly between Cape Leslie and Hartz Mtn.) in which all the sections discussed below are situated. The present chapter, therefore, is devoted merely to a discussion of the difficulties offered by nearly all of the successions and of the varying interpretations given by the different observers, since Rosenkrantz's first investigation in 1927. The difficulties are only partly due to the slipping of certain beds and their fossils to a lower level, so that, on ascending the slopes from the sea to the ridge running from Hartz Mtn. to Cape Leslie, some of the beds have been encountered by the collectors more than once. Even Dr. Aldinger who recognised and mapped these slip-faults, parallel to the coast line, had to leave some of his fossil horizons in doubt; and since the ammonites are nearly all new, I had to find their probable positions merely by comparison with known European successions. Of course, when there are identical faunaal assemblages from different levels and the lithology is similar, it is easy to discover the repetition; but when there are similar nodule beds at different horizons and yielding different but superficially similar fossil assemblages, then it is impossible to detect repetition in a slipped mass, unless the beds have been located elsewhere in situ and their proper order and faunal contents have been ascertained. This, unfortunately, has not yet been done. The matrix is occasionally helpful, although all Greenland sediments, at least of the Mesozoic, seem to be micaceous; but for example the distinctive Lingula Bed is not the only horizon with Lingula and its usefulness is limited, for it has not been recognised by Dr. Aldinger north of his Castle Hill ("Schloss"), just where the great thickness of Hartzfjaeld Sandstone makes it desirable to have such a datum line. Again the intensely gauconitic beds are easily recognised but do not seem to be confined to one horizon; and as the Gauconitic Series varies in thickness from 17 m (at E, see below) to 72 m (at M), or, generally, to the west, i.e. nearer the ancient coast line, the fossils available (perhaps only a single ammonite from a given locality) are not always easy to correlate.

The Upper Jurassic succession of Milne Land, up to the base of the Pectinatites Beds, was discussed in part I and it was shown that the extent of the gap between the Lower and the Upper Kimmeridgian was difficult to appraise. Since then Messrs. Parat and Drach\(^2\) have recorded Aulacostephanus pseudomutabilis and Perisphinctes bleicheri, which would make it appear that at least the Middle Kimmeridgian Aulacostephanus\(^3\) and Graeselia beds (see Table on p. 74 of part I) were represented in Milne Land. But I cannot reconcile this record either with the information given me by Dr. Aldinger or with Messrs. Parat and Drach's own successions. In their

Section I

taken on the eastern slopes of Hartz Mtn., the basal Kimmeridgian bed A, on account of the combination of Cardioceras with "Aspidoceras", belemnites, and reptilian bones may be assumed to correspond to my Hoplocardioceras slabs, or Oil Shales, at the top of the Amoebites Shales (see part I, p. 67). If their record of Aulacostephanus pseudomutabilis, however, from this lowest bed A is correct, it is improbable that the same species, still associated with Cardioceras, occurred again in bed E, 110 m or 366 and more feet higher. In any case this would bring it above the black indurated shales with Pectinatites which according to Dr. Aldinger are at 90—100 m below the base of the Gauconitic Series; and since these are apparently followed by micaceous sandy shales, often reddish, and then by grey, sandy shales with layers of nodules, containing crustaceans (perhaps Parat and Drach's Kimmeridgian bed B) the two accounts are irreconcilable. The range of Cardioceras, which does

\(^1\) See footnote 2) on p. 10. The mountain referred to in the present paper as Sandstensfjaeld is named Kronenberg on Dr. Aldinger's map (pl. 3). For details of the sections mentioned below see pp. 81—91 in Dr. Aldinger's account.

\(^2\) See footnote 2) on p. 10. The mountain referred to in the present paper as Sandstensfjaeld is named Kronenberg on Dr. Aldinger's map (pl. 3). For details of the sections mentioned below see pp. 81—91 in Dr. Aldinger's account.

\(^3\) Loc. cit. (Ann. hydrograph.), 1934, p. 12.

\(^4\) The customary use of "Aulacostephanus" is open to objection, since the genotype is Amm. mutabilis, Sowerby, a species referred by Salfeld to Rasenia and subsequently renamed Pararasenia by myself. I therefore endorse the proposal of Arkell (Geol. Mag., vol. LXII, June 1935, p. 256) to stabilise the common usage of Aulacostephanus by ruling that the genotype be Amm. mutabilis, d'Orbigny non Sowerby (= Amm. pseudomutabilis, de Lorio). But I cannot support Arkell's contention with regard to Rasenia discussed in part I (pp. 38—40); to overrule the conclusions of previous authors in this manner would result in nomenclatorial chaos. With regard to Pictonia, I have nothing to add to what was said in part I, seen by Dr. Arkell before the appearance of his paper. Earlier still I confessed in a letter to him, that my spelling of "cymadoce" (instead of cymodoce) was wrong and purely accidental; nevertheless he thought fit to publish the pronunciation: "Not cymadoce, as Dr. Spath writes... Such changes are not allowed by the Rules."
not extend higher than the *Aulacostephanus* beds, is of little help in this connection, because there is a gap between Messrs. Parat and Drach’s Kimmeridgian bed E and their “Portlandian” bed A; but even if it be assumed that there is repetition of certain beds, it does not fit in with their correlation of D, C and B with similar beds in another section (C). Dr. Aldinger has mapped the outcrops in this section (Chattoon Kliff, or Kloft 2W of Dr. Aldinger) and the only beds represented, resting on the Chattoon Bay (or Charent Bay) Sandstone, are of Upper Oxfordian age, belonging to the *Cardioceras* Shales and *Pecten* Sandstone of my previous account (part I, p. 67).

It thus seems possible that in section L, as in C, the Kimmeridgian beds D, C and B could be Upper Oxfordian and that bed A is really E repeated by faulting or slipping, but unfortunately this is not borne out by Dr. Aldinger’s map and in any case, E does not connect up with the succeeding “Portlandian”.

This latter must be compared with Dr. Aldinger’s successions established at his localities E, O and A, that is to say on those slopes between the southern ridge of Hartz Mtn, and the sea, where the crest is at approximately 450 m height. At

**Section E,**

that is on the ridge south of Crab Valley, the top of the succession is formed by Hartzfjaeld Sandstone, the base of which is at 310 m. Between this height and 280 m the Sandy Shales above the Glaucocnitic Series (including the nodule bed α at 294 m) crop out, followed by about 17 m of the Glaucocnitic Series; but the base of this (at 263 m?) is obscured. Nodule bed β, with many *Eryina* etc., at 227 m, according to Dr. Aldinger’s information, is repeated at 162 m, 65 m below; but the ammonites are not the same, and, judging by the succession in Dorset, might well be in place. On the other hand, this second, lower horizon, found again at

**Section D**

(Nos. 171, 173, Crab Valley) was here also about 135 m below the top of the Glaucocnitic Series, that is, much lower than where β would be expected to be found, and I am thus including this horizon in the *Pecten* natites beds.

At

**Section O**

(ridge between Crab and Astarte Valleys) the succession includes Hartzfjaeld Sandstone from 462 to about 341 m, but only the shales above the Glaucocnitic Series (with horizon α) are exposed between 341 and about 320 or 315 m, while a layer of clay ironstone at 310 m probably represents merely the top (but all that is visible) of the Glaucocnitic Series, largely covered by debris. But here again, the phosphatic concretions

with crustaceans, thought by Dr. Aldinger to be probably horizon β, but not containing the same ammonites, were found at only 236—228 m, that is 80—90 m below the presumed top of the Glaucocnitic Series. Unfortunately, what has been called concretionary horizon β in the neighbouring

**Section A**

(Pinna Valley) again contains a slightly different assemblage, although still with *Paxevia* (*Paxiaceae*) *regularis*. The ammonites suggest correlation with horizon 62 m at Rosenkrantz’s section III (see p. 148) but this is probably above β; unfortunately there is no section where the different nodules with crustaceans have all been found in situ, one above the other. At Section A, the Hartzfjaeld Sandstone occurs from the top

**Fig. 1. Rosenkrantz’s Section III**

on Hartz Mtn. (east slope), showing position of 415 m and 190 m levels. Compare with upper part of scheme on p. 149 and Dr. Aldinger’s subdivisions of the same beds (his p. 53).

(444 m) down to 339 m, and is followed by Sandy Shales and the Glaucocnitic Series below, down to about 289 m. The concretionary horizon β already referred to, which also is unique in containing “masses of a large *Astarte*” (not brought back) is at 223 m, but at 189 m the Glaucocnitic Series (top part?) has reappeared, while the band of crushed Perisphinctids, which I took to mark the boundary between the Lower and the Upper Kimmeridgian portions of the succession, was 120—140 m lower. But this section is already due east of Hartz Mtn., and while the succession may go down to the *Hoplocoeloceras* shales at sea-level, the *Pecten* Sandstone and the still earlier *Cardioceras* Shales, according to Dr. Aldinger’s map, do not crop out till farther north. It is very doubtful, therefore, whether Parat and Drach’s correlation of their beds D—B of sections L and C is reliable.

Mr. Rosenkrantz’s

**Section III**

(June 15th, 1927) was taken somewhere near the Astarte Valley (Section O), and although many fossils here recorded from 190 m were found loose, or at least in the slipped portion of the cliff, the lower limit of his “hard
sandstones with loose sand between" (at 350 m) seems to agree with the base of the Hartzfjaeld Sandstone Series, always easy to recognise, according to Dr. Aldinger. But his "uppermost fossil horizon", from which many fossils are here recorded, at 415 m, i.e. 65 m above the base of the Hartzfjaeld Sandstone, has not been re-discovered by Dr. Aldinger, unless, in spite of lithological dissimilarity, it is the same as the bed with Craspedites ferrugineus (at 419 m or 79 m above the base of the Hartzfjaeld Sandstone) which is said to contain many pelecypods (Pinna, Pleuromya, Parallelodon, Pecten), Orbiculoidea and fragments of very large ammonites. This is probably the same bed as a layer of ferruginous concretions with Titanites? sp. and Craspedites sp. ind. (also said to include many Pinna and other pelecypods) encountered at 394 (or 398?) m in Section O, i.e. 53—57 m above the base of the Hartzfjaeld Sandstone. Unfortunately, the only ammonite fragment in Mr. Rosenkrantz's collection from the 415 m horizon is unrecognisable. It shows loss of ribbing, as in Kochina groenlandica, from the Lingula Bed of Cape Leslie, but is quite unlike any ammonite of the English Portlandian. In both sections O and A the horizon with large ammonite fragments is overlain almost immediately by the light coloured sandstones with Subcraspedites groenlandicus, sp. nov., showing pearly lustre. The associated Entolium nummularis and Isocyprina? sp. seem to connect these sandstones with the beds below and the Protoceratid sp. juv. ind. figured in Plate 43, fig. 5b,c and enclosing in the same piece of glauconitic, gritty and phosphatic matrix the impressions of a Trigonia and of an Astarte, is from even higher, though found loose. In Mr. Rosenkrantz's section, the top of his "Cape Leslie Sandstone proper" is at 450 m (or 35 m higher than the fossiliferous horizon at 415 m) where there is a horizon of rootlets¹), while the topmost 180 m of the series with plant remains were doubtfully classed as Cretaceous.

Combining the evidence from sections E to A, comprising the eastern slope of Hartz Mtn., it seems, that the base of the Hartzfjaeld Sandstone moved up from 310 m in the south to 339 m in the north, the base of the Glauconitic Series from 263 to 289 m, and that the thickness thus remained fairly constant. But nodule bed β at E is not the same as β at O or A which accounts for the slight differences in the ammonites. Crustaceans are said to be common also in the presumably still lower nodules with Pectinatites and it is, of course, easy to confuse these similar nodule beds in the field. But there is as yet nothing to correlate section L with the sequence here discussed, lithologically or palaeontologically, except the presence of nodules with Eryma sp. in Parat and Drach's bed B.

¹) This level of rootlets, according to Dr. Aldinger, is a layer of worm tubes or something similar.
Section S
was taken on the northern side of the same Sandstensfjaeld but if the bed B is correctly correlated and is at 600—610 m then it is again impossible to reconcile this with Dr. Aldinger’s account.

Nearer Cape Leslie

Section 7 M
taken on Castle Hill (“Schloss”), 500 m south of the top (340 m), showed Hartzfjaeld Sandstone down to 315 m, where the Lingula Bed cropped out. According to Dr. Aldinger’s notes, this is 70 m above the base of the Hartzfjaeld Sandstone and as it is a conspicuous feature in the Cape Leslie area, it may be assumed to be fairly constant. On the Lingula Ridge, farther south, this bed is at only 240 m, according to Rosenkrantz’s

Section II,
but unfortunately the lower beds, down to sea level, are largely slipped and therefore repeated. The following are the details of this section, as forwarded to me by Mr. Rosenkrantz.

240 m Lingula Bed (Calcereous sandstone with abundant Lingula &c., but only one ammonite).
200 m Sandy limestone, with (mostly crushed) ammonites and pelecypods.
120—115 m Glaucolithic ironstone, upper part with numerous ammonites, lower part with pelecypods and brachiopods.
115 m Calcareous, micaceous sandstone with numerous pelecypods but few and badly preserved ammonites.
62 m Clay with small nodules containing numerous ammonites, crustaceans, fishes, etc.
35 m Concretions of bluish sandy limestone, with fossils badly preserved. *(The ammonite remains [Dorsoplanites? sp. ind.] are not definitely recognisable).*

For comparison of this succession with that published by Parat and Drach, it may be useful to give first the evidence of Rosenkrantz’s

Section I
although this is already on Glaucite Hill, just north of Cape Leslie, and does not go as high as the Lingula Bed. The sequence here is as follows:—

165 m Sandy limestones, with crushed ammonites, as in 200 m level of Section II.
130 m Glaucolithic beds with large nodules and Behemoth groenlandicus, sp. nov.

Part of the Upper Jurassic succession on Milne Land, showing the Upper Kimmeridgian-Portlandian portion. (From information kindly supplied by Dr. Aldinger, and with modifications suggested by the ammonite evidence).
IV. THE AGE OF THE FAUNAL ASSEMBLAGES

The distribution of the 121 species of invertebrates in the various formations indicated in the foregoing generalised scheme (or, rather, the different assemblages) is given in the tables on pp. 154—9. Unfortunately neither the base nor the top of the series can yet be definitely fixed in the geological time scale. But I shall attempt to show in the present chapter that from the Indurated Shales, at the base of the Pectinatites Beds, to almost the last fossiliferous horizon in the Upper Hartzfjaeld Sandstone, there is close affinity of the faunas and that they are probably referable to the Upper Kimmeridgian and the Portlandian.

As mentioned on p. 13 the three ammonites from the band with crushed Perisphinctids are not definitely recognisable and may perhaps belong to the lower set of beds, dealt with in part I, or to some intermediate horizon. Until the shales above and below have yielded fossil evidence and until ammonites in a better state of preservation are available from this basal band, it will be impossible to appraise the size of the gap, if any, between the Upper and the Lower Kimmeridgian successions. But it appears to me to be a general rule that where beds are separated by a large break in the succession, involving an interval of unfossiliferous beds, these are more likely to form either the final phase of the earlier cycle of sedimentation or else the beginning of a fresh cycle, than to represent some intermediate formation, while a complete filling of the gap is practically out of question. That is to say if I find a top Callovian bed 1 overlain by indeterminable sediments and then immediately the basal Kimmeridgian horizon 21, the intervening beds are more likely to be the post-Callovian 2, 3 and 4, or the immediately pre-Kimmeridgian 17, 18 and 19 than to represent either the full but condensed sequence from 2 to 19 or some beds like 11, 12 and 13, out of the middle. This universal incompleteness of the geological record is not yet fully recognised even by workers on the Jurassic and Cretaceous, i.e. systems in which non-sequences are more easily detected than in the less undisturbed Palaeozoic; and great confusion is still caused by erroneous correlations of slightly dissimilar faunas from different localities.
Swindon.

Nothing can be added then to what has been tentatively suggested on p. 13 with regard to the age of the ammonites from the band with crushed Perisphinctids. On the other hand, shales that are presumed to succeed the 36 m of unfossiliferous shales overlying this band, have yielded an ammonite (Pectinatites aff. eastlecottensis, Salfeld sp.) that can be dated with some confidence, although it also is crushed. It is a form of a group of Upper Kimmeridgian ammonites which occur in the lower pectinatus zone at Eastcot, Swindon, where the red and green, sandy Cemetery Beds (whence Salfeld obtained the holotype of his ill-named *P. eastlecottensis*) are immediately overlain by glauconitic marls with Keratinites and Paravirgatites. On the Dorset Coast, in the type succession of the Upper Kimmeridge Clay, few ammonites have so far been collected in the rather unfossiliferous shales between the Three White Stone Bands, but strongly horned Keratinites occur both between the two top bands (Blake's beds 12 and 10) and above the highest, up to within a few feet below the hard bed (no. 8) forming the cascade at Freshwater Steps. Pectinatites scalariformis, on the other hand, I have found at 10 feet above bed 10, but fragments of *Pectinatites* that compare well with *P. aulaconophorus* and therefore the inner whorls of *P. eastlecottensis* occur as low as Blake's Cement Stone 14, below the Middle White Stone Band. Subdivision thus is not yet possible and the successions at Wheatley and Shotover also are not supporting the five or six hemerae recognised by Buckman in the pectinatus zone. Even at Swindon the upper and lower portions together have a thickness of only about 15 feet.

The Greenland *P. aff. eastlecottensis* was found loose and there is some doubt about its horizon. According to Dr. Aldinger's list it was found at about 100 m below the Glauconitic Series; according to his sections, however, within this Series. At any rate it comes from a locality (M) where the next higher (or next lower) ammonite is a new species (*Dorsoplanites flavus*, sp. nov.), said to be from horizon β. The other forms of *Pectinatites* here described are from doubtful levels below the Glauconitic Series, varying between 15-20 m, 85 m and even 101 m. The placing of the *Pectinatites* shales below the marls with nodules in the scheme on p. 149 is thus provisional and cannot be taken to prove that the subdivision of the *Pectinatites* beds into a lower (eastlecottensis) and an upper (devillei or boidini) sub-zone, is correct.

Associated with the six forms of *Pectinatites* of these presumed upper *Pectinatites* Beds there was a doubtful *Paravirgatites* which is already much like *Pallasiceras*. Large forms of *Paravirgatites* are common in the

---

1) See Buckman, Type Ammonites, vol. IV, 1922, p. 28.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pectinatites aff. eastricottensis (Saffeld)</th>
<th>Basal Bed</th>
<th>Pectinatites Beds</th>
<th>Glaucolithic Series</th>
<th>Sandy Shales with horizon a</th>
<th>Assemblage from R III (190) (See p. 164)</th>
<th>Lingula Bed</th>
<th>Hartsfjeld Sandstone &amp; fauna from R III (415) (see p. 164)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shale</td>
<td>Sandy Clays</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Subdictomaceos? (Sphinctoeceras?) sp. ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td>169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Subplanites? sp. ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td>169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Subplanites? (Virgalospongoectoides?) sp. ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td>169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Pectinatites aff. eastricottensis (Saffeld)</td>
<td></td>
<td>242</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. - sp. ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. - (?) sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. - aff. triostolatus (Buckman)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. - (Keratinites) aff. devillei (de Loriol)</td>
<td></td>
<td>171</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. - - cf. boidini (de Loriol)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. - (?) groenlandicus, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Pavlovia allirigidae, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. - jubilans, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. - (Paraspratites) sp. ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. - (Pallasiceras) communis, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. - - regularis, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. - - perinflata, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. - - subaperta, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. - (?) sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. - - variabilis, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. - - inflata, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. - - kochi, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. - (?) alternoplicata, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. - - rugosa, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. - - sinilis, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. - - rotundiformis, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. - (Epipallasiceras) pseudaperta, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. - - costata, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. - - tumida, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. - - praecox, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. - (?) sp. ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Crenonites leslei, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. - - elongatus, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. - - subregularis, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. - - anguinus, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Behemoth groenlandicus, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Titonites sp. ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Doroplanites antiquus, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. - - transitorius, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. - - aldingeri, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. - - maximus, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41. - - gracilis, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal Bed</td>
<td>Pectinatites Beds</td>
<td>Pedinatolites Beds</td>
<td>Glaucolithic Series</td>
<td>Sandy Shales with horizon α</td>
<td>Assemblage from R III (190)</td>
<td>Lingula</td>
<td>Hartzfjeld Sandstone &amp; fauna from R III (415)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. Dorsoplantites crassus, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43. — flavus, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44. — subpanderi, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45. — dorsoplantites, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46. — jamesoni, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47. — triplex, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48. Kochina groenlandica, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49. Cressiates leptus, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50. — ferruginea, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51. — sp. ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52. Cylindroleuthis aff. explanata (Phillips)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53. Pachycheleuthis aff. panderiana (d'Orbigny)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54. Pleurotomaria cf. rozeti, de Loriol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55. Turbo sp. ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56. Delphimula (?) sp. ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57. Vaniloro sp. nov.?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58. Pseudomelania cf. delta (d'Orbigny)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59. — sp. ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60. Natia (Ampullina) sp. juv. cf. hemisphaerica, d'Orbigny</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61. Turrilitella sp. ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62. Acteonina (Oxacteonina) groenlandica, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63. Oxytoma expansa (Phillips)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64. — sp. ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65. Buchia mosquensis (v. Buch)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66. — rugosa (Fischer)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67. Pinna constantini, de Loriol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68. Isognomon aff. boucardi (Oppel)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69. Ostrea bononiæ, Sauvage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70. Entokia nummularia (Fischer)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71. — sp. ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72. Caenoteutes praecinctus, sp. nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73. — norini (de Loriol)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74. — suprajurensis (Buvignier)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75. Lima (Plagiostoma) sp. nov.? ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76. — (Pseudolimène) aff. blakei, Cox.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77. Anomia? (Placunopsis?) sp. ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78. Placunopsis aff. lycettii, de Loriol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79. Modiolus aff. boloniensis, de Loriol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80. — strajeskianus (d'Orbigny)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81. — sp. ind.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal Bed</td>
<td>Pectinatites Beds</td>
<td>Glaucophane Series</td>
<td>Sandy Shales with horizon a</td>
<td>Assemblage from R III (190) (See p. 164)</td>
<td>Lingula Bed</td>
<td>Hartzfield Sandstone &amp; fauna from R III (415) (see p. 164)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82. Parallelodon sp. nov.? aff. keyserlingi (d'Orbigny)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R I (100-130)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R I (100-130), R I (100-130), 210-211, 199, 209</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>R II (240)</td>
<td>R III (415)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84. Trigonia aff. thurmannii, Conoctean</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85. Astarte aff. avennani, de Loriol</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R II (240)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86. - cf. duclosiana, d'Orbigny</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R II (240)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87. - sp. nov.? aff. michaudiana, d'Orbigny</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R II (240)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88. - sp. nov.? ind.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R II (240)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89. - sp. ind.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R II (240)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90. Isoepina sp. nov.? aff. elongata, Cox</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91. - sp. nov.? ind.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92. - (? sp. ind.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93. Corbicella aff. portlandia, Morris &amp; Lyectt</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94. - cf. unioidea, de Loriol</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95. - (?) sp. ind.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96. Tancredia hartzi sp. nov.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97. Mactronyx verodi (Buignier)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98. Lucina sp. nov. aff. inequivalis, d'Orbigny</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99. - sp. nov.? ind.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100. Protocorvus sp. juv. ind.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101. Pseudotropeza guttanleicum, sp. nov.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102. - (? sp. nov. ind.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103. Pronocellus? sp. ind. aff. nuculaformis (Roemer)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104. Pseudoscardia (?) sp. ind.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105. Corbula sp. ind.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106. Pleuromya tellina, Agassiz</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108. Goniomya sp. aff. sulcata, Agassiz</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109. Acomyza (?) sp. ind.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110. Pseudomya sp. ind.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111. - sp. ind.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112. Homomya sp. aff. korthulana, Agassiz</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113. Thracia incerta (Deshayes) Thurm a sp.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114. - cf. depressa (J. de C. Sowerby)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115. Ditrupa nodulosa (Lundgren)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116. Serpula sp. ind.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117. Lingula zeta (Quenstedt)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118. Orbitolaidea aff. laticolosa (J. Sowerby)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119. Rhyzochonetona sp. grossulaeata, Eichwald</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120. Terebratula (Rugithyris) rosekrantzi, sp. nov.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>R III (190)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
p. 147) the glauconitic sandy marls may be as much as 60 m or more below the Pinna Bed in the upper part of the Glauconitic Series, so that the lower portion of that Series, at this locality, may correspond to the Sandy Clays with nodules β elsewhere (e.g., E). Unfortunately the ammonites just named have not been recognised elsewhere, except in very doubtful fragments (of one of the species) and the assumption that the assemblage is later than that of horizon β may or may not prove to be correct.

An entirely different assemblage is that from the upper part of the Glauconitic Series at E (see p. 144), including the numbers 175—179, 181, and 221, and a particularly large number of individuals of Paviolina (Epipallasiceras) pseudaperta. But this species is represented in Mr. Rosenkrantz's Collection only from the 100—115 m level of his Section I and in view of the pseudaperta horizon being, according to Dr. Aldinger's information, at only 17—19 m below horizon α and 33—35 m below the base of the HartzJaeld Sandstone, it cannot have been in situ at Section I. Moreover an intensly glauconitic rock (with Behemoth graenicidians, belemnites, Entolium plunimularis, Lima [Plagiofus] sp. nov. ind., Astarte aff. saemani, Pleuromyia tellina, Modiolus strajesridianus, &c.) at 130 m seems out of place, on comparison with section E; but since there is apparently no slipping on Glauconite Hill and since the 165 m level probably corresponds to horizon α, the glauconite beds at 130 m appear to have no equivalent farther north. Many other fossils have also been listed merely as from the Glauconitic Series, without being assigned to either the lower or the upper half.

As regards the age of this Glauconitic Series, it seems to me that the four ammonites first named as from the lower part (locality 213) are Kimmeridgian and like the communis nodules (β) to fall somewhere within the rotunda zone. But whether the higher pseudaperta fauna should be regarded as already of Portlandian age is doubtful. On the Dorset Coast, crushed Pallasisceras still occur to about six feet above the rotunda nodule bed, but fossils then become very rare, except for a few levels of crushed ammonites or for an occasional Thracia sp. Buckman
divided these beds into Lingula Shales below, Rhynchonella Marls in the middle, and Dark Clays (subsequently named Hounstout Clay by Arkell)
above, but he recorded no ammonites. Neaverson
tioned that the clays above the rotunda zone have yielded ammonites, similar to P. pallasoides
(though in a poor state of preservation) but he tentatively included in the pallasoides zone even the lower portion of the overlying Portland Sands. I have myself found crushed ammonites at least two horizons in these beds, one at about 50 feet below the line of seepage which must be taken to be the top of the Kimmeridge Clay, the other at about 20 feet higher, i.e., at about 80 feet below the Massive Bed, both on Hounstout and at Pier Bottom. These levels would be about the base and the top of the Rhynchonella Marls, but the ammonites, unfortunately are so poorly preserved that they cannot definitely be identified with the pallasoides fauna. Some triplicate forms, however, in the second assemblage, do not look like Hartwell Clay species and this fauna also includes portions of very large forms. The ammonites from the top of the Hounstout Marls, from the Massive Bed, 50 feet up in the Portland Sands, and from the 30 feet of overlying sandy shale (= Emmit Hill Marls) are also badly crushed and fragmentary, but they include already types like Crendonites and Behemoth, which are commoner higher up, in the Stinkstones, Upper Sandstone, and the Cementstone, where they are associated with forms unknown from Greenland. Progalhanites, which includes what we used to call "Provirgatites of the seythicus group" however, also occurs already in the Emmit Hill Marls and since Arkell
corded such forms tentatively, Mr. C. H. Waddington has found two species in place, associated with forms which he referred to Epivirgatites.

The occurrence of ammonites assigned to Crendonites in horizon α would seem to date this definitely as Portlandian, but such early forms occur already in the Lower Portland Sands. Dorsoplanites, being unknown in England, with the possible exception of "Pallasiceras" ultimum, Neaverson, and, in any case, having a comparatively long range, is not suitable for exact dating; but D. gracilis, persisting into the beds with Crendonites, shows that there was continuous deposition and that horizon α is not separated from the earlier Glauconitic Series by a long time interval. In the circumstances, the occurrence of Pallasiceras still in the upper part of this Series might be considered decisive for not including the Glauconitic Series proper in the Portlandian. But the evidence of the large Behemoth here described, resembling forms of the Portland Sands, seems to me to carry more weight; and the line between the

2) Jurassic System in Great Britain, 1933, p. 446.

1) Well visible in the spring and even in September, 1934, after a prolonged drought. Fitton (Strata below the Chalk, Trans. Geol. Soc. Ser. II, vol. iv, 1836, p. 212) clearly stated that "springs break out at the bottom of the group (Portland Sands) where the Kimmeridge Clay might be expected." His spelling of Kimmeridge which is that of the village of to-day and its post office, as well as the official spelling of the Geological Survey of Great Britain is here adopted. A recent attempt by Arkell (Jurassic System in Great Britain, 1933, p. 441) to revert to the old spelling "Kim-meridge" seems to me all the more regrettable as the official spelling is now almost universally adopted.
4) Jurassic System in Great Britain, 1935, p. 49.
5) Op cit. (Ammonites of the Upper Kimmeridge Clay), 1925, p. 20, pl. i, fig. 11.
### Correlation of the Uppermost Jurassic of Milne Land and the Boulonnais

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stages</th>
<th>Ages</th>
<th>Zones</th>
<th>England (Dorset)</th>
<th>Boulonnais, after Pruvost, 1925</th>
<th>Milne Land</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tithonian</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>[Purbeck?]</td>
<td>Sandstones (9–10) with Trigonia gibbosa (8 m)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Purbeck ?)</td>
<td>Hartzfjæld Sandstone (upper part?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(80–90 m or 267–300 feet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Lingula Bed?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portlandian</td>
<td>giganteus</td>
<td>gorei</td>
<td>Stone</td>
<td>Beds (7–8) with Astaort saeomanni and Trokoderia pellati (4 m)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Building Stone</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hartzfjæld Sandstone (lower part)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cherty Series</td>
<td></td>
<td>70 m or 233 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Upper Sandstones (with White Cement Stone)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sandy Shales with horizon (a 20–30 m or 66–100 feet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>St. Albans Head Marls.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Glaucolic Series (25–50 m or 83–167 feet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stinkstones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Emmitt Hill Marls.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Massive Bed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hounstout Marls.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gigantitan</td>
<td>vulgaris</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sands</td>
<td>Bed (6) with Isognomon bouchardi (10 m)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavlovian</td>
<td><strong>polliosivalis</strong></td>
<td>rotunda</td>
<td>Hounstott Clay.</td>
<td>Condensed into Ph 3 (nodule bed of Tour Croi)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Echinocolla Marls.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pallaseras Beds (above and below β)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lingula Shales.</td>
<td></td>
<td>(45 m or 150 feet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rotunda Clays.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pectinatites Beds (45 m or 150 feet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Crushed Ammonite Shales</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unfossiliferous Shales (36 m or 120 feet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Paravirgatelles Clays</td>
<td></td>
<td>Band of crushed Perisphinctidae?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pectinatites Shales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Three White Stone Bands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Kimmeridgian</td>
<td><strong>rotunda</strong></td>
<td>pectinatius</td>
<td>Diey Clays.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bituminous Shales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cattle Ledge Shales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subplanitan</td>
<td>wheelbeigeseis</td>
<td>grandis</td>
<td>Upper Kimeridgian Clay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vimineus?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravesian</td>
<td>gigas</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gravesia Shales.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Aulacostrophus Shales)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kimmeridgian and the Portlandian will thus have to be drawn at the base of the Epipallasiaceras beds. This may be unfortunate from the point of view of the field geologist, but the Glaucolic Series is obviously not a homogeneous formation or unit.

While the Sandy Shales with horizon a are also clearly referable to the Lower Portlandian, there is nothing whatever from East Greenland that resembles an English Upper Portlandian ammonite, except a single doubtful fragment of Titanites. Fortunately, this was found in the same bed as one form of Craspedites (Plate 39, fig. 6) while fragments of other large ammonites (not brought back) in a neighbouring section (A) were associated with C. ferrugineus (Plate 22, fig. 3). But whereas this horizon of ironstone concretions in the first locality (O) was at only 53–57 m above the base of the Hartzfjæld Sandstone, at a, it was at 80 m¹, to be followed only 8 m higher by more ferruginous concretions with Subcraspedites of Cretaceous aspect. Again, higher up, on the same eastern face of Hartz Mtn. (Section A), there were found two forms of Buchia (including Plate 42, fig. 3) at 183 m above the base of the Hartzfjæld Sandstone and Craspedites leptus (Plate 37, fig. 5) at 116 m. While the

¹) Heights, however, were not calculated precisely and thus varied from day to day.
former could be Cretaceous, as mentioned on p. 99, the latter is of Jurassic aspect, although a new species. It is more closely comparable to the species of the Upper Volgian than to the Craspeditids in the Riasan horizon or the equivalent Spilsby Sandstone, but the only ammonite known from the Lingula Bed, 70 m above the base of the Hartzfjaeld Sandstone, is a form very close to Kochina stschurovskii of the Lower Volgian.

The Lingula Bed of the Cape Leslie area has not been recognised on Hartz Mtn.; conversely there is nothing like the fauna of the 415 m level of Rosenkrantz’s section III known from Cape Leslie. The few fossils here described from the Hartzfjaeld Sandstone of localities A, A₁, and O, with the exception, perhaps, of a doubtful Trigonia impression, have not been found where the Lingula bed is developed and correlation thus is impossible. But it seems to me that the Hartzfjaeld Sandstone, also, is not a homogeneous formation.

The last three columns in the lists on pp. 154—159 thus do not show successive faunas, but are merely intended to facilitate comparisons between the assemblages of the true Lingula Bed, of the horizons 190 and 415 m of Rosenkrantz’s section III, and of the Hartzfjaeld Sandstone of the northern area. With only a few ammonites from different and uncertain marine levels within this variable littoral and occasionally cross-bedded series, satisfactory dating is impossible, but it seems to me that Rosenkrantz’s first interpretation (see text-fig. 1 on p. 145) was perhaps justified. That is to say there is a Jurassic Cape Leslie Sandstone of perhaps 150 m thickness and including the Lingula Bed, overlying the Sandy Shales above the Glauconitic Series; and the upper 200 m or so of Hartzfjaeld Sandstone, doubtlessly lowest Cretaceous, probably rest unconformably on the lower portion. But this suggestion is supported only by the fact that in the best section available (A) the ferruginous concretions with fragments of very large ammonites (Titanites?) are almost immediately overlain by sandstones with Subcraspedites.

V. COMPARISON WITH OTHER FAUNAS

When I first saw the ammonites of the collections here described I thought that their study would throw welcome light on a problem that has puzzled stratigraphers for many years and is still largely unsolved. I am referring to the exact (as distinct from an approximate) correlation of the Volgian deposits of Russia. In the first place, there was among the Greenland material a large number of forms of the panderi group (Dorsoplanites) that promised to be useful in this correlation; and other forms, like Pavlovia (Pallasiceras) regularis and Kochina greenlandica, though now described as new, are so much like species of the Russian Lower Volgian that interesting results seemed certain. I may also recall that Rosenkrantz⁵) already had correlated his Cape Leslie Formation with the Lower Volgian of Russia, although he pointed out that true Virgatites were not present in East Greenland. Unfortunately my expectations have not been realised and the “attempt to show that a fundamentally different succession of ammonites in the boreal province is yet compatible with almost perfect synchronisation”⁶) cannot be made on the strength of the Greenland material so far collected. The few fossils available from the upper beds are still too uncertain to date even the Hartzfjaeld Sandstone and the forms of Craspedites, being all new, are also of little use for exact correlation. In the circumstances it can only be hoped that at least the illustration of the new faunas as well as of some comparable Portlandian material will prove a stepping stone in the immense problem of Upper Jurassic correlation.

A few interesting facts, however, have emerged and may be discussed in some detail. Thus the occurrence of a form close to the Russian Perisphinctes stschurovskii, Nikitin, in the Lingula Bed, is significant. I may say at once that the presence of pelecypods like Parallelodon stschurovskii or Modiolas strajeskianus, in addition to other Russian species, does not seem to carry much weight, for the majority of the mol-

---
lusca here recorded have been attached to, or at least compared with, species of the English and French Portlandian and Upper Kimmeridgian; and most of the forms here described have rather too wide a horizontal as well as vertical distribution to make them suitable for exact correlation.

The case may be held to be different, however, with Buchia ("Aucella") mosquensis which is a characteristic species of the Russian Volgian and has been found in so many of the beds at Cape Leslie though not in the Lingula Bed. Rosanov\(^1\) does not record Buchia mosquensis and Kochina stschuroeski\(\)i together, but the latter was described as from the Lower Volgian, although a closely allied form (Perisphinctes cf. stschuroeski\(\)i in Nikitin\(^2\)) occurred in the Upper Volgian zone of Craspedites nodiger. Kochina, however, does not indicate the upper part of the Lower Volgian, as Buchia mosquensis (= B. pallasii Lahnus) is characteristic of the lower part; for in the Orenburg District, Sokolov\(^3\) found it together with Doroplanites doroplanus, Paevolia pavlovi, etc., below the virgatus zone; and in the Liapin country also, according to Ilovaïisky\(^4\), B. stschuroeski\(\)i occurs together with the typical Paevolia of the intraetris group. In the former area, Buchia mosquensis (= B. pallasii) and B. rugosa are found together in a still earlier zone with Perisphinctes scythicus, P. contiguus and Kossmatia richteri, a peculiar mixture that defies exact dating in the present state of our knowledge but must be from some horizon in the Upper Kimmeridgian.

In England\(^5\) B. mosquensis has been found in the Lower Portland Sands but occurs already in the pectinatus beds of the Upper Kimmeridgian and in several intervening beds, including the rotunda zone. Moreover, one of the early Shotover forms has been described as a variety transitional to B. mnioenikensis, which is said to be the earlier B. mosquensis in the virgatus beds or upper part of the Lower Volgian\(^6\).

In the Boulonnais, B. mosquensis occurs in the La Rochette nodule bed which is characterised by numerous examples of Virgatospininctoides (and "Alloranguites")\(^7\), wrongly ascribed to Wheatleyites by Pruvost\(^8\) and

\(4\) See in Obtrutschew: Geologie von Sibirien. Fortschr. d. Geol. und Pal. (Soergel), Heft 15, 1926, p. 294.

Dutertre\(^9\)). This is a still earlier horizon in the Upper Kimmeridgian. Conversely in Poland\(^10\), B. mosquensis (= B. pallasi) has been found together with "Provirgatites" scythicus, a species which has more recently been taken to characterise the English Lower Portland Sands. The occurrence of corresponding Buchia in the Klenitzbed beds of Austria\(^11\), placed at an intermediate horizon in my Correlation Table I (1933)\(^12\) is thus explained and it would seem that the range of B. mosquensis (between the wheatleyensite and "scythicus" horizon of the table) is established.

Bureckhardt\(^13\) however, in his masterly survey of the Mesozoic deposits of Mexico, showed how what he called a "third invasion of Russo-boreal elements brought Buchia ("Aucella") of the mosquensis group into that area. Only the date of the invasion in this case was "Middle Portlandian" or during the deposition of beds with Kossmatia tenuistriata (also containing Blanfordiceras) which are overlain immediately by Tithonian beds with Steueroceras and Berriasella cf. calisto. On the other hand, Buchia of the pallasii group occur already in the Middle (or even Lower) Kimmeridgian\(^14\), and although this suggests that the identifications of these difficult species of Buchia may occasionally be at fault, it appears that the range of B. mosquensis is rather extended. And while unreliable for exact dating, the presence of Buchia in East Greenland also cannot be held to show affinity of the fauna with that of the Volgian rather than the West European area. Even if we do not go so far as Holdhaus\(^15\) who doubted the value of Buchia for palaeo-geographical purposes, and agree with Uhlig\(^16\), who stressed its abundance in the boreal province, we must admit that the more and more extended horizon range of this genus makes it advisable to accept its evidence only when accompanied by other boreal elements.

Another ammonite that has been considered to be almost indistinguishable from a Lower Volgian species (Paevolia [Palasiaceras] regularis, sp. nov., see p. 42) and this time associated with Buchia mosquensis, comes from nodule bed β, about 170 m or 567 feet below the Lingula bed


\(6\) Faunes jurassiques et crétacées de San Pedro del Gallo (Durango), Boll. Inst. Geol. Mexico, No. 29, 1912, p. 204.


with *Kochina tschurovskii*. The species of the long-lived *panderi* group are chiefly intermediate in age, but among the Greenland forms there are also some that closely resemble Russian species (see p. 77). Now this great thickness is out of all proportion to the vertical extent of the Lower Volgian which according to Rosanow is only about 15 m in the Simbirsk area, while elsewhere, as in the neighbourhood of Moscow it may be much less. This immediately suggests that the fauna of the lower part of the Lower Volgian may not be homogeneous and that the forms of *Pavlovia* (*Pallaeuceras*), or of *Dorsoplanites*, may have been derived from several earlier beds, now destroyed, and condensed into a phosphatic basement bed, resting unconformably on presumably different horizons of the Kimmeridgian at different localities and itself not only including differing assemblages from place to place 1) but being followed non-sequentially by different later deposits. Such a theory might explain the peculiarities in the horizontal distribution of his Volgian ammonites to which Michalski 2) directed attention, and perhaps the local abundance of belemnites and forms of *Buchia*; but it is scarcely supported by the Simbirsk section given by Rosanow. Here again it may be hoped that the illustration of so many critical ammonites may be of use to those of our Russian colleagues who may tackle this problem anew.

The occurrence of *Crenonites* in a bed (a) 80 to 85 m below the Lingula Bed, again is suggestive. This is a genus occurring in the Upper Portland Sands and the Lower Portland Stone, and its intermediate position in East Greenland between *Pavlovia* (*Pallaeuceras*) below and the Volgian *Kochina* above might be taken to indicate that the *Virgatites* beds cannot be either Lower or even Upper Portlandian as Nikitin thought, but post-Portlandian. This was suggested by Buckman 3) already in 1922 and might fit in with the high specialisation of the ammonites of the Volgian and their absence in the Portlandian deposits of England and it would confirm the independence of the ammonites of the so-called *scythicus* type which are here separated as *Progalbanites*. But it does not agree with the record (by Pavlov 4) of *Perisphinctes bononiensis* and forms of the *triplicatus* group of Blake in beds higher than those with *Virgatites* though lower than the *Perisphinctes* zones.

There seems to be more doubt about this alleged Portlandian fauna (in a poor state of preservation) than Salfeld 5) thought; for while

4) Type Ammonites, vol. IV, 1922, p. 17.

Pavlov 7) himself, in his latest table, still listed a “zone of Perisphinctes giganteus”. Lewinski 8) only recognised a post-virgatus zone of *Oleostephanus lomonosovii* and *Perisphinctes nikitini*. Considering that the former of these two species is much like Pavlov's *O. Blakei* from his giganteus zone and that *P. devillei* and *P. buchow*, de Loriol, also cited by Pavlov in 1889, certainly were misidentified, it is probable that this "giganteus" zone is in reality, as Sokolov and Bodylevsky 9) think, the zone of *Epavagates nikitini* which species grows to a fairly large size (300 mm). And the doubtful *Titanites* here described from East Greenland and associated with a *Craspedites* (Plate 39, fig. 6) may also have nothing in common with *Perisphinctes giganteus*, except the large size.

Such large ammonites, often indistinguishable in fragments, occur already in the *pectinatus* zone (*Paravirgatites*); a succession of megalomorph genera like *Pallaeuceras*, *Lydistratites*, *Behemoth*, *Titanites*, characterises the higher Kimmeridgian as well as the whole of the Portlandian and gigantic ammonites may well have persisted into still higher beds, with *Craspedites*. This would make part of the Hartzafeld Sandstone of Tithonian (= “Aquilonian”) 10) age, but does not date the Volgian and East Greenland forms of *Kochina*; for the vertical distance above beds with *Crenonites* may be no guide to the age of these later elements.

There is obviously far more evidence required before we can satisfactorily correlate these dissimilar faunas and the distinctness of the fauna of the Riasan Beds from that of the Portland Stone suggests that there is room between them for far more than even the *virgatus* beds and the Upper Volgian.

In view of the differences in the ammonite faunas, it may suffice to mention that the occurrence of only ten Russian species of invertebrates (or close allies) in East Greenland out of a total of 121 scarcely proves much affinity between the fauna here described and that of the Volgian. This is of interest, in view of the fact that of the species described in part I, those that were not new were compared or identified chiefly with Russian forms and only occasionally with species of northwestern Europe. In the present part, the forms comparable or identical with species described from the English and Boulonnais Kimmeridgian and Portlandian have increased to about thirty-two or over 26% of the

9) Loc. cit. (Skrifter om Svalbard, no. 35), 1931, p. 25.
10) I can see no reason why the term Tithonian should not be used for boreal deposits of uppermost Jurassic age. The name is derived from Tithon, the spouse of Eos (Aurora), the goddess of dawn, and is purely a time term. Nobody has yet suggested that the Eocene of the boreal regions should be referred to under a different name from the Eocene of Mediterranean areas. Purbeckian is obviously disqualified since it is not even certain that all the freshwater Purbeck Beds are Jurassic.
total, but again, little significance is attached to this, in view of the absence of modern descriptions of some of the Russian faunas and the bad preservation of much of the Spitsbergen material.

The comparison of such Volgian elements as have been described from Spitsbergen with the faunas here recorded from Milne Land also does not yield satisfactory results. It has been shown by Sokolov and Bodylevsky that there is no evidence for the presence of Upper Volgian deposits anywhere outside Central Russia (and I may add Novaya Zemlya) and the only Spitsbergen Craspedites they recorded belongs to the late subpressus group (Subcraspedites). This also includes the Spitsbergen Craspedites recorded by myself and comparable forms have only been found in the Spilsby Sandstone and the Riasan beds. But Sokolov and Bodylevsky thought that the Lower Volgian was represented in Spitsbergen, at least by its lowest zone (with Virgatites scythicus and Perisphinctes panderi). Since I myself recorded Virgatites cf. polygryrus (Trautschold) Pavlov sp., V. cf. scythicus, Vischniakoff sp., and V. cf. nikitini, Michalski sp., from Spitsbergen and since both Frebold and Sokolov and Bodylevsky figured similar ammonites of the genus Dorsoplanites, partly under the same names, partly as Perisphinctes panderi or P. sp., it is probable that there is, indeed, some justification for assigning these forms to the Lower Volgian. But the new Greenland material of this Dorsoplanites assemblage, now available, demonstrates the long range of this genus and makes it doubtful whether the dating of such crushed ammonites as those from Spitsbergen can be any more exact than the dating of the King Charles Islands forms of Buchia.

In Spitsbergen, at Cape Fastness (Ice Fjord) beds 17-19 (or 20) that yielded these Perisphinctids represent a thickness of merely 28.5 m or 94 feet; and although the underlying beds 14-16 (with pelecypods) are only doubtfully classed with the Lower Kimmeridgian, and although another 20 m separate this supposed Lower Volgian from horizon 21, with Buchia of Infra-Valanginian affinities, this thickness is clearly insufficient to comprise more than a portion of either the Upper Kimmeridgian, the Portlandian, or the Tithonian. In the absence of anything resembling the Kimmeridgian Pavlovia of wide horizontal distribution, or the true Craspedites, it may not be incorrect vaguely to describe the Spitsbergen Dorsoplanites as “Portlandian”, but unfortunately this helps little in the exact correlation of the Volgian. For even if the Virgatites cf. scythicus (Vischniakoff) figured by Sokolov and Bodylevsky should turn out to be the true Zaraiskites (= “Provirgatites”) scythicus, a possibility that was considered doubtful by the second author himself, the associated Dorsoplanites of the panderi group could not be safely associated either with the early D. flavus or the late Kochina greenlandica (both having smooth outer whorls) and may well be of an age different from that of either of these two Greenland forms. If I mention that bed 20, with numerous unidentifiable examples of Buchia, was stated by Frebold to contain many Craspedites that could be readily determined, generically at least, while Sokolov and Bodylevsky recorded from the same horizon Perisphinctes aff. scythicus, Vischniakoff, the unsatisfactory nature of the evidence will be realised.

Notwithstanding the many difficulties of exact correlation, there is the fact that most of the ammonites from the so-called Volgian of Spitsbergen belong to the genus Dorsoplanites, known also, with no fewer than eleven species, from East Greenland and probably equally well represented in the variable series of deposits that constitute the Lower Volgian of Russia, but generally referred to as D. panderi, a very comprehensive term. There may not be specific identity, although opinions will differ as to the interpretation of the various, local “D. panderi” and their close allies, common to all these regions. Waagen once said that “happy was he who was able to distinguish the very finest differences of form which organisms undergo in their development in time”. The differences on which species are based at the present may seem crude to palaeontologists of the future, but now we are still largely hampered by the absence of exact, stratigraphical information and the limited amount of material at our disposal. Whether, however, we look upon Perisphinctes aff. panderi from Spitsbergen (Sokolov & Bodylevsky and Frebold) or from East Greenland (Rosenkrantz) as specifically distinct from the Russian type or not, they all belong to one group of ammonites that has not been found outside the boreal province, with the possible exception of some fragments recorded from the English Hartwell Clay (see p. 76). But with the exception of Kochina and Craspedites which are quite unknown from Western Europe, and of Epitubellinae, which is apparently a local, Greenland, element, all the remaining ammonites belong to genera well known in England and the Boulonnais.

1) Loc. cit. (Skrifter om Svalbard, no. 35), 1931, p. 94.
3) Loc. cit. (Skrifter om Svalbard, no. 35), 1931, p. 138.
4) Loc. cit. (Skrifter om Svalbard, no. 19), 1928, p. 13, pl. 1, fig. 3; ibid. (no. 31), 1930, pp. 35-41, pls. x-xiv.
Numerically the proportion (ten to three) may seem overwhelming but in reality it includes groups like *Pavlovia* which are equally well represented in the boreal province. I am unable to say how many of the Greenland ammonites here described may prove to be identical with any of the fifty "varieties" of *P. iatriensis*, described by Ilovaisky, from north-western Siberia, but Central Russian forms of *Pallasciornes*, as already mentioned, are in any case sufficiently close to both Greenland and English types to prove that they all belonged to one province. On the other hand, genera like *Pectinatites*, *Keratinites*, *Creodonites*, *Behemoth* and *Titanites* are typically West European, being known perhaps only from England and the Boullonnais, although some of them have been recorded from Russia. Holding, as I do, that the distribution of continent and oceans in Upper Jurassic times was much the same as at the present day, I see no reason why the north-west European influence, previously shown to have been dominant in the Callovian as in the Upper Oxfordian and Lower Kimmeridgian should not also have been felt in the highest Jurassic. No deposits of this age, later than Kimmeridgian, are known from Yorkshire or Eastern Scotland, yet the sea cannot have been far off, as is proved by e.g. the Spilsby Sandstone. But although the Valanginian deposits of North Germany, Speeton, the East Coast of Scotland (submarine), the Lofoden Islands, and Spitsbergen are certain proof of the existence of such marine connection in the lowest Cretaceous, the absence of deposits of the Upper Portlandian in every country outside England and the Boullonnais, except possibly Russia, has been taken to indicate the isolation of the Portland basin, just as the absence of true *Virgatites* outside Russia has been accepted as proof of the isolation of the Moscow basin (in Neumayr's sense).

The recognition of Portlandian ammonites like *Creodonites* and *Behemoth*, not to mention the doubtful *Titanites*, in East Greenland may not make it impossible any longer to hold this view of isolation, but it has certainly weakened it. It is useless to expect typical Portlandian ammonites in Greenland if the beds overlying the *Creodonites* horizon are almost without cephalopods; and if, as I have suggested, the characteristic Volgian elements like *Virgatites* are post-Portlandian then their absence in England and the Boullonnais is at once explained. Salfeld who again considered isolation to be the determining factor in the formation of such dissimilar faunas, it may be remembered, misidentified the "Virgatites" he collected at Kimmeridge, as I have already mentioned (p. 30); and such a "Virgatites" as *V. cf. zaraiskensis* (non Michalski) recorded by Lamplugh, Kitchin and Pringle is merely a *Subplanites*, scarcely more virgatoid on the outer whorl than many a Solnhofen

---

Fig. 2. *Subplanites pseudoenuropus*, sp. nov. Oil Shales, granda zone, Corton and Kimmeridge. (Based on one of the crushed "Virgatites" of Salfeld and others, recorded as "V. cf. zaraiskensis, Michalski", M. F. Gs. No. 5125, but bifurcation of median whorl restored from examples in my own collection).

---


---


---

Loc. cit. (Ann. hydrograph.), 1934, pp. 10—13 (*Hoplites rissanensis*).
from other boreal regions to be due entirely to the absence of strati-
equivalent deposits.

The great gap in the marine sequence at the top of the Jur-
was probably not appreciated in full even by Buckman, although it
created a “Proniceratian age” to occupy the time of the non-sequ-
port between Portland and Purbeck Beds. He was unfortunate in suggest-
ting that certain “Perisphinctes” figured by Neumayr and Uhlig might be
approximately that date, since he could easily have discovered from the
literature that these ammonites in reality are Neoconian Simbriskites.
But it only proves that the palaeontologist is dependent on accurate
statigraphical information; and where our knowledge is as deplor-
scanty as it is regarding the uppermost Jurassic, definite dating is im-
possible, especially of a mixed assemblage like that of the Riasan
beds. Even the extended Tithonian successions known from Mexico and South
America and differing from place to place, are not likely to bridge the
gap completely; and the freshwater Purbeck Beds may be found to
represent but a small portion of this Tithonian time, if they are a homo-
geneous formation and entirely Jurassic at all. Although the boreal
faunas are always comparatively impoverished and are not likely to
yield more than some modified Perisphinctids, it is probable that East
Greenland will yet largely contribute towards a solution of the prob-
lem which cannot be settled in Europe. The coarse sandstones of Hartz Mtn
may not be the most suitable deposit to contain unexploited po-
portlandian ammonite faunas, yet they may be there; and the present
of Subplanites in the basal shales and the abundance of well-preserved
ammonites in the Glauconic Series prove that the seas just off the
very ancient coast line swarmed with the assemblages found, unfortu-
ately disconnected at present, in northern and western Europe. The
preservation of the successive ammonite faunas, however, during tempr-
ary transgressions of the sea over such a coast, is subject to so many
accidental factors that the problem is not likely to be solved at any
distinct date. But much, no doubt, will yet be learnt from the detailed inves-
tigation of the interior and north-western part of Jameson Land, which
consists of a highly dissected elevated plateau that Rosenkrantz asum-
b to be built up mainly of beds of the Cape Leslie Formation.

Comparison of the faunas here described with presumable Amer-
equivalents yields little concrete evidence. The single mollusc, a Pho-
domya, here attached to an American species is of no significance and
any case the sea had probably retreated from the interior to the west
coast before the beginning of Kimmeridgian time, as shown on Crickmey'
If the ammonite identifications are correct, then this fauna must be Upper Kimmeridgian age. But the only comparable ammonite from Milne Land is *Pectinatites* aff. *easollectensis* (p. 19) and it is not on the only fossil from its bed, but it is preserved in a dark, sandy shale. It therefore lacks the abundant *Lingula* that characterise this block and cause such great resemblance to the much higher *Lingula* Bed of Cape Leslie; and exact correlation is obviously impossible in the present state of our knowledge.

Since Madsen does not list *Lingula* from any of his light sandstones (11a, 11b, or 12) it is improbable that they included the same rock as Rosenkrantz’s block II; conversely, there are several species occurring in both Madsen’s loose blocks 11b and in the assemblage listed by him from Rosenkrantz’s block I. This, however, is a dark grey, fairly sandstone, occasionally even black and it is teeming with pelecypods but has no ammonites. To the fossils already recorded by Madsen may now be added the following, referred to in the descriptions, above:

*Astarte* cf. *saemanni*, de Loriol (Plate 47, fig. 2).
*Tancredia* sp. (cf. hartzii, sp. nov., Plate 48, fig. 5).
*Pleuromya* sp. (cf. *tellina*, Agassiz).
*Belemnite fragments* (including *Pachyteuthis* aff. *panderei*, d’Orbigny sp., Plate 39, fig. 9).

*Pinna constantini*, de Loriol.
*Entolium* nummularis (Fischer).
*Canptonectes* *suprajurensis* (Buvignier).
*Parallelodon* schwennskii (Rouillier).
*Trigonia* sp. aff. *thurnmani*, Conantjean (Plate 41, fig. 7).
*Astarte* sp. nov. aff. *michaudiana*, d’Orbigny (Plate 47, fig. 6).
*Isocyprina* sp. nov. aff. *elongata*, Cox.
*Pronoella*? sp. ind. aff. *nuculaeformis* (Roemer).
*Pholadomya* aff. *inaequipliplicata*, Stanton (Plate 45, fig. 3b).
*Oribiculoidea* aff. *latissima* (Sowerby).

It does not seem to make much difference whether this assemblage came from the same bed as Madsen’s or not, although in view of the difference in colour and the presence of ammonites in Madsen’s block 11b, it is, perhaps, improbable. But in neither case is there concrete evidence for correlating these assemblages with any of the faunas described from Milne Land. For while it is true that the forms just listed, or at least close allies, occur in the prolific Glauconitic Series, yet they are associated with distinctive species that have not been found in Milne Land. These include:

*Diceranodonta* *groenlandica* (Rosenkrantz MS) nov. (Plate 41, fig. 11).
*Astarte* cf. *panderei*, d’Orbigny (Plate 47, fig. 7).
*Lucina* (?) sp. ind. (Plate 48, fig. 7).
*Mytilus* *jurensis* (Merian) Roemer (not *M. suprajurensis*, Cox).
*Quenstedtia* sp.
*Pentacrinus* sp.

These are unknown from the Glauconitic Series, with a most prolific pelecypod fauna, but abundant ammonites; from the *Lingula* Bed of Cape Leslie, with an enormous number of individuals of *Lingula*, and a few ammonites but rather fewer pelecypods than the Glauconitic Series; absent also from the assemblage R. III, 415 m, with some special elements (e.g. *Mactromya* *verioti*, Buvignier sp.) and numerous large *Pleuromya tellina*, Agassiz, but no *Buchia*. Yesthese three Portlandian faunas, not to mention the rather poorly represented, intermediate, fauna from horizon a, all probably very close in age, are the only Milne Land faunas comparable to the assemblage from block II of Aucella River, if the pelecypods can be relied on. And on inspection of the Correlation Table (p. 163) it will be seen that there is rather a considerable time interval between the *Pectinatites* Beds and the *Lingula* Bed. That is to say the occurrence of a form like *Buchia mosquensis* in both blocks I and II of Aucella River is without significance. It is to be hoped, however, that future finds, especially of ammonites, in Jameson Land will help to fill the many gaps in our knowledge of the Uppermost Jurassic revealed in the present investigation of the faunas from Milne Land.
VI. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

1. The great majority of the invertebrates from the upper part of the Upper Jurassic succession in Milne Land (Cape Leslie Formation) described in this memoir are shown to be of Neo-Kimmeridgian and Portlandian age, but the scarcity or absence of fossils in the higher beds prevents definite dating of the upper (Cretaceous?) part of this formation. There also is no real boundary line between the Cape Leslie Formation and the lower set of beds, dealt with in part I.

2. The 121 invertebrates described include 53 cephalopods, 9 gastropods, and 52 pelecypods, in addition to two worms, and 5 brachiopods (the many crustaceans are to be described by Prof. Van Straelen). Most of the ammonites are new species, but the pelecypods include many forms of long range.

3. The elements of the various fossil assemblages are comparable to those of north-western Europe and the affinities with Volgan (Russian) faunas, stressed by previous observers, are shown to be slight. There are no Virgatitids and the few Craspedites described are different from those of the Upper Volgian; but the Pavlovia fauna of western Siberia is comparable to certain Upper Kimmeridgian assemblages here described.

4. Comparison with other boreal or American faunas is difficult since few invertebrates of corresponding age have been described from anywhere except England and the Bouddnais. The distribution of the continents and oceans cannot have changed much since earlier Jurassic times, as previously discussed.

5. The faunas of two blocks from Anxella River in Jameson Land are compared with the assemblages from Milne Land, and it is hoped that the outstanding problem as to the representation of the Tithonian (= "Aquilonian") in East Greenland will there find its solution.

VI. SUMMARY OF NEW NAMES

AMMONITIDES

Behemoth groenlandicus, sp. nov. Pl. 23, fig. 1 ......................................................... 66
Craspedites ferrugineus, sp. nov. Pl. 22, fig. 3 ......................................................... 86
- leptus, sp. nov. Pl. 37, fig. 5 ...................................................................................... 85
Crendontites anguinus, sp. nov. Pl. 21, fig. 2 ......................................................... 65
- elegans, sp. nov. Pl. 8, fig. 5 ...................................................................................... 36
- englyptus, sp. nov. Pl. 9, fig. 1 ...................................................................................... 63
- leiolit, sp. nov. Pl. 13, fig. 1 ...................................................................................... 62
- peregrel, sp. nov. Pl. 22, fig. 2 ...................................................................................... 30
- subgrel, sp. nov. Pl. 9, fig. 5 ...................................................................................... 62
- subregulares, sp. nov. Pl. 13, fig. 4 ............................................................................. 64
- transitorius, sp. nov. Pl. 28, fig. 4 ............................................................................. 64

Dorsoplanites aldingeri, sp. nov. Pl. 5, fig. 1 ................................................................. 70
- antiquus, sp. nov. Pl. 31, fig. 4 ..................................................................................... 68
- var. robusta, nov. Pl. 32, fig. 4 ..................................................................................... 74
- crassus, sp. nov. Pl. 29, fig. 5 ..................................................................................... 77
- dorsoplanaloides, sp. nov. Pl. 26, fig. 2 ......................................................................... 76
- flavus, sp. nov. Pl. 34, fig. 1 ......................................................................................... 72
- gracilis, sp. nov. Pl. 29, fig. 2 ......................................................................................... 73
- var. tunicleata, nov. Pl. 27, fig. 1 .................................................................................. 73
- var. evoluta, nov. Pl. 30, fig. 2 ...................................................................................... 73
- var. flexuosa, nov. Pl. 35, fig. 3 ...................................................................................... 78
- jamesoni, sp. nov. Pl. 29, fig. 3 ...................................................................................... 71
- maximus, sp. nov. Pl. 28, fig. 1 ...................................................................................... 69
- subpanderi, sp. nov. Pl. 31, fig. 1 ................................................................................... 79
- transitorius, sp. nov. Pl. 33, fig. 9 ................................................................................... 79
- trilplex, sp. nov. Pl. 35, fig. 2 ......................................................................................... 80
- var. mutabile, nov. Pl. 35, fig. 1 ..................................................................................... 29

Epipalaeiceras Subgen. nov. (of Pavlovia, Ilovinsky). Sub-genotype: P. (E.) pseudopera, nov. (see below).

Kerberites subwindonensis, sp. nov. Pl. 20, fig. 4 ......................................................... 34
- trikraniiformes, sp. nov. Pl. 21, fig. 4 ......................................................................... 34
- virguloides, sp. nov. Pl. 27, fig. 4 .................................................................................. 34

Kochina, gen. nov. ........................................................................................................ 81

Genotype: K. groenlandia, sp. nov. Pl. 38, fig. 1 ......................................................... 82
Pavlovia allovirgatoides, sp. nov. Pl. 14, fig. 3.......................... 37
— jubilans, sp. nov. Pl. 39, fig. 1.......................... 39
— (Epipallasiceras) costata, sp. nov. Pl. 7, fig. 1............ 58
— praeceps, sp. nov. Pl. 25, fig. 1.......................... 60
— pseudaperta, sp. nov. Pl. 16, fig. 1....................... 56
— var. superba, nov. Pl. 39, fig. 2.......................... 57
— tumida, sp. nov. Pl. 17, fig. 1.......................... 59

Pavlovia (Lydiatitites) iiris, sp. nov. Pl. 22, fig. 4.......................... 27
— nobilis, sp. nov. Pl. 5, fig. 6.......................... 27
— triplicatea, sp. nov. Pl. 9, fig. 6.......................... 27
— vulgaris, sp. nov. Pl. 17, fig. 5.......................... 31
— worthensis, sp. nov. Pl. 18, fig. 6.......................... 30

Pavlovia (Pallasiceras) alterniplicata, sp. nov. Pl. 11, fig. 1.......................... 51
— (Pallasiceras) communis, sp. nov. Pl. 4, fig. 1.......................... 41
— inflata, sp. nov. Pl. 14, fig. 1.......................... 49
— kochi, sp. nov. Pl. 15, fig. 1.......................... 50
— perinflata, sp. nov. Pl. 5, fig. 2.......................... 44
— regularis, sp. nov. Pl. 4, fig. 2.......................... 42
— rotundiformis, sp. nov. Pl. 19, fig. 3.......................... 55
— rugosa, sp. nov. Pl. 11, fig. 2.......................... 52
— similis, sp. nov. Pl. 12, fig. 4.......................... 54
— subaperta, sp. nov. Pl. 11, fig. 4.......................... 46
— variabilis, sp. nov. Pl. 10, fig. 1.......................... 48

Progalbanites, gen. nov. Genotype: P. albani, Arkell sp.......................... 30
Pectinatites [Keratinites?] groenlandicus, sp. nov. Pl. 6, fig. 1.......................... 25
Subcreaspidites claxbiensis, sp. nov. Pl. 36, fig. 6.......................... 85
— groenlandicus, sp. nov. Pl. 36, fig. 3.......................... 84
— lamplughi, nom. nov. for Creaspidites subditus, Pavlov non Trautschold sp. (1892, p. 116, pl. XIII (VI), fig. 5).
Subplanites pseudoserosus, sp. nov. Text-fig. 2.......................... 178

GASTROPODA

Acteonina [Oacteonina] groenlandica, sp. nov. Pl. 40, fig. 2.......................... 96

PELECYPODA

Cumptonectes praeceps, sp. nov. Pl. 41, fig. 1.......................... 104
Diceranodonta groenlandica, sp. nov. Pl. 41, fig. 11.......................... 177
Pseudotrapesium groenlandicum, sp. nov. Pl. 49, fig. 7.......................... 125
Tancredia hartzii, sp. nov. Pl. 48, fig. 5.......................... 121

BRACHIOPODA

Terebratula (Rugithyris) rosenkranti, sp. nov. Pl. 49, fig. 1.......................... 139
Plate 1.

Fig. 1. *Subdichotomoeceras*? (*Sphinctoceras*?) sp. ind. Part of a larger slab from band with crushed Perisphinctids (base of Upper Kimmeridgian?). Astarte Valley, Hartz Mtn. (No. 169a) ......................................................... 14

9. *Subplanites*? *Virgosiophinctoides*? sp. ind. Same bed and locality (No. 169c) .......................................................... 17

3. *Subplanites*? sp. ind. Same bed and locality (No. 169b) ........................................ 15
Plate 2.

Fig. 1. *Pectinatites* aff. *eastlecotensis* (Saffeld). Indurated Shales of *Pectinatites* Beds, Upper Kimmeridgian. N.W. side of Hartz Mtn. (No. 242) 19


3a-c. *Pectinatites* sp. ind. Two sides and dorsal area of a body-chamber fragment. Sandy Clays, below β? Upper Kimmeridgian. N.W. side of Hartz Mtn. (No. 248a) ....................... 20
Plate 3.

1a-c. *Pectinatites* sp. ind. Side- and peripheral views of a body-chamber fragment, with squeeze of impression of earlier whorl. Sandy clays, below β? Upper Kimmeridgian. N.W. side of Hartz Mtn. (No. 248b) 20


3a, b. *Pavlovia* (*Pallasiceras*) aff. *regularis*, sp. nov. Small example, transitional to *P. (P.) communis*. Same bed.......................... 42

4a, b. *Pectinatites*? sp. nov. Body-chamber fragment, with last suture-line and outline whorl-section. Sandy clays, below β? Upper Kimmeridgian. N.W. side of Hartz Mtn. (No. 215)................. 21

5. *Pectinatites* aff. *tricosulatus* (S. S. Buckman). Septate fragment from same bed and locality. (No. 248)............................ 22
Plate 4.

Figs. 1a, b. *Pavlovia* (*Pallasiceras*) *communis*, sp. nov. Holotype. Sandy Clays, horizon β. Upper Kimmeridgian. Ridge south of Crab Valley (No. 183) ................................................................. 41

2a-c. *Pavlovia* (*Pallasiceras*) *regularis*, sp. nov. Holotype, with suture-line (diagrammatic and enlarged × 2). Same bed. Ridge between Crab and Astarte Valleys (No. 238) .................................................... 42

3. *Pavlovia* (*Pallasiceras*) *communis*, sp. nov. Suture-line, composite and enlarged × 2, at diameter of 33 mm. Same bed as fig. 1 .... 41

5a, b. *Pavlovia* (*Paravirgatites?*) sp. ind. Side-view with diagrammatic sectional outline, at about the middle of the last half-whorl. Sandy clays, below β? Ridge south of Crab Valley (No. 220) ................. 39

6a, b. *Pavlovia* (*Pallasiceras*) aff. *communis*, sp. nov. Small example from same bed as figs. 1 and 3 .................................................. 41
Plate 5.

Figs. 1a, b. Dorsoplanites aldingeri, sp. nov. Holotype (with part of outer whorl taken off) and diagrammatic outline whorl-section (which should show flatter sides and broader venter). Sandy Clays (above β?), Upper Kimmeridgian. Cape Leslie (R. II, 62 m) ..................... 70
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